

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 283 of 2021

Ex.Sub. Brajendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents today in the Court is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 348 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Padmalochan Giri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 827 of 2021 with M.A. No. 975 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sheo Khelawan
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh and Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years, 01 month and 14 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 827 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.02.2022</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 828 of 2021

Mrs. Kiran Devi W/o Cfn. Jai Ram Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh , Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri J.N. Mishra and Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The innocuous prayer of the applicant is that to direct the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 02.06.2021, which is pending with the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 02.06.2021, annexed as Annexure No. 6 of Original Application, by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 829 of 2021 with M.A. No. 976 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Kumar Rajiv Ranjan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years and 15 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 829 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 25.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 830 of 2021 with M.A. No. 977 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Ris. Pradeep Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 25.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 838 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Pramod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 844 of 2021 with M.A. No. 996 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Amarnath Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months and 13 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 844 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Issue notice to respondent Nos. 6 and 7 returnable within four weeks. Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 25.02.2022 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 24.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 845 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1000 of 2021

Smt. Bebi Devi & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Ajeet Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 16 years, 02 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay, supported with affidavit, has been filed.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay is not satisfactory.</p> <p>We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient.</p> <p>As such, delay in filing application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 845 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 846 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Somveer Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 850 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Sharafat Minya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 993 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 21 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Anand Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 993 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">In the order dated 26.10.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 21 of 2021 the date of discharge be corrected as '26.07.2006' in place of '26.07.2016'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1001 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1014 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 323 of 2020

Ex. Rect. Prasanta Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this application seeking leave to appeal against the order dated 16.09.2021 in Original Application No. 323 of 2020 under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by which Original Application has been dismissed.</p> <p>Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the respondents we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the matter, therefore, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1005 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1006 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 629 of 2020

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Cfn. Bhupendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicants-Union of India & Others have filed this application seeking leave to appeal against the order dated 08.10.2021 in Original Application No. 629 of 2020 under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by which Original Application has been dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the matter, therefore, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 55 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 316 of 2016

Jitender Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that matter regarding implementation of the order is pending with PS Directorate, therefore, four weeks time be granted to make compliance of the order and to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed. It is also directed that if the order is not complied within the time given, respondents shall pay Rs.10,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 53 of 2021

Vishram Singh Rajput Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhay Singh claiming to be the son of applicant Shri Vishram Singh Rajput appeared and submitted that Shri Vishram Singh Rajput has died on 19.10.2021. He also produced a copy of death certificate for perusal of the Bench which shows that Shri Vishram Singh Rajput has died on 19.10.2021.</p> <p>Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>Let steps for substitution be taken.</p> <p>List on 18.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 336 of 2021

Brig. Harish Chandra Joshi, VSM (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavits filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and respondent No. 5 are taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 391 of 2021

Ex. MWO Ram Lakhan Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit and Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents are taken on record.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is also taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 536 of 2021

Sgt. Vidya Sagar Dwivedi (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and JWO Harinder Singh, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by JWO Harinder Singh, Departmental Representative for the respondents that parawise comments has not yet been received in the matter, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to file Counter Affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 11.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 537 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Maj. Akhilesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2022.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1016 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1017 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 210 of 2020

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sub. Maj. Subhash Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicants – Union of India & Others have filed this application seeking leave to appeal against the order dated 02.07.2021 in Original Application No. 210 of 2020 under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by which Original Application has been dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the matter, therefore, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1018 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1019 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 09 of 2017

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Smt. Radha Devi
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicants – Union of India & others have filed this application seeking leave to appeal against the order dated 23.03.2021 in Original Application No. 09 of 2017 under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by which Original Application has been dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the matter, therefore, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1002 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 398 of 2017

Smt. Minakshi Bisht
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1002 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in application, early hearing application is allowed.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 398 of 2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant may be permitted to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed being withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Reserved

Court No.1

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No 6 of 2014

Ex Sep Ramesh Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>T.A. No. 6 of 2020, Ex Sep Ramesh Prasad, vs. Union of India and others is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Reserved

Court No.1

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

O.A. No 86 of 2018

Ex Sgt AK Das
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>O.A. No. 86 of 2018, Ex Sgt AK Das, vs. Union of India and others is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 632 of 2021

Ex Naik Naresh Chand Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he received parawise comments yesterday only, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to file counter affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2022.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 636 of 2021

Ex Nk Nageshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he received parawise comments yesterday only, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to file counter affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 16.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex Sgt Somdatt Awasthi
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>During the course of hearing a quarry was put to learned counsel for the respondents that when there is no restriction regarding employability of applicant in medical board proceedings, how the condonation board has put restriction disapproving applicant's promotion, more so when the applicant was not medically examined by the condonation board, learned counsel for the respondents sought time to produce entire medical board proceedings of the applicant before the Bench which is allowed.</p> <p>Let entire medical board proceedings relating to applicant be produced for perusal of Bench on the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 14.01.2022 for further hearing.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to Air Force, Legal Cell for further action.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1007 of 2021 Ex-A No. 96 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 149 of 2019

Ex. Sep Kundan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1007 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the facts averred in affidavit, early hearing application is allowed.</p> <p><u>Ex-A No. 96 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 149 of 2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard learned counsel for both the parties.</p> <p>While drawing attention towards order dated 05.01.2021, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that order to pay Rs. 50,000/- as cost was conditional that in case corrigendum PPO entitling applicant to service element @ 50% along with disability element from 01.11.2008 is not issued within four months from the date of order, the respondents shall pay Rs. 50,000/- as cost to the applicant. He further submitted that despite four months time being provided to respondents to implement the order, implementation was done on 28.01.2021 and order was complied with, therefore cost Rs. 50,000/- was not payable to the applicant. However, in the order dated 05.01.2021, a direction has been issued to respondents to pay the cost to the applicant which needs modification to the effect that no cost is payable to the applicant.</p> <p>We have gone through the order dated 17.12.2021 wherein it is stated that respondents shall issue issued corrigendum PPO to the applicant regarding payment of service element along with disability element @ 50% w.e.f. 01.11.2008. In compliance of this, Corrigendum PPO has been issued entitling applicant to service element along with disability element @ 50% w.e.f. 01.11.2008. In the Corrigendum PPO interest @ 9% per annum has also been provided w.e.f. 01.11.2008, as directed in the order. Thus, this order stands</p>

complied with since Corrigendum PPO has been issued much before the given time, applicant is not entitled to Rs. 50,000/- as cost.

In view of this matter, the order needs to be modified. Accordingly, the order dated 11.11.2021 is modified and it is clarified that cost imposed vide order dated 05.01.2021 is not payable to the applicant.

List on **06.01.2022**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 590 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1868 of 2018

Sqn Ldr DH Prasad (Retd) & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>While hearing arguments of learned counsel of the parties a need was felt to call Joint Director CDA (Pension) Allahabad to assist the Court.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2022 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Joint Director CDA (Pension) Allahabad shall be present physically to assist the Court.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to respondents within 48 hours for necessary action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 599 of 2017

Maj Rajendra Singh & 23 Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">After hearing arguments of learned counsel of the parties a need was felt to call Joint Director CDA (Pension) Allahabad to assist the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.02.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Joint Director CDA (Pension) Allahabad shall be present physically to assist the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Copy of this order be provided to respondents within 48 hours for necessary action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 276 of 2018

Tejinder Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, holding brief of Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 27.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 685 of 2020

Sub Upendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri KK Singh Bisht, holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 07.02.2022 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 193 of 2021

Sub (NT) Suresh Shirahatti
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant and as a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 10.02.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

....

...
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.12.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>