

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 717 of 2021 with M.A. No. 828 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Munishwar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 13 years, 09 months and 22 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 717 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 718 of 2021 with M.A. No. 829 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Rakesh Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The matter pertains to grant of disability pension and there is a delay of 14 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application, supported with affidavit, has been filed for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p>We have gone through the cause shown in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p>Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 718 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 719 of 2021 with M.A. No. 830 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Prakash Chandra Joshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years and 08 days.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 718 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 720 of 2021 with M.A. No. 833 of 2021

Ex. MA II/EX V.P. Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of disability pension. There is a delay of 28 years, 11 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application, supported with an affidavit, for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It has been stated in affidavit that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated therein. It has also been submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter as cause of action in such matter accrues every month.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter and cause shown for the delay is sufficient, we condone the delay. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 720 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 721 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Amar Nath Chaubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter pertains to disability pension and there is no delay in filing Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The points raised in Original Application need adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Departmental Representative seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 722 of 2021 with M.A. No. 834 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Kali Prasad Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application seeking direction to the respondents to pay pension of Honorary Naib Subedar to the applicant. There is a delay of 13 years, 08 months and 13 days in filing Original Application regarding which delay condonation application has been moved and in support thereof an affidavit has also been filed.</p> <p>It is stated in the affidavit that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional. It has also been submitted that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter as cause of action in such matter accrues every month.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being a delay of more than 13 years and same being not properly and satisfactorily explained, the delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter and also that delay in filing Original Application is not intentional, we condone the delay. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 722 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 723 of 2021 with M.A. No. 835 of 2021

Brig. Saif UI Islam Khan (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 724 of 2021 with M.A. No. 836 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Vinod Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application seeking direction to respondents to condone the shortfall of 06 days in completing 24 years qualifying service and to grant third MACP in terms of Government of India, Ministry of Defence letter dated 30.05.2011.</p> <p>There is a delay of 11 years, 06 months and 13 days in filing Original Application regarding which an application, supported with affidavit, for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that delay in filing of Original Application is not intentional, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application.</p> <p>We have gone through the cause shown in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 724 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 725 of 2021 with M.A. No. 837 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Ajit Kumar Giri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application seeking direction to respondents to enter the name of applicant's wife in the P.P.O. and to issue Canteen Card as well as E.C.H.S. facility</p> <p>There is a delay of 12 years, 02 months and 28 days regarding which an application, supported with an affidavit, for condonation of delay has been moved stating therein that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated therein.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer stating that there being a delay of more than 12 years in filing of Original Application and the same being not properly and satisfactory explained, as there is no explanation for day to day delay, the delay should not be condoned.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, delay is liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay is condoned.</p> <p>The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 725 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 726 of 2021 with M.A. No. 838 of 2021

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Mahabir Prasad Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of pensionary benefits. He has also filed an application seeking condonation of delay, whereas as per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, therefore, delay condonation application being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 727 of 2021 with M.A. No. 839 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Ganga Dayal Shaktya
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Tiwari and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 14.01.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 02.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

**T.A. No. 9 of 2021
Diary No. 5030 of 2021 AFT(PB) New Delhi**

Sub. Ram Prawesh Mani By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This case has been received from Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p>It is submitted by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant that defects have already been removed.</p> <p>We have heard Shri Shailednra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and we find that points raised in Original Application need consideration, therefore, Original Application is admitted for hearing.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Thereafter, Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 18.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 81 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 419 of 2018

Brig. Surendra Nath Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instructions in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 14.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 810 of 2021 with M.A. No. 811 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 212 of 2016

Smt. Vinod W/o Late Sub. Yogendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 24 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 266 of 2015

Ex. L/Nk. Hari Bahadur
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that direction of this Tribunal with regard to grant of service element by way of issuing P.P.O. is in active consideration and may be completed within three weeks, therefore, three weeks further time be granted for filing affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Ld. Counsel for the applicant has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that respondents are not complying with the order under execution without any sufficient reasons and they have also not paid the cost imposed upon them.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents are directed to make compliance of the order within three weeks failing which they shall be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- as cost to the applicant. They are further directed to pay the cost imposed earlier by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 21.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let a copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT, Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 51 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 198 of 2016

