

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 678 of 2021 with M.A. No. 771 of 2021

**Ex. Sub. Shambhu Dayal Shrivastava**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b>11.11.2021</b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bachchan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 21 years, 02 months and 25 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 678 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>13.01.2021</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>22.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:right"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align:right"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

M.A. No. 786 of 2021 with M.A. No. 787 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 542 of 2019

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

**Versus**

Ex. Hav. APS Kushwaha  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)                      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 33 of 2019 Inre : T.A. No. 92 of 2011**

**Ex. Sigm. Jayant Bagchi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been fully complied with. He also submits that composite P.P.O. has been issued.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In reply, the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that he has not received the copy of P.P.O.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of composite P.P.O. be given to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant by today itself, who may file objection, if any, by tomorrow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Cost Rs.50,000/- has also been deposited. Let a sum of Rs.15,000/- of the cost be paid to the AFT Bar Association and the remaining be paid to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>12.11.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 65 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 253 of 2012**

**Ex. Hav. Krishna Kumar Thakur**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submitted that Special Leave Petition filed against the order under execution is listed for hearing before Hon'ble Apex Court on 13.11.2021.</p> <p>List on <b>05.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 27 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 240 of 2014

**Ex. Sub. Dvr. (MT) Mahender Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant  
  
**Versus**  
  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order under execution P.P.O. has been issued on 03.06.2021.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the applicant also admits this fact that P.P.O. has been issued and its copy has been received to the applicant. He also admits that P.P.O. issued in terms of the order under execution.</p> <p>From the above, it appears that order under execution has been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 32 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 161 of 2017**

**Ex. Gdsm. Biresh Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that Special Leave Petition against the order under execution has been filed in the Hon'ble Apex Court, therefore, some more time be granted to bring stay order.</p> <p>Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents are directed to either bring stay order or ensure compliance of the order under execution within a period of four weeks from today. They are further directed to deposit the cost imposed upon them by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>07.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 49 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 98 of 2020

Ex. Sub. Dil Shad Ahmad Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

Union of India & Others Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>07.01.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 61 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 114 of 2020**

<b>Ex. Sub. Suresh Chandra</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order under execution has been fully complied with. He also submits that in compliance of the order under execution P.P.O. has been issued. He also submits that an affidavit of compliance filed today be taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">The affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">In response to P.P.O. issued, the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is in terms of order under execution, therefore, Execution Application may be disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

M.A. No. 698 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Nk. Lalit Mohan  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one appears on behalf of the applicant to represent his case.</p> <p>Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and she submits that applicant being already in receipt of War Injury Pension with effect from 01.03.1996 the Original Application filed by the applicant for grant of disability pension to the applicant @30% with rounding off 50% is misconceived and should be dismissed as such.</p> <p>We have gone through the objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents wherein respondents have specifically stated that before applicant being discharged from service Release Medical Board was held at 159 General Hospital on 27.11.1995 which diagnosed him with disability '<b>GSW RT CHEST ABDOMEN &amp; LEFT THIGH N-868 E.993 (V-67)</b>', with degree of disability @30%, declared as attributable to the military service. They have also stated that applicant was granted service pension by the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Allahabad vide P.P.O. No. S/046655/95 (Army) dated 15.02.1996 and disability pension with effect from 01.03.1996 to 26.11.2000, 27.11.2000 to 20.09.2005 and 21.09.2005 to for life vide P.P.O. Nos. DE/002179/96 (Army) dated 07.08.1996, D/RA23265/2000 dated 14.02.2001 and D/RA7025/2005 dated 08.11.2005. They have also specifically stated that after the issuance of above P.P.O.s it was found that it was a case of 'Battle Casualty', therefore, a War Injury Pension was sanctioned to the applicant with effect from 01.03.1996.</p> <p>They have further stated that applicant was eligible for broad banding of War Injury Element from 30% to 50% with effect from 01.01.2016, wherefore, a letter has also been issued and now applicant is in receipt of accurate pension.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid, it appears that applicant is in receipt of War Injury Pension with rounding off from 30% to 50% and the Original Application has been filed under misconception which deserves to be dismissed.</p> <p>Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**M.A. No. 432 of 2021 Inre : M.A. No. 42 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020**

**Sep. Sunil Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one is present on behalf of the applicant nor the cost Rs.1,000/- imposed on applicant on 16.09.2021 has been paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It shows that applicant is not interested in prosecution of the case, with the result the order dated 16.09.2021 allowing recall application is <b>withdrawn.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
 {See rule 11(1)}  
 ORDER SHEET  
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 197 of 2018

<b>Akshayavar Nath Pandey</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>11.11.2021</u>  <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u>  <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri S.S. Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one is present on behalf of respondent No. 4 despite notice being served.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application shall proceed exparte against him.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pleadings between applicant and respondent Nos. 1 to 3 have already been exchanged.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>09.02.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>	

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 31 of 2019**

**Smt. Sardul Nisa**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">On the case being taken up for hearing no one appears on behalf of the applicant to represent her case.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3, Shri Satyajit Mukerji, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4 and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5 are present.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Affidavit of compliance filed today by the respondent No. 4 is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">We have gone through the contents of affidavit of compliance filed today and we find that grievance raised in the Original Application has been redressed.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">In view of the fact that grievance has been redressed, Original Application deserves to be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align:justify"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 294 of 2020

