

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 656 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Rambir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 825 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Brijesh Kumar Srivastava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Salig Ram Yadava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.02.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 23.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 836 of 2021 with M.A. No. 986 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Dev Bahadur Chhetri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter pertains to grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">There is a delay of 20 years, 10 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. It is further submitted that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter as cause of action in such matter accrues from month to month.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and submitted that there being a delay of more than 20 years and the same being not properly and satisfactory explained on day to day basis, the same is not liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter and delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Delay condonation application stands allowed accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 836 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.02.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 23.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 837 of 2021 with M.A. No. 987 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Ajit Kumar Giri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this Original Application for compensation of Rs.1,42,59,000/- for inaction on the part of respondents in granting disability claim as well as other reliefs on time. There being delay of 12 years, 02 months and 28 days in filing Original Application, he has also filed an application for condonation of delay which is supported with affidavit.</p> <p>In regard to reliefs claimed in Original Application as well as delay in filing application, the submission of Ld. Counsel for the respondents is that reliefs claimed maintainable the Original Application is liable to be dismissed. Her further submission is that there being a delay of more than 12 years, respondents wish to file objection.</p> <p>Let objection against maintainability of Original Application as well delay condonation application be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 984 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 68 of 2021

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sep. (Chef) Aneesh M.
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p>16.12.2021 <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>Applicants have filed this application seeking modification in order dated 15.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 68 of 2021. By this order the Original Application has been allowed and respondents have been directed to reinstate the applicant back in service in the same capacity in which he was discharged and intimate him the date of joining.</p> <p>It is further submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicants that certain relevant facts relating to applicants had been left to be brought before the Tribunal when the case was heard, with the result Original Application was allowed. It is further submitted that had those facts been brought to the notice of the Tribunal the order would be otherwise.</p> <p>We have gone through the facts of the case as well as the order passed in the matter and we find that order passed on facts made available to Tribunal by the applicants by way of Counter Affidavit and no satisfactory reason being assigned why the facts were not brought to the notice of the Tribunal when the case was heard, we do not see any reason to modify the order. Further, in view of the fact that modification has been sought based on new facts which being not the case of the applicants – Union of India and Others that they have been discovered subsequently, the order cannot be modified.</p> <p>Considering these things we do not find any force in the modification application, therefore, the same is dismissed.</p> <p>At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others prays that applicants may be granted leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.</p> <p>After perusing the order dated 15.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 68 of 2021, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance is involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p>Accordingly, the prayer for Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 47 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 426 of 2018

Ex. Hav. Gurusaran Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Capt. Priyanka, Departmental Representative for respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">During the course of hearing Ld. Counsel for the applicant admitted that in compliance of the order under execution P.P.O. has been issued and arrears have been credited in applicant's account. However, his submission is that it is yet to be ensured whether arrears include interest or not.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, the Departmental Representative submitted that order under execution being once implemented by issuing P.P.O. and arrears being credited in applicant's account Execution Application should be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that order has been implemented by issuing P.P.O. and crediting arrears in applicant's account, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous with liberty to applicant to file application afresh if arrear does not include interest.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 68 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 541 of 2018

Ex. Gnr. (Opr) Satya Prakash
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents are intending to file review application against the order under execution, therefore, hearing on Execution Application be adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The above submission of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents has been vehemently opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant saying order under execution is dated 10.02.2021 and as per direction contained in the order it was to be complied within four months from the date of order which being not done, it should be seriously viewed against the respondents and they should be directed to implement the order at the earliest.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides, we are of the definite view that hearing of the Execution Application cannot be adjourned on the reason that respondents are intending to file review application against the order in question. However, considering that it is already stated in the order that if the order is not complied within the time i.e. four months then applicant would be entitled 8% interest per annum till actual payment, respondents are directed to comply with the order and to file affidavit of compliance within four weeks from today failing which they shall be liable to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 69 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 334 of 2019

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Ramapati Upadhya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 that matter pertains to pensionary benefits of Honorary Naib Subedar regarding which direction has already been issued to all Pension Disbursing Authorities. He further submitted that in case arrears have not been received by the applicant, notice may be issued to respondent Nos. 5 and 6 who are responsible for payment of arrears in terms of direction issued.</p> <p>In view of aforesaid, issue notice to respondent Nos. 5 and 6 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p>List on 19.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 416 of 2020

Ex. Lance Nk. Ram Kumar Sharma By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents and Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 321 of 2021

Ex. JWO Ram Tirth Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, a week time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.01.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 474 of 2021

Sub/Instr. Niketan Kumar Panjiyara
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.02.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 497 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Ganga Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that impleament application is almost ready and will be filed in the registry within a week.</p> <p style="text-align:center">In view of above, list this matter on 24.01.2022.</p> <table style="width:100%"><tr><td style="width:50%; text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="width:50%; text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p><small>AKD/-</small></p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 528 of 2021

Ex. Cpl. Atul Pratap Singh Tomar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents that since he has received para-wise comments today only, four weeks further time be granted to file Counter Affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 09.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 530 of 2021

Ex. Sol Rect Rohit Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that since applicant intends to file rejoinder affidavit, reasonable time be given for the same.</p> <p>Let rejoinder affidavit be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 31.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 688 of 2021

Ex. Nk Neerpal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that two weeks time be granted to file counter affidavit which is allowed and it is clarified that if counter affidavit is not filed in given time, opportunity to file the same will be closed.</p> <p>List on 21.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex. Sgt Somdatt Awasthi Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 21.12.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>SB</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 96 of 2018

Hibju Rahman
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that grievance raised in OA has been redressed by the respondents and PPO has been issued. This factum is admitted to learned counsel for the applicant also that in regard to grievance raised in OA, PPO has been issued and received by the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the fact that grievance raised in OA has been redressed by issuing PPO, OA has become infructuous which is liable to be dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, OA is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 1 of 2019

Hav (MACP Nb Sub) Mrinal Kanti Dan Poddar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri KP Datta, Advocate holding brief of Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is partly allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 585 of 2019

Nb Ris (RT) Rakesh Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is partly allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No.343 of 2020

Hony Nb Sub Dhani Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Judgment is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 283 of 2021

Ex. Sub Brajendra Singh	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 21.12.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p style="margin-top: 5px;">SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 364 of 2021

Ex. MWO Hari Narayan Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>16.12.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 17.01.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

