O.A. No. 633 of 2021 with M.A. No. 705 of 2021

Ex. Cpl. Ram Sewak Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	00.40.0004
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the
	respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The present Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability
	pension with delay of more than 38 years.
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that delay in filing of
	Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.
	In reply, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that there being a long
	delay of more than 38 years and the same being not properly explained, delay in filing
	Original Application should not be condoned.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents further submitted that this being the second
	Original Application for the grant of disability pension should be dismissed being not
	maintainable. He further submitted that in respect of same reliefs based on the same
	cause of action applicant had filed the first Original Application being Original
	Application No. 315 of 2011, titled Ram Sewak Yadav Versus Union of India & Others,
	in Regional Bench, Chandigarh of Armed Forces Tribunal which was dismissed on
	08.12.2014 with observation that "There are no medical documents either with the
	petitioner or with the respondents regarding his disability as claimed by the petitioner
	to be given in his Invaliding Medical Board which can be placed before this court. In
	view of this and also that Re-assessment Medical Board dated 08.01.2013 has found
	the petitioner to be "reasonably functional at home and carrying out all his social
	responsibilities and tending to his farms as well as no significant
	active'/residual/negative psychotic symptoms" and assessed his disability at only 5%,
	we have no alternative but to go by the assessment of the Re-assessment Medical
	Board".
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that first Original
	Application filed by the applicant being based on same cause of action and fro same
	relief being dismissed by Regional Bench, Chandigarh of Armed Forces Tribunal, the
	second Original Application for the same relief on the same cause of action cannot be
	entertained being not maintainable.

During the course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant admitted that this is the second Original Application for the grant of disability pension on the same cause of action on which the first Original Application was filed in Regional Bench, Chandigarh of Armed Forces Tribunal, which was dismissed on 08.12.2014 with observations stated above.

In view of the fact that present Original Application is the second Original Application for the grant of disability pension based on the same cause of action on which the first Original Application has been dismissed by a Regional Bench with observation that due to non-availability of medical documents it is not possible to decide the disability claim, we are of the firm view that present Original Application is liable to be dismissed being not maintainable.

We are also of the view that there being a delay of more than 38 years and the same being not properly explained, Original Application is liable to dismissed on the ground of delay also.

Accordingly, Original Application is **dismissed** being barred by time and not maintainable also.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/-

O.A. No. 631 of 2021 with M.A. No.703 of 2021

Ex. Cpl. Pratap Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish
	Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The Original Application has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months
	and 22 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary
	matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of
	delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day
	explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	<u>O.A. No. 631 of 2021</u>
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 30.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 21.12.2021 .
	List the matter before mounal on Z1.12.20Z1 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 632 of 2021 with M.A. No. 704 of 2021

Ex. Naik Naresh Chand Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ten ble vice Admiral Abridy Ragnanath Raive, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh
	Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 06 months
	and 20 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary
	matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of
	delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day
	explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	O.A. No. 632 of 2021
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 30.11.2021 for exchange of pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 21.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 636 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Nageshwar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 30.11.2021 for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 21.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 637 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Virender Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate
	on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind
	Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 01.12.2021 for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 22.12.2021.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 638 of 2021 with M.A. No. 712 of 2021

Applicant

Ex. Sgt. Rajeev Kumar By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.
	Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 10 years, 03 months and 22 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly. O.A. No. 638 of 2021
	It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List the matter before Registrar on 01.12.2021 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 22.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 639 of 2021 with M.A. No. 713 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Autar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The Original Application has been filed with delay of 12 years, 10 months
	and 22 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary
	matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of
	delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day
	explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	O.A. No. 639 of 2021
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 02.12.2021 for exchange of pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 04.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 640 of 2021 with M.A. No. 714 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Jagpal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021 Han'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava Member (I)
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf
	of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The Original Application has been filed with delay of 11 years, 11months
	and 22 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary
	matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of
	delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day
	explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	O.A. No. 640 of 2021
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 02.12.2021 for exchange of pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 04.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 641 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Vijay Bahadur

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 02.12.2021 for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 04.01.2022.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 73 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 551 of 2018