**Nk. Shakti Singh (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:center">Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">During the course of hearing a query was made to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that when a statement of case for reimbursement of Rs.7,28,982/- on account of expenditure incurred by the applicant in treatment of his mother was initiated by his Unit and the same after being approved by the competent authority, a contingent Bill for Rs.7,28,982/- for claiming the same was raised then why only a sum of Rs.1,76,440/- has been passed by the C.D.A., Meerut, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents sought two weeks time to seek instructions from the C.D.A., Meerut which was allowed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on <b>03.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Let a copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/Departmental Representative within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)          (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 153 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. (ACP-1) Yashbir Singh Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of applicant, ten days further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>12.01.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 227 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Ravendra Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dwijendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the fact stated in Counter Affidavit applicant needs to amend Original Application, therefore, three weeks time be granted to file amendment application which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>14.12.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No.257 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Akhilesh Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant is reported to be ill.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that counter affidavit filed by the respondents be taken on record which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within three weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>08.03.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 302 of 2021

**Ex Nk Madan Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey and Shri RK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that since counter affidavit is under preparation, two weeks further time be granted to file the same. Let counter affidavit be filed within two weeks as prayed.</p> <p>List on <b>03.01.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 320 of 2021**

<b>Hony / Sub/Clk Patil Ganapati</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	<b>Versus</b>	Applicant  Respondents
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that counter affidavit filed by the respondents be taken on record. Accordingly, counter affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>08.03.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>                  Member (A)    Member (J)             </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 456 of 2021

**Rect Satyendra Kumar Dwivedi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri HP Srivastava, learned counsel for respondents No 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>Vakalatnama filed today in the Court by Shri HP Srivastava, on behalf of Respondent No 4 and 5 is taken on record.</p> <p>On the request of Shri HP Srivastava, learned counsel for Respondents No 4 and 5, order dated 28.10.2021 of this Tribunal by which O.A. was ordered to proceed ex party against Respondents No 4 and 5 is recalled and learned counsel for Respondents No 4 and 5 is permitted to file counter affidavit within three weeks.</p> <p>Learned counsel for respondents No 1 to 3 also wants to file counter affidavit within aforesaid period which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>16.12.2021</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 492 of 2021**

**Rect Gopal Sule Bhagwat**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, OIC Legal Cell are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by OIC Legal Cell, three weeks further time is granted to respondents to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>04.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 494 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Ram Narayan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nisar Ahmed and Shri Nishant Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three week further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>09.03.2022</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 500 of 2021**

**Ex Hav Bhola Prasad Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>11.11.2021</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three week further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>06.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 566 of 2021**

**Hav (DSC) Chandra Pal Singh Chauhan (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection on compliance report filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>List on <b>09.12.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 30 of 2017**

**PK Mahapatra**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application filed by the wife of deceased officer for substitution of her name in place of deceased officer in O.A. due to death of the officer.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have gone through the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of substitution application and we find that abatement is liable to be set aside. Accordingly, abatement is set aside and substitution application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let amendment be incorporated within a week, after serving copy to the learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pleadings have already been exchanged between the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>13.01.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No.601 of 2017**

**Patve Mohasin SK Salim**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by OIC Legal Cell, list on <b>10.01.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 65 of 2018 with M.A. No. 285 of 2018**

<b>Rahat Ali Khan</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	<b>Versus</b>	Applicant
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Vishwash Kumar and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, two weeks further time is granted to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>18.01.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 96 of 2018**

**Hibju Rahman**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that since grievances raised in O.A. have been readdressed by the respondents and compliance report has been filed, Original Applicant may be dismissed being rendered infructuous.</p> <p>He further submitted that compliance report filed by the respondents be taken on record which is allowed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant seeks and is granted two weeks time to file objection against compliance report.</p> <p>List on <b>16.12.2021</b> hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No 245 of 2018**

**Dharmendra Singh Tomar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on <b>26.11.2021</b> hearing .</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 463 of 2018**

**Smt Sepawali Ale**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">None appears to present the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, we <b>dismiss</b> the application for non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 435 of 2020**

**Ex Sub Ombir Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on <b>02.03.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 203 of 2021**

**Hav ( Amb Asst) Rambalee**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that since applicant has been discharged from service during pendency of O.A. wherefore he wants to amend the prayer clause, therefore, two weeks time be given for the same.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, let amendment application be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>13.12.2021</b> hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>



Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

T.A. No. 92 of 2016

**Alopi Shanker Bajpae**  
By Legal Practitioner for the petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**The Commandant Rajput Regiment Centre,  
Fatehgarh & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.11.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Alopi Shanker Bajpae, the applicant is present in person.</p> <p>Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>Applicant has moved an application seeking permission to change his counsel. It is stated that Praveer Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate is not taking interest in the case, therefore, applicant wants to engage some other counsel.</p> <p>Considering the facts stated in application, the request made by the applicant is considered and the applicant is permitted to change his counsel.</p> <p>Let Vakalatnama of another counsel be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>10.02.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to retain original documents pertaining to case and produce before the Court on the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/-</p>