

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 749 of 2021 with M.A. No. 888 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Shyamal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The applicant has filed instant Original Application to grant 20% additional service pension on attaining the age of 80 years.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instructions within three weeks in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 13.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 101 of 2017 with M.A. No. 16 of 2018 Inre : Ex. A. No. 40 of 2013
Inre : O.A. No. 332 of 2012

Ram Sajiwan Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shyam Bihari Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that four weeks further time be granted to pay the cost imposed upon the respondents which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Regarding payment made by the Treasury in terms of the P.P.O. issued by the respondents, the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that amount is not being credited by the Treasury as per P.P.O. He also drew our attention to the extract of passbook of Punjab National Bank wherein there is credit entry of Rs.2,84,826/- and Rs.3,34,506/- on 20.01.2021 and also that applicant was earlier getting Rs.31,317 as pension which reduced to Rs.26,700/- in April, 2021, Rs.25,334/- in May, 2021, June, 2021, July, 2021 and thereafter Rs.30,098/- in September, 2021. This shows that there is variation in payment which is to be clarified by the Treasury Officer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Treasury Officer, Sultanpur is, therefore, directed to be present in person the next date fixed to explain as to why there is variation in pension of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 80 of 2018 Inre : R.A. No. 17 of 2011 Inre : T.A. No. 288 of 2010

Smt. Darko Devi W/o Late Ex. Hav. Chhote Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that 90% of the order under execution has been complied with and in regard to remaining 10% submitted that the same could not be done due to non-submission of original death certificate of her husband by the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The reason given by the respondents for non-compliance of the order is not acceptable, more so when the husband of died during the pendency of Transferred Application and applicant was allowed to be substituted in his place on death certificate of the soldier. It appears that respondents are not complying with the order on the reason which is not acceptable at all. They are, therefore, directed to comply with the order within four weeks failing which they shall be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 20.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 08 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 282 of 2016

Varun Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that respondents have filed a Special Leave Petition before the Hon'ble Apex Court against the order of Larger Bench which is likely to be come up for hearing very soon, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to make compliance of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents are directed to either bring stay order or to make compliance of the order within four weeks from today failing which they shall be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Ex-A/59 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 231 of 2017

Kalyan Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>25.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri AK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that as per order dated 30.08.2017 passed in O.A. No. 231 of 2017 the respondents were directed to pay disability pension to the applicant @ 30% for two years to be rounded off to 50% with directions to hold RSMB to assess further disability of the applicant, if any. He further submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order, the applicant has been paid disability pension for a period of two years from the date of discharge and after holding RSMB in the year 2018 in which the applicant was found suffering with the same disability, he has been allowed the disability pension @ 50% for life from the date of RSMB. Thus, his submission is that order under execution has been fully complied with.</p> <p>In reply, the learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per order dated 30.08.2017 the applicant has been allowed disability pension @ 30% for two years to be rounded off to 50% for life with directions to the respondents to hold RSMB to assess his further disability, if any.</p> <p>He submits that it was the duty of the respondents to hold RSMB immediately after the expiry of two years period which being not held, the applicant cannot be blamed for the same, moreso when in RSMB held in the year 2018 applicant has been found to be suffering with the same disability with same percentage and has been allowed disability pension @ 50% also from the date of RSMB. Thus, his submission is that disability pension @ 30% to be rounded off to 50% being not paid for the intervening period of 01.08.1998 to 23.04.2018, a direction be issued to respondents to pay disability pension for this period also.</p> <p>We have gone through the order dated 30.08.2017 passed in O.A. No. 231 of 2017 and we find that while allowing the application for grant of disability pension the respondents were directed to pay the disability pension to the applicant @ 30% for two years to be rounded off to 50% for life and they were</p>

further directed to hold RSMB in the matter to assess further disability of the applicant, if any.

In compliance of the order dated 30.08.2017 the RSMB was held in the year 2018 in which applicant was found to be suffering from the same disability with same percentage. With the result, he has been allowed disability pension @ 50% from the date of RSMB. The medical condition of the applicant being same during the intervening period, applicant is entitled to get disability pension @ 50% for this period also which being not paid by the respondents, they are directed to pay disability pension of this period @ 50% by issuing a Corr PPO. They are further directed to complete this exercise within a period of 04 weeks from today.

List on **08.02.2022**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

rathore

