

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 1849 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018**

**Ex Sep Raj Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri A.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>Supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondent No. 5 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>19.03.2021</b> for hearing on delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**EX.A. No. 204 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 204 of 2015**

**Rajendra Singh**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order under execution is dated 01.12.2017. Submission of learned counsel for the respondents is that after leave to appeal application being dismissed in Oct. 2020, matter has been processed for execution and presently it is pending in the Army Headquarters. Thus, it is submitted that four weeks further time be granted to respondents to ensure compliance which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let compliance be made within four weeks failing which respondents shall be liable to pay Rs. 10,000/- as cost.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>22.03.2021</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>                      Member (A)    Member (J)                 </p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 244 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019**

**Smt Sonali @ Soni Wife of (Late) Gnr Rathod Yogesh Prahlad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant has filed present O.A. for grant of retiral benefits. There is delay of 01 year and 01 day in filing O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing is not deliberate but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying delay has not been satisfactorily explained.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case we find that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, we condone the delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 84 of 2021</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>26.04.2021</b> before the Registrar for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List before the Tribunal on <b>04.05.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**T.A. No. 1065 of 2010 with M.A. No. 437 of 2020**

<b>R.N. Mishra</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	<b>Versus</b>	Petitioner
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>M.A. No. 437 of 2020</u></b></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Correction application moved by petitioner, being not sustainable, is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>T.A. No. 1065 of 2010</u></b></p> <p>Regarding correction, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that she has already filed amended copy of T.A. after serving its copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Let supplementary counter affidavit be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>05.03.2021</b> for orders.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><span>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</span><span>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</span></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 1989 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018**

**Ex Sep Laxman Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that respondents want to file objection against better affidavit, therefore, reasonable time be allowed for the same.</p> <p>Let objection be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>17.03.2021</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p>

SB

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 214 of 2016**

**Smt Rajni Verma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Himanshu Vaish, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 is also present.</p> <p>No reply to supplementary counter affidavit has been filed by respondent No. 2 nor applicant has filed agreement for division of pension.</p> <p>List on <b>18.03.2021</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p>

SB



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 282 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019**

**Hav (Dvr/MT) Dwarika Nath Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri K.K.S. Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant is not in contact with him since long and he has lost interest also as he has retired.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of aforesaid, delay condonation application is dismissed being not pressed. Original Application is also <b>dismissed</b> being barred by time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">SB</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 1 of 2020**

**Ex Ris Ajad Singh Chauhan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed by the applicant with the prayer to decide his appeal dated 12.04.2019 which is pending with the respondents.</p> <p>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the O.A. finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the pending appeal of the applicant dated 12.04.2019 by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>With the aforesaid direction, the Original Application is <b>disposed of</b> finally.</p> <p>Let a copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/Legal Cell within 48 hours, free of cost for onward transmission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Dy No. 73 of 2020 with M.A. No. 1 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2020**

**HFO Chandra Sekhar Pathak (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nagendra Bahadur Singh and Shri Gajendra Nath Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>With regard to defects pointed out by the Registry, only a delay condonation application has been moved, however, no effort has been made to enter the date of relief in the relief clause by way of amendment.</p> <p>Applicant is therefore, directed to do the needful within two weeks from today after serving its copy to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that no copy of delay condonation application has been served to him. Therefore, applicant is directed to serve copy to learned counsel for the respondents by today itself.</p> <p>List on <b>18.02.2021</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**EX.A. No. 110 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 219 of 2015**

<b>Kamta Prasad</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>		
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Respondents are directed to deposit Rs. 25,000/- cost in the Registry, imposed by this Tribunal earlier for non compliance of order, which shall be paid to the applicant through cheque.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Since larger bench has been formed at AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi for disposal of similar issues, therefore, the case is adjourned <b>sine die</b>.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 1275 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018**

**Kripa Shankar Sinha**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>Sgt. Manish Kumar, Departmental Representative for Air Force is also present.</p> <p>The instant O.A. has been filed seeking correction in entry in service record of the applicant.</p> <p>There is delay of 49 years and 18 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing O.A. is not deliberate. Further submission is that no bar of limitation is applicable in pensionary matters.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer on the premise that grounds shown is not sufficient and satisfactory.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case we find that bar of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matters. Therefore, we find that grounds shown is sufficient.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 85 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>26.03.2021</b> before the Registrar for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List before the Tribunal on <b>07.04.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**EX.A. No. 103 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 240 of 2015**

