

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 610 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Narendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 611 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Sushil Kumar Das
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application for issuing direction to the respondents to expeditiously consider the re-start the pension to the applicant regularly and every month since May, 2021.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that after being discharged from service a Pension Payment Order was issued to the applicant wherein Rs.9,36,198/- was shown payable as net retirement gratuity to the applicant and this amount was paid. Thereafter, all of sudden a new Pension Payment Order was issued in the matter whereby a recovery of Rs.22,42,350/- has been ordered to be made from the applicant without assigning any reason therefor.</p> <p>Considering that new Pension Payment Order has been issued without assigning any reason, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to seek as to in what circumstances new Pension Payment Order has been issued.</p> <p>Let instructions be sought within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 26.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 613 of 2021 with M.A. No. 665 of 2021

Ex. N. Ajay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Ms. Priyanka Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 25 years, 03 months and 20 days.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 613 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 614 of 2021 with M.A. No. 667 of 2021

Cpl. Shiv Singh Yadav (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 05 months and 25 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 614 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 615 of 2021 with M.A. No.668 of 2021

Sgt. Nitya Nand Verma (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chadra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 09 months and 15 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 615 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 617 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Raj Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Kapil Sharma, Ms. Upasna Mishra and Shri Ankit Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajvi Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 618 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Sanjai Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 22.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 14.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 71 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 309 of 2018

Jamil Ahamad By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 07.12.2021.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">AKD/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 664 of 2021 with M.A. No. 666 of 2021
Inre : M.A. No. 698 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Nk. Lalit Mohan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Amrendra Pratap Singh, holding brief of Ms. Madhulika Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this application to recall the order dated 16.03.2021 by which Misc. Application No. 698 of 2019 inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019 has been dismissed in default and Original Application being time barred was also dismissed.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing recall application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>In view of facts averred in affidavit filed in support of application, the order dated 16.03.201 passed in Misc. Application No. 698 of 2019 is recalled.</p> <p>Let Misc. Application No. 698 of 2019 along with O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019 is</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 698 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019</u></p> <p>List on 11.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 670 of 2021 with M.A. No. 671 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 777 of 2020

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Rfn. Prem Bahadur Chhetri
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

M.A. No. 672 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 224 of 2016

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nk. Shivjeet Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the order dated 06.09.2021 the Army number of the applicant be corrected as '2996297K' in place of '4170931P'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the Original Application No. 224 of 2016 the Army number of the applicant is be also corrected accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 75 of 2018 Inre : T.A. No. 1366 of 2010

Kamal Singh Rautela
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the last date i.e. 01.09.2021 four weeks time was granted to respondents to implement the order under execution on payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost on request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The order has not yet been complied with rather a request has been made again to grant four weeks further time to make implementation of the order which is allowed on payment of cost of Rs.10,000/- to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let affidavit of compliance be filed within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents are directed to deposit the entire costs due against them by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 14.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
 ORDER SHEET
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 215 of 2018 Inre : T.A. No. 75 of 2011

<p>Ex. Swr. Ashok Kumar By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant</p>	<p>Applicant</p>
Versus	
<p>Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents</p>	<p>Respondents</p>

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Bachchan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that name of wife of the applicant has been included in P.P.O. In regard to pay and allowances it is submitted that Government Sanction has been accorded on 17.09.2021 and concerned documents have been sent to PCDA, Pune for the needful.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, list on 10.12.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 82 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 258 of 2018

Ex. Gnr. Ashish Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>During the course of hearing, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that in regard to service element Corrigendum P.P.O. has been issued and also copy has been sent to applicant.</p> <p>Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant also admitted that he has received the copy of P.P.O. and it is in order.</p> <p>In view of aforesaid, the order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 3 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 238 of 2019

Ex. L/Nk. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the last date i.e. 03.09.2021 Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that draft of SLP has been forwarded to Legal Cell of Hon'ble Supreme Court and SLP is likely to be filed within a week and prayed for adjournment of case. Considering the aforesaid submission, four weeks further time was granted to the respondents to either bring stay order from the Hon'ble Apex Court after filing SLP or get the order complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Today Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits to grant some more time on the same ground.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant, who is fighting relentlessly against the mighty State for redressal of his grievance, has yet to get fruit of long litigation on numerous unfounded reasons cited by the respondents who again seek further time to comply the order passed way back on 03.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Under the circumstances, we direct Deputy Director General (Defence Security Corps), Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army) to remain present in Tribunal on the next date fixed and to file his personal affidavit stating therein the reasons for not implementing the order which was passed way back on 03.04.2019. However, the presence of officer will not be required if the order is fully (and not partially) implemented before the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As requested, let copy of this order be given to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents by today itself for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 60 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 466 of 2019