Applicant

Vijay Singh Kurmi By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record.
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	It is a fresh Execution Application.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instructions within two
	weeks.
	List on 14.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
	Member (A) (Justice Offesti Chandra Srivastava)
	AKD/-

M.A. No. 686 of 2021 with M.A. No. 687 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 187 of 2020

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Respondent

Ex. Gnr. Mahesh Prasad By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants -
	Union of India and Others.
	As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to
	Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been
	moved which being not required is dismissed .
	This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30
	read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After
	perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of
	law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.
	Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

M.A. No. 715 of 2021 with M.A. No. 716 of 2021 Inre : M.A. No. 1932 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Smt. Savitri Devi (Wife of Ex. ME II Om Prakash) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kaushik Chatterjee,
	Advocate appearing on behalf of respondents and files Memo of Appearance
	which is taken on record.
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that he has not
	received the copy of recall application.
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to furnish copy of recall
	application to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within three days.
	Respondents will have two weeks time to file objection, if any, against
	recall application, after receipt of copy.
	List on 24.11.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 116 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 137 of 2017

Awadhesh Kumar Pandey By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ton ble vice Admiral Abriay Naghunath Narve, member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Capt. Priyanka Rathore, Departmental
	Representative for respondents are present.
	Major H.C. Pradhan, Senior Record Officer, Artillery Records, Nasik is
	also present in compliance of order dated 22.09.2021 and he submits that
	against the order under execution Special Leave Petition has been filed in
	Hon'ble Apex Court. He also submits that conditional sanction has also been
	sought in the matter, but the same has not been received so far.
	On the last date, we had directed that concerned responsible officer
	from Artillery Directorate, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi be physically present
	before the Tribunal to clarify the reasons for non compliance of order under
	execution.
	The Officer, who is today present in Court, is not one who was
	summoned. Therefore, it is again directed that a concerned responsible officer
	from PS Directorate of Adjutant General's Branch, Integrated Headquarters of
	Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi be compulsorily physically present
	before the Court on the next date fixed to explain why order under execution
	has not been complied with.
	List on 25.11.2021.
	Respondents to deposit the entire cost due against them by the next
	date fixed.
	A copy of the order be provided to the Departmental Representative
	within two days for compliance.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 07 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 275 of 2018

Smt. Lalita W/o Ex. Sep. Nathu Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Objection to affidavit of compliance filed by the applicant is taken on
	record.
	Heard Shri Bachchan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	On the basis of letter dated 27.09.2021 of Principal Controller of
	Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj (Allahabad), the Ld. Counsel for the
	submitted that original applicant was in receipt of service element since 1983
	which continued till his death. He further submitted that in the last Re-Survey
	Medical Board held on 25.03.2019 the disability element of original applicant
	was found Nil, therefore, disability element was stopped.
	Shri Bachchan Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of applicant
	also admits that original applicant was in receipt of service element till his death
	which took place on 29.12.2020. His only objection is against special opinion
	with regard to mental state of original applicant given in report of Re-Survey
	Medical Board saying if the disability of original applicant was 40% for life it
	should not be Nil in special opinion.
	We have gone through the report of Re-Survey Medical Board and we
	do not find any infirmity therein.
	In view of the fact that original applicant was in receipt of service
	element till his death, we find that order execution has been complied with,
	therefore, Execution Application deserves to be dismissed.
	Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 38 of 2019 Inre : T.A. No. 513 of 2010

Ex. Swr. Satendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Col. B.P. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for respondents. It is submitted by Departmental Representative that order under execution has been complied with except the interest part in regard to which papers have been moved. She seeks and is allowed four weeks time to make complete compliance of the order. List on 06.12.2021.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex. A. No. 43 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 105 of 2017

Smt. Poonam Shukla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	We have gone through the affidavit of compliance and also the letter
	dated 20.10.2021 annexed therewith which shows that order under execution
	has been complied with.
	Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous
	with direction to respondents to deposit the cost within a week.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 70 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 626 of 2017

Mradpama Devi W/o Late Ex. Naik Rajbir Singh Bhadouria & Others Applicants By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	00.40.0004
	<u>22.10.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr.
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in
	compliance of the order Government Sanction has been accorded on
	15.09.2021. It is further submitted that cost imposed will be deposited very
	soon.
	In view of above, respondents are directed to file affidavit of
	compliance within four weeks.
	List on 30.11.2021 .
	(Vice Admired Abbey Regulate Kerve) (Justice Umach Chandre Srivesteve)
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 93 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 481 of 2018

