

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 290 of 2016
Along with
M.A. No. 170 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is the second Execution Application for implementation of order dated 18.01.2018 passed in Original Application No. 290 of 2016, titled Harendra Vikram Singh Versus Union of India and Others, by which applicant was directed to be reinstated in service forthwith in the last rank he held at the time of discharge and to continue to be in service till he attains qualifying service entitling him to pension for that rank. It was also directed in the order that applicant shall be paid 30% of the salary for the period he was not in service along with other financial benefits and respondents were directed to give effect to this order within a period of six months from the date a certified copy of the order was produced before them.</p> <p>In regard to implementation of the aforesaid order applicant had filed Execution Application No. 48 of 2018 which was finally disposed of on 06.08.2018 with observation that applicant having joined the duty at HQ, MIRC the order under execution stands complied with.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in compliance of the order under execution applicant has received 30% salary of the period he was not in service besides being reinstated into service on 25.07.2018 and discharged from service on 30.06.2019. It is also submitted that applicant is in receipt of ordinary service pension of the rank of Sepoy from the next date of discharge. The contention of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that as per order dated 18.01.2018 passed in</p>

Original Application, respondents were directed to reinstate applicant in service forthwith, and in compliance thereof applicant reported his duty on 03.02.2018 at his Unit, but he was not allowed to join. He further contended that forthwith reinstatement means immediate reinstatement in service and the same being not done in the case of applicant, he is entitled to full salary for the period from 03.02.2018 to 24.07.2018 which the respondents have not paid.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant further contended that applicant has been allowed reinstatement in service treating discharge order illegal, and this was the reason he was allowed 30% of the salary during the period he was not in service. This shows that after reinstatement in service applicant was entitled to get the same salary which his batch mates were getting in the Pay Band of Rs.35,300/-. Thus, he contended that applicant is also entitled to same Pay Band as his juniors were getting.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant further contended that despite being reinstated in service and remained in service for about 11 months applicant has been paid salary for three months only i.e. for the months of March, April and May, 2019. Therefore, he is entitled to salary for remaining eight months also.

In reply, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that delay if any in taking applicant on strength in compliance of the order under execution is not deliberate, but that being a procedural delay, applicant cannot be allowed salary for the period from 03.02.2018 to 24.07.2018 which he claims. He further submitted that applicant has been allowed to be reinstated in service in the same rank he held at the time of discharge, therefore, he cannot claim salary in the same Pay Band his juniors were getting at the time of his (applicant) reinstatement, thus, nothing is payable to him in this regard also.

As regards non-payment of salary for a period of eight months after reinstatement, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that he will seek instructions in this regard from the authority concerned and on being found that no salary has been paid for the period he claims, the needful would be done.

Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the order under execution, we find that after Original Application being allowed and respondents being directed to take applicant on strength of Army forthwith, they were under obligation to take applicant on strength of Army with immediate effect, and not with

delay of more than six months in the name of procedural delay. Considering this and also that applicant had reported duty on 03.02.2018, but was not allowed, he is entitled to full salary for the period from 03.02.2018 to 24.07.2018.

On perusal of order under execution it appears that applicant has been allowed to be reinstated in service forthwith holding his discharge order was bad in the eyes of law. This is the reason he has been allowed 30% of the salary for the period he was not in service and in that case he is entitled to be reinstated in the service in the same Pay Band in which his juniors were at the relevant time. Therefore, applicant is also entitled to get difference of salary from the day he was reinstated in service and discharged from service after attaining the age of superannuation.

As regards non payment of salary for a period of eight months, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to seek instructions in the matter and in the event of salary being not paid, respondents shall release salary to the applicant forthwith.

Let Corrigendum P.P.O. be issued in terms of above observations/ directions within a period of six weeks from today and the same be brought on record by way of affidavit.

List on **06.01.2022.**

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 170 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020
Along with
Ex. A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 290 of 2016

Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 06.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 643 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (Clk. SD) Ajeet Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Capt. Priyanka Rathore, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 06.12.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 05.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 644 of 2021 with M.A. No. 726 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Santosh Kumar Sharma By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 years and 15 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 644 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 06.12.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 05.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 645 of 2021 with M.A. No. 727 of 2021

Ex. Sigm. Nag Narayan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">The present Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of more than 39 years regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been moved.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List on 12.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 646 of 2021 with M.A. No. 730 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Sudhakar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 76 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 276 of 2019

Ex. Hav. Krishna Chandra Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instructions within three weeks.</p> <p>List on 20.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 724 of 2021 with M.A. No. 725 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 469 of 2020

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	Versus	Applicants
Ex. Nk. Kamlesh Singyh By Legal Practitioner for Respondent		Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 728 of 2021 with M.A. No. 729 of 2021
Inre : O.A. No. 408 of 2021 with M.A. No. 422 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Birda Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 32 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 685 of 2017

Ex. Hav. Ramesh Chand

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.B. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>We have gone through the copy of P.P.O. annexed with the affidavit of compliance and find that it is not in terms of the order under execution regarding which submission of Ld. Counsel for the respondents is that case has been processed again to issue Corrigendum P.P.O. in terms of order which may take some time, therefore, four weeks further time be granted to file Supplementary Affidavit of Compliance.</p> <p>As prayed, let Supplementary Affidavit of Compliance be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 08.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 67 of 2019 Inre : T.A. No. 1278 of 2010

Sep/NA VV Khedkar Rao

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 26.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 103 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 240 of 2015

Lt. Col. Vijay Kumar (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>During the course of hearing the Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that Execution Application may be dismissed as order under execution has been complied with and P.P.O. has been issued.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 18 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 320 of 2019

