

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1

O.A. No. 679 of 2021

Ex. Spr Jaivir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>09.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>During the course of hearing Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant may be permitted to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant had filed an Original Application being Original Application No. 259 of 2015 for the grant of disability pension which was allowed vide order dated 19.07.2017 directing respondents to pay disability pension to the applicant to the tune of 60% to be rounded off 75% for the period of one year followed by R.S.M.B. within a period of three months. It was also directed in the order that applicant shall be entitled for disability pension after the period of one year from the date of discharge in terms of opinion expressed by R.S.M.B. He further submitted that in terms of order passed by this Tribunal the respondents were under obligation to pay arrears of disability pension for the period of three years, however, in the P.P.O. issued in compliance of the order the arrears was made payable for a period of two years only with the result the arrears of one year disability pension is still due to be paid to the applicant. Thus, he submitted that in order to get arrears of one year period applicant want to file fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>In response, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that if the P.P.O. issued by the respondents was not in terms of order dated 19.07.2017 in Original Application No. 259 of 2015 the applicant should have raised issue in the Execution Application had had filed for implementation of the order.</p>

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case applicant is permitted to withdraw this Original Application with liberty to file a fresh Execution Application, if he so desire.

However, it is made clear that if the earlier Execution Application filed by the applicant has been disposed off recording full satisfaction of the applicant, the fate of the Execution Application to be filed by the applicant, will be governed by the same.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