Dushyant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Orders of the Tribunal
22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that order under execution
is in the process of implementation. He further submitted to grant four weeks
time to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.
List on 08.12.2021 .
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex. A. No. 26 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 732 of 2020

Col. S.K. Dhawan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that order under execution has been complied with which admitted to Ld. Counsel for the applicant also. Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex. A. No. 43 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 80 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Jeewan Chandra Pandey By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in P.P.O.
	issued in compliance of the order service element has been shown payable for
	two years only, whereas it should have been for life.
	In reply, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that in
	R.S.M.B. held under order of this Tribunal the disability of the applicant
	assessed as Nil and since there was a direction to grant disability pension for a
	period of two years only, the same has been mentioned in the P.P.O.
	We have gone through the order under execution and the
	submissions made by the Ld. Counsel of parties and we find that even if in
	R.S.M.B. the disability of the applicant has been assessed Nil, he is entitled to
	service element for life being a case of invalidation.
	Respondents are, therefore, directed to issue Corrigendum P.P.O.
	regarding grant of service element for life within four weeks from today.
	List on 07.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

Ex. A. No. 60 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 466 of 2019

Ex. Hav. Muneshwar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Rahul Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant intend to file
	objection against affidavit of compliance, therefore, two weeks time be granted
	for the same.
	As prayed, let objection be filed within two weeks.
	List on 24.11.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 479 of 2019

Smt. Krishna Devi W/o Ex. Sep. (Late) Jagdish Prakash Sharma By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in
	compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal, P.P.O. has been issued and copy whereof has been annexed along with compliance report. We have gone through the copy of P.P.O. annexed with affidavit of compliance and find that order has been complied with.
	Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed being infructuous.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 121 of 2020

Ex. Rect. Sukhwant Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Col. B.P. Singh (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 13.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 247 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Sanjay Singh Yadav By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that he will be filing
	Rejoinder Affidavit today in the registry during the course of the day.
	List on 04.02.2022 for final hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 296 of 2020

Col. Suresh Pratap Singh (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Original Application is allowed.
	For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.
	Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have
	been disposed of.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

O.A. No. 640 of 2020

Ex. Ni. Gajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Original Application is allowed.
	For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.
	Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have
	been disposed of.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 605 of 2020

Siya Ram

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present. Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondents is taken on record. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that grievances raised in O.A. have been redressed, therefore, O.A. may be dismissed being infructuous. Accordingly, O.A. is dismissed being infructuous.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 640 of 2020

Ex Nk Gajendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht and Shri Prakhar Kankan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Judgment (allowed)-shortfall in service is condoned.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 700 of 2020

Capt Parit Sagar Baburao

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant has submitted an application dated 21.10.2021 which is taken on record. He sought adjournment on the ground that he is out of station. Accordingly, the case is adjourned. List on 09.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 101 of 2021

Ex Ris Shiv Mangal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the second size taken we fan heering Ohri Ashels Komen, het Osward
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.
	Judgment (dismissed).
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 116 of 2021

Ex Sgt Pius Kumar Chaubey By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

sus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	No one appears on behalf of the applicant, nor is there any mention to
	pass over the case.
	Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.
	The O.A. is dismissed in default.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No.122 of 2021

Ex Rect Hambir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Sundar Bajpai, Advocate holding brief for Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant has died on 23.04.2021. Steps for substitution be taken. List on 17.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 229 of 2021

Ex Lance Nk Ram Soorat Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. On the request of learned counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit. List on 20.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 273 of 2021

Bachchu Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri BB Tripathi and Shri Amit
	Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondent No. 1-3 are present.
	Notices issued to respondent No. 4-5 have not been received back
	undelivered, therefore, service of notice is held sufficient upon them.
	No one is present on their behalf, the case shall proceed ex-parte
	against them.
	List on 22.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
	Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 73 of 2016

Hukum Chand

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative
	for the respondents are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing. List on 21.02.2022 .
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 262 of 2017