Ex. Hav. Muneshwar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents two day s time is granted to bring the P.P.O. on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 20.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 120 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2021

Ex. Gnr. Ajay Kumar Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 challenging the order by which applicant has been dismissed from service being deserter with effect from 20.02.2010.</p> <p>There is a delay of 10 years, 03 months and 26 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate. His further submission is that in spite of desertion after three years no dismissal/discharge order/certificate has been issued to the applicant by the authorities concerned though still applicant is suffering by anxiety disorder/depression in acute psychic behaviour which has been arises during service since 2005 onwards at service posting places treatment have been provided in Military Hospitals and categorized as S3(T-24) of SHAPE factor. Thus, his submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that long delay of more than 10 years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained. His further submission is that the applicant has been declared absentee/deserter with effect from 20.02.2010, as such the original cause of action arose on 20.02.2010. He has relied upon the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of <i>Balwant singh (dead) Vs. Jagdish Singh and Others</i>, reported in (2010) 8 SCC 685 and He pleaded for dismissal of delay condonation application as well as Original Application being time barred.</p> <p>Having heard the submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicant for delay in filing Original Application is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.</p> <p>In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay condonation application is rejected.</p> <p>Original Application being time barred is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 32 of 2020 with M.A. No. 197 of 2019

Smt. Shanti Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri B.B. Tripathi and Shri Amit Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 Shri Gaurav Gunjan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>On the request of Shri Garurav Gunjan, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 and 5, four weeks and, no more, time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 14.12.2021.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 681 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Shiv Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 60 of 2021

Ex. JWO Shashi Bhushan Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ms. Nisha Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 690 of 2020

Ex Sep/Mt Ashok Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 14.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 132 of 2021

HFO Chandra Sekhar Pathak (Rtd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Nagendra Bahadur Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Argument concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 283 of 2021

Ex Sub Brajendra Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 481 of 2021 with M.A. No. 502 of 2021

Abhishek Badoni (Army Cadet)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of learned counsel for the respondents a week's further time is granted to file objection against maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>List on 10.12.2021.</p> <p style="display:flex; justify-content:space-around;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

R.A. No. 3 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 2019 of 2019

Brig Yatindra Mohan Tewari, SM (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Col RY Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant is reported to be ill.</p> <p>List on 27.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 121 of 2015

Smt. Priyanka Mishra By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal learned counsel for respondents No 1 to 4 and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, O.A. is dismissed for non prosecution.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 137 of 2019

Mukesh Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant has filed two copies of amended O.A. in the Court today which are taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that supplementary counter affidavit is under preparation, therefore, sometime be granted to file the same in the Registry which is allowed.</p> <p>Let supplementary counter affidavit be filed within three weeks after serving its copy to learned counsel for the applicant who may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 28.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 119 of 2020

Ex LAC PP Naidu
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ms Nisha Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Wg Cdr PKS Pillai, Representative from Air Force, are present.</p> <p>During the course of hearing Wg Cdr PKS Pillai, representative from Air Force submitted that amount due to applicant has been paid. However, when he was asked, why Individual Running Ledger Account (IRLA) has not been filed along with counter affidavit, the officer sought two weeks further time to bring the same on record.</p> <p>List on 14.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 437 of 2020

Ex Sep Lalan Ji Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Argument concluded.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 775 of 2020

Sub (STA) Arvind Kumar Thakur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Secretary GOI MOD & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col. AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal learned counsel for respondents No 1 to 4 and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 5 are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 21.01.2021 for final hearing.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p>UKT/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 6 of 2019

Ex Nk Bishram Singh Rajput
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Shri OP Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant is not present. It has been informed that he is still ill.</p> <p>List on 07.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 146 of 2021

Sep Satya Prakash Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up Shri Abhishek Dwivedi, learned counsel for the applicant and Shr Yogesh kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>