<b>Lt Col Vijay Kumar (Retd)</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	<b>Versus</b>	Applicant
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that since application for modification of order has been dismissed on 07.01.2021, a reasonable time be given to the respondents to ensure compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let compliance be made within six weeks failing which respondents shall be liable to pay Rs. 10,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>18.03.2021</b> for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

O.A. No. 405 of 2018

**Ex Hav Ram Pravesh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>28.01.2021</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 536 of 2019 with M.A. No. 822 of 2019**

**Ex Sep Kamlesh Chaudhary**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 822 of 2019</u></b></p> <p>There is delay of 03 months &amp; 07 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and cause shown for the delay, if any, is sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 536 of 2019</u></b></p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 99 of 2020 with M.A. No. 890 of 2019**

**Smt Sudha Devi W/O Ex Sep (Late) Darshan Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri RN Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>1. This O.A. has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 by which applicant has sought the following reliefs:-</p> <p>“(i) An order or direction quashing the order of the PCDA (P), Allahabad enumerated in Annexure No A-1 and allowing the disability pension since the date of the discharge of the husband of the applicant (24.03.2001) with the arrears and the interest, if any.</p> <p>(ii) To allow the OA with the costs.</p> <p>(iii) Any other or further order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem just, fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”</p> <p>2. Briefly stated facts of the case are that husband of the applicant was re-enrolled in Defence Security Corps (DSC) on 29.06.1985 and was invalided out of service on 24.03.2001 in Low Medical Category for disability 'Unspecified Psychosis' with disability @ 40% for two years neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service (NANA). The applicant is in receipt of two family pensions for services rendered by her husband in army and DSC. The initial claim of disability pension was rejected by the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Allahabad on 28.06.2002 and communicated to husband of the applicant vide letter dated 23.08.2002. Appeal against this rejection order has not been preferred and her husband died on 06.01.2016.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the applicant pleaded that at the time of her husband's enrolment, he was found medically and physically fit for service in the DSC and</p>



there is no note in the service documents that he was suffering from any disease at the time of re-enrolment in DSC. The disease of the applicant was contracted during the service, hence it is attributable to and aggravated by military service. He pleaded that various Benches of Armed Forces Tribunal have granted disability pension in similar cases, as such the deceased soldier be granted disability pension as well as arrears thereof. He further submitted that in similar cases, Hon'ble Apex Court and various Benches of the Armed Forces Tribunals have granted disability pension, as such the applicant is entitled to disability pension and its rounding off to 50%.

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents conceded that disability of husband of the applicant i.e. 'Unspecified Psychosis' has been regarded as 40% for two years by IMB. However, since the disability was opined by IMB to be neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service, his claim for grant of disability pension was rightly rejected. He pleaded for dismissal of the O.A.

5. We have heard learned counsel of both sides. The questions which need to be answered are of two folds :-

(a) Whether the disability of husband of the applicant is attributable to or aggravated by military service?

(b) Whether the husband of the applicant is entitled for the benefit of rounding off of his disability pension, if yes, from which date?

6. The law on attributability of a disability has already been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Dharamvir Singh Versus Union of India & Others*, reported in (2013) 7 Supreme Court Cases 316. In this case the Apex Court took note of the provisions of the Pensions Regulations, Entitlement Rules and the General Rules of Guidance to Medical Officers to sum up the legal position emerging from the same in the following words.

*"29.1. Disability pension to be granted to an individual who is invalided from service on account of a disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service in non-battle casualty and is assessed at 20% or over. The question whether a disability is attributable to or aggravated by military service to be determined under the Entitlement Rules for Casualty Pensionary Awards, 1982 of Appendix II (Regulation 173).*

*29.2. A member is to be presumed in sound physical and mental condition upon entering service if there is no note or record at the time of entrance. In the event of his subsequently being discharged from service on medical grounds any deterioration in*

*his health is to be presumed due to service [Rule 5 read with Rule 14(b)].*

*29.3. The onus of proof is not on the claimant (employee), the corollary is that onus of proof that the condition for non-entitlement is with the employer. A claimant has a right to derive benefit of any reasonable doubt and is entitled for pensionary benefit more liberally (Rule 9).*