Sgt. Rohitash Kumar Sharma
In Person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Junior Warrant Officer Harinder Singh, Departmental Representative is present and he submits that four weeks further time be granted to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 21 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 601 of 2018

Ex. Nk. Dhruvajt Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submitted that case for implementation of the order under execution has already been processed, therefore, some time be granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Considering critical condition of the applicant, respondents are directed to ensure the compliance of the order under execution at the earliest preferably within three weeks.</p> <p>List on 24.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 22 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 552 of 2018

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Hari Shyam
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vakalatnama filed by Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri D.C. Lohumi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 have already filed affidavit of compliance and the same is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Shri D.C. Lohumi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 two weeks time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 77 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020

Ex. Sep. Kalicharan Thakur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Reply to objection filed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ajeet Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The instant Original Application has been filed against the order dated 14.09.2012 by which applicant has been dismissed from service on charges of having contracted plural marriage.</p> <p>There is a delay of 06 years, 10 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been moved and in support thereof an affidavit has also been filed.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reason that in respect of charges of plural marriage the wife of the applicant had filed a complaint in Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate (Complaint Case No. 983 of 2012, Aarti Kumar Vs. Kalicharan Thakur) at Darbhanga, Bihar which was decided on 08.01.2019 and the applicant was acquitted of the charges. Thus, he submitted that after being acquitted from the charges of plural marriage applicant filed the instant Original Application.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer <i>inter alia</i> on the ground that merely on the reason that a Criminal Case against applicant was pending in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate at Darbhanga, Bihar it could not be a reason he was precluded from approaching this Tribunal for redressal of his grievance.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for both the sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specially the fact that applicant was facing a Criminal Case regarding contracting plural marriage in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate at Darbhanga, Bihar and after being</p>

acquitted in the said case he filed the present Original Application, the cause shown for condonation of delay seems to be sufficient.

Accordingly, we **condone** the delay. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.

Let Original Application be registered.

O.A. No. 655 of 2021

The matter needs adjudication.

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List the matter before Registrar on **07.12.2021** for exchange of pleadings.

List the matter before Tribunal on **06.01.2022**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 81 of 2020 with M.A. No. 901 of 2019

Ex. Nk. Shyam Pal Singh		Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant		
	Versus	
Union of India & Others		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gutpa, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate informed that Shri Ashok Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant was ill and admitted in Medanta Hospital.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering this, three weeks further time is granted to the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.12.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 430 of 2020

Smt. Sushila Tripathi W/o Ex. Cpl. Ramdhan Tripathi & Another Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 39 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Ranjeet Yadav By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 71 of 2021

Lt. Col. Arunesh Prasad Pandey (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is dismissed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 453 of 2021

Pushpa W/o Late Ex. Hav. Mukesh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 234 of 2020

Ex. Sgt. Pradyumn Pathak
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A 106 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 275 of 2017

Smt Seeta Devi, W/o Ex Cfn (Late)
Ram Bahadur Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Sunil Sharma, learned counsel for Respondents No 1 to 5 and Shri Vinay Pandey learned counsel for respondents No 6 and 7 are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents No 1 to 5 that in regard to order dated 06.09.2021, descriptive role has been issued to concerned bank and, to his knowledge, payment has also been made.</p> <p>Respondents No 6 and 7 t file affidavit of compliance within two weeks. In affidavit of compliance the bank shall mention payment made in respect of PPO dated 18.03.2019.</p> <p>List on 26.11.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No 24 of 2017 Inre T.A. No 93 of 2010

Prayag Singh Parihar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that cost Rs. 25,000/- deposited through cheque could not be credited in AFT account due to validity of the cheque being expired.</p> <p>We have gone through office note dated 22.10.2021 which shows that money could not be credited in account due to validity of cheque being expired. Respondents are directed to reissue cheque of Rs. 25,000/- within two weeks from today. On cheque being issued the same shall be submitted for encashment and after being encashed amount shall be paid to the applicant.</p> <p>We have perused inquiry report also and are of the view that no further action is required in the matter.</p> <p>With above, matter is closed.</p> <p>Execution Application stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 265 of 2014

Abhishek Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.11.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 9 of 2019

Maj Anshuman Singh Chandel By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SSL Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record with direction to respondents to supply its copy to learned counsel for the applicant within a week. Applicant on receipt of counter affidavit may file rejoinder affidavit within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 04.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 519 of 2019

Ex Sep Kamal Kishore

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Argument concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 252 of 2020

Umesh Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Yadav, Advocate, holding brief of Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by Shri Anil Yadav, Advocate, two weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 24.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 696 of 2020

Sep.Driver Vijay Amrit Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of learned counsel for the applicant, two weeks further time is granted to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 22.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. (A) 383 of 2018 alongwith
O.A. No.(A) 169 of 2020

**Cpl. Achchan Shekh through his
wife Smt Shaheen Shekh**
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellate

Appellate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yasphal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 20.12.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. (A) 399 of 2021

Ex Nk Radhey Shyam Yadav
 By Legal Practitioner for the Appellate

Appellate

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is informed that Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant is ill.</p> <p>List on 24.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 84 of 2012

Narendra Kumar Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla and Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

T.A. No. 20 of 2017

Dhananjay Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is informed that Col YR Sharma (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant is ill.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 08.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case including directions issued by AOC in C for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 39 of 2017

Govind Singh Negi

By Legal Practitioner for the petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>26.10.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anil Yadav, holding brief of Shri SG Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 08.02.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Tribunal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UTK/-</p>