Sub Sanjay Kumar Kushwaha By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>22.10.2021</u>
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ton ble vice Autima Abriay Nagnunati Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel
	for the respondents is present.
	Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant has
	submitted an application dated 21.10.2021 which is taken on record.
	He sought adjournment on the ground that he is out of station.
	Accordingly, the case is adjourned.
	List on 21.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
	Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 276 of 2017 alongwith O.A. No. 748 of 2020 Lt Col Kamal Singh (Retd) & Ors Applicants By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants Versus **Union of India & Others** Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents alongwith Smt Sushila Chauhan, W/o Late Lt Col Applicant **Ranjit Singh Chauhan (Retd)** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant Versus Union of India & Ors Respondents By Legal Practitioner for Respondents Notes of Orders of the Tribunal the Registry 22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A) On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Written arguments filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record. On the request made by learned counsel for the applicant through application dated 21.10.2021, the case is adjourned.

List on **22.02.2022**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 590 of 2017 with M.A. No.1868 of 2018

Sqn Ldr DH Prasad (Retd) & Others By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicants

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 21.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No.599 of 2017

Maj Rajendra Singh & 23 Others By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Hon ble vice Admiral Abriay Ragnunatii Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for
	the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	List on 21.12.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 262 of 2018

Jawahar Lal Pal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SS Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	Learned counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.
	List on 14.02.2022 for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
	Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 364 of 2019

Ex Hav Mohd Nizamuddin

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the
	respondents are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on 04.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 191 of 2020

Ex Nk Baraiya Jasvant Sinh Bhem Sinh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Bihari Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. List on 18.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 278 of 2020

Smt. Upasna W/o Late Ex Rfn Badan Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ton ble vice Admiral Abnay Ragnunath Raive, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Col BP Singh (Retd), Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are present.
	Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on
	record.
	It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that he has not
	received copy of supplementary counter affidavit as yet.
	Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to furnish copy of
	supplementary counter affidavit to learned counsel for the applicant during
	course of the day.
	List on 21.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 379 of 2020

Ex Sigmn Rohitash Gurjar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that rejoinder affidavit shall be filed today in the registry. List on 16.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 421 of 2020

Ex Gnr Ram Sharan @ Ram Saran

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 25.11.2021 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 610 of 2020

Applicant

Ravinder Kumar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	22.10.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri
	Ravi Prakash Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar
	Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.
	It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that rejoinder affidavit
	is almost ready and he shall file it within a week.
	Let rejoinder affidavit be filed within a week as prayed.
	It is clarified that if rejoinder affidavit is not filed within the given time, the
	opportunity to file the same shall be closed without any reference.
	List on 25.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No.723 of 2020

Ex Swr Navinder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. List on 18.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 13 of 2021 with M.A. 140 of 2021 alongwith Dy. No. 669 of 2016 (Decided)

Versus

Ex. Hav Lal Babu Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. On the last date i.e. 25.08.2021 respondents were directed to file supplementary counter affidavit but the same has not been filed so far. Let it be filed within a week failing which opportunity to file the same shall be closed. List on 17.02.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. No. 110 of 2021

Ex Gnr Pawan Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	<u>22.10.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for
	the respondents is present.
	It is informed that Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, learned counsel
	for the applicant is out of station.
	List on 18.02.2022 .
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	rathore

O.A. (A) No. 105 of 2015

Trilok Dutt

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 17.02.2022 . On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

O.A. (A) No. 239 of 2016

Shashi Mohan Singh

Appellant

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht and Shri Udayvir Singh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 14.02.2022 . On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

T.A. No. 284 of 2010

Umesh Kumar Chourasia

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. It is informed that Col YR Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant is reported to be ill. List on 13.01.2022 .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

T.A. No.596 of 2010

Udai Veer Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Petitioner

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	22.10.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Col BP Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative
	for the respondents are present.
	It is informed that Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents is not feeling well.
	List on 14.01.2022 .
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

T.A. No. 1053 of 2010

Ptambar Datt Nainwal (Deceased) Through His Wife Smt Kalawati Devi

Petitioner

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. List on 17.01.2022 . On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore

T.A. No. 7 of 2018

Atul Kumar Singh

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>22.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief for Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present. List on 10.02.2022 . On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original records pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) rathore