Ex. Sep. (DSC) Hardayal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, a week further time is granted to take steps for filing impleadment application.</p> <p>List on 04.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 63 of 2019 Inre : T.A. No. 185 of 2009

Ex. Nk. Vijay Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that order under execution is still in process of implementation, therefore, three weeks further time be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Ld. Counsel for the applicant strongly opposes the aforesaid submission saying that applicant has not yet received the fruits of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, respondents are directed to implement the order within three weeks, as prayed, failing which they shall be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- as cost, to the applicant. They are further directed to pay the cost imposed earlier by the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 28 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 119 of 2019

Hony. Nb. Sub. Laladhar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents filed affidavit of compliance in Court which is taken on record.</p> <p>It is stated in para 2 of the affidavit that in compliance of the order issued by this Bench P.P.O. has already been issued to the applicant and arrears Rs.2,42,215/- has also been credited in applicant's account on 25.03.2021. It is also stated that applicant is received service pension @21,653/- per month as per 7th CPC report.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid, the order under execution seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being rendered infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 53 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 572 of 2019

Ex. Sep. (MACP) Godhan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that in compliance of the order under execution Government Sanction has been accorded on 30.07.2021. He further submitted to grant four weeks time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 16 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Sep. Pappu Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 is present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 submitted a sheet containing present address of respondent No. 6. The said sheet is taken on record.</p> <p>Let notice be issued to respondent No. 6 on the address furnished today returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p>List on 07.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 654 of 2021 with M.A. No. 655 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 40 of 2012

Ex. Ptr. Mahabir Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and he informs that he has received a message from Mr. Anju Saini, the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that his mother-in-law is ill and is admitted in hospital and he is unable to attend the proceedings in 2-3 weeks.</p> <p style="text-align:center">In view of above, list the matter on 06.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 154 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Rajeev Kumar Sengar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that detailed Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents be taken on record which is allowed.</p> <p>The Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Applicant may file Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 04.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

By Circulation

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

R.A. No.65 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 356 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Vishnu Prashad Gautam

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The file has been placed before us by Circulation.</p> <p>The Review Applicant has filed this application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 by which applicant has prayed for review and setting aside the judgement and order dated 12.10.2021 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No.356 of 2021 <i>inter alia</i> on the ground that applicant has not been provided the opportunity of filing Rejoinder Affidavit, there are missing of some para numbers and not considering the fact that destruction of service documents cannot bar for claiming pension.</p> <p>The operating portion of the order reads as under:-</p> <p><i>"In view of the above, we are unable to decide the case in vacuum after a prolonged gap of more than 31 years from the date of invaliding out from service. Original Application is devoid of merit and is liable to be dismissed. It is accordingly dismissed."</i></p> <p>It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed. For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-</p> <p><i>"1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</i><i>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</i><i>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order."</i>

In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."

We have gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed and no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in the review application so that order may be reviewed.

In the result, Review Application is **rejected**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No 93 of 2018

Nagesh Bahadur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri VK Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>O.A. No. 93 of 2018, Nagesh Bahadur, vs. Union of India and others is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No 91 of 2021

Ex Sep Raj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>O.A. No. 91 of 2021, Ex Sep Raj Kumar, vs. Union of India and others is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 258 of 2021

Ex JWO Manoj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on 04.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 441 of 2021

Ex Sep Ram Milan Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla for respondent No 1 to 4 and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondent No. 1 to 4, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit and the same time is granted to respondent No 5 and 6 also.</p> <p>List on 25.01.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 442 of 2021

Ex Hav Avadh Bihari Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondent, list on 25.11.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. (A) No. 133 of 2021

Smt. Manju Devi

By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 11.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

R.A. No. 7 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 1 of 2021

Nk Ali Anwarali Manwarali

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, O.A. is dismissed as withdrawn.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 5 of 2021

Hony Capt Suresh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>None appears to represent the case of the applicant, nor is there any mention made on his behalf to pass over or adjourn the case.</p> <p>The order of this Tribunal dated 13.09.2021 directing the applicant to furnish copy of paper book of T.A. to learned counsel for the respondents has also not been complied with. Non compliance of order shows that applicant is not interested to pursue the case.</p> <p>Accordingly, we dismiss the application for non prosecution.</p> <p>Interim order, if any, shall stand vacated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 831 of 2021 Inre O.A. 411 of 2021

Sub Ginesh Kochukuttan Kurup
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Considering the urgency involved in the matter as submitted by learned counsel for the applicant the early hearing of the application is allowed.</p> <p>Let O.A. be listed on 02.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex –A No. 73 of 2019 with M.A. No. 110 of 2021 M.A. 588 of 2019
Inre O.A. 612 of 2017

Ex Gnr CK Shrivastava
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and he submits that four weeks further time be granted to comply the order which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.01.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Copy of order dated 05.04.2021 as well as copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost within 48 hours.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 267 of 2017

Dinesh Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that order dated 05.10.2021 of this Tribunal be modified to the extent that supplementary affidavit in terms of order dated 22.01.2019 to be filed by the respondents and not by the applicant.</p> <p>We have gone through order dated 22.01.2019 and we find that supplementary affidavit is to be filed by respondent and not by the applicant. Therefore, the order dated 05.10.2021 is modified and the respondents are directed to file supplementary affidavit as directed vide order dated 22.01.2019 within three weeks from today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 07.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 429 of 2017

Pramod Kumar		Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant		
	Versus	
Union of India & Others		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, that sister of Vinay Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant being not well the hearing of the case be adjourned. Accordingly hearing of the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 688 of 2017

Anil Kumar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Col YR Sharma is still stated to be ill. List on 10.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="margin-left: 10px;">UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 303 of 2019

Rect Beeresh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 03.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 374 of 2019

Sgt Sarwan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, applicant Sgt Sarwan Kumar is present in person and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that counter affidavit filed by the respondents be taken on record after condoning delay, if any, in filing counter affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>It is further submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that copy of the counter affidavit has been furnished to Shri SC Yadav, learned counsel for the applicant through mail.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 09.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 103 of 2020 with M.A. No. 978 of 2019

Ex Nk Ranjeet Singh Thakur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Advocate, holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 03.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 213 of 2020 with M.A. No. 2337 of 2017

Capt Ramdeo Singh (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that since parawise comments have been received from the Record Office just now, three weeks further time be granted to file counter affidavit which is allowed. It is clarified that if the counter affidavit is not filed within three weeks from today the opportunity for filing the same shall be closed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant has also not filed copy of O.A. filed in AFT, Chandigarh. Let it be filed within three weeks failing which the applicant shall suffer consequences.</p> <p>List on 21.01.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. (A) No.3 of 2013

Shyambir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A request has been made by Shri DS Kauntae, learned counsel for the applicant to adjourn hearing today which is considered and is allowed. It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be considered on the next date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A.(A) 87 of 2018

Yadav Ajay Kumar Banwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>A request has been made by Maj RD Singh (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant to adjourn hearing today which is considered and is allowed. It is clarified that no further adjournment shall be considered on the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 16.03.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 2 of 2021 with T.A. No. 1230 of 2010

Ex Hav Suresh Chandra	Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	
Versus	
Union of India & Others	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>T.A. No. 2 of 2021</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant is requested to furnish a copy of paper book to learned counsel for the respondent within a week.</p> <p>List on 03.02.22 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 2 of 2021 with T.A. No. 1230 of 2010

Ex Hav Suresh Chandra By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	Petitioner
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>T.A. No. 1230 of 2010</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 03.02.22 for final hearing.</p> <p>Registry is directed to show the name of Shri Sunil Sharma, as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 443 of 2021

Sgt Amit Tripathi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 02.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 444 of 2021

Ex MWO Jitendra Singh Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted three weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 07.01.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex A. No 6 of 2020 Inre O.A. No 148 of 2018

Ex Spr Raj Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>23.11.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that though PPO has been generated by the respondents and copy has been received by the applicant but copy of PPO has not been furnished by Record Office to concerned bank, therefore, amount has not been credited in the account of the applicant.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to forward copy of PPO to concerned bank so that payment can be made to the applicant.</p> <p>It has been informed by the respondents that Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad deputed to assist this Tribunal has been changed. He is full familiar with the functioning of Tribunal and with his assistance, number of cases are decided then and there. Changing a responsible person without information is not appreciated.</p> <p>Principal Controller of Defence Account (Pension), Allahabad is directed to depute Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Account Officer, of PCDA (Pension) Allahabad to assist the Court immediately.</p> <p>List on 04.01.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>