*29.4. If a disease is accepted to have been as having arisen in service, it must also be established that the conditions of military service determined or contributed to the onset of the disease and that the conditions were due to the circumstances of duty in military service [Rule 14(c)]. [pic]*

*29.5. If no note of any disability or disease was made at the time of individual's acceptance for military service, a disease which has led to an individual's discharge or death will be deemed to have arisen in service [Rule 14(b)].*

*29.6. If medical opinion holds that the disease could not have been detected on medical examination prior to the acceptance for service and that disease will not be deemed to have arisen during service, the Medical Board is required to state the reasons [Rule 14(b)]; and 29.7. It is mandatory for the Medical Board to follow the guidelines laid down in Chapter II of the Guide to Medical Officers (Military Pensions), 2002 - "Entitlement: General Principles", including Paras 7, 8 and 9 as referred to above (para 27)."*

7. In view of the settled position of law on attributability, we find that the pension sanctioning authority has denied disability element to husband of the applicant only by endorsing that the disability 'Unspecified Psychosis' is neither attributable to nor aggravated (NANA) by military service which seems to be esoteric in nature. This kind of reason does not reflect the complete truth on this matter. We are, therefore, of the considered opinion that the aforesaid reason for declaring disability as NANA is brief and cryptic. Therefore, benefit of doubt in these circumstances should be given to the applicant in view of **Dharamvir Singh** (supra) and the disability of husband of the applicant should be considered as aggravated by military service. As such the husband of the applicant is entitled to disability element for two years from the date of his discharge i.e. 24.03.2001 (AN).

8. Since IMB of applicant's husband was valid for two years w.e.f. 24.03.2001 and he died on 06.01.2016, therefore applicant is entitled to disability element for two years only.

9. In view of the above, O.A. deserves to be allowed, hence, **allowed**. The impugned order dated 28.06.2002 is set aside. The disability of husband of the

applicant is to be considered as aggravated by military service. The applicant is held entitled to disability element @ 40% for two years rounded off to 50% for two years from the date of his discharge i.e. 24.03.2001. Respondents are directed to give effect to this order within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% p.a.

10. No order as to costs.

11. Pending applications, if any, are disposed of.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
**Member (J)**

rathore

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex-A/51 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 198 of 2016**

**Ex Sep (DSC) Hardayal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that only part compliance of the order has been made as applicant is yet to receive arrears. He further submitted that applicant wants to file objection against affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Let objection be filed within two weeks annexing therewith calculation sheet.</p> <p>List on <b>03.03.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 299 of 2019**

**Gunakar Tripathi**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>28.01.2021</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Mrs Amrita Chakraborty, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that as per letter dated 21.02.2020, copy whereof has been received by applicant also, applicant's grievances have been redressed.</p> <p>In view of the above, O.A. is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**R.A. No. 1 of 2020 with M.A. No. 31 of 2020**  
**Inre O.A. No. 495 of 2017**

**Ex Hav Ram Naresh Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file reply to objection.</p> <p>List on <b>04.03.2021</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 280 of 2019**

**Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Mijaji Lal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. SN Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D (Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, to which Dr. SN Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D (Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 1694 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1695 of 2018**  
**Inre T.A. No. 90 of 2010**

**Ex Cpl Gurumani V**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p> <u><b>28.01.2021</b></u>  <u><b>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u>  <u><b>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u> </p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant has died more than three months ago and that his family is not interested to continue the case.</p> <p>In view of the above, restoration application is dismissed being abated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>rathore</p>





















**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 252 of 2014**

**Md Samslul Haque**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>13.05.2021</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 195 of 2018**

**Kaushalendra Singh Chauhan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>13.05.2021</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 719 of 2020**

**Ex Hav Surendra Singh Sengar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No.1(13)/2016/D (Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, to which Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D (Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p>Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 247 of 2015**

**Meera Devi**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sharad Nandan Ojha, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>09.02.2021</b> for physical hearing at 02.30pm.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 2043 of 2018 with M.A. No. 2044 of 2018**  
**Inre O.A. No. 183 of 2010**

**Ex MA-II Satish Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. When the case is listed next, his name shall be shown as counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>02.03.2021</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No 114 of 2018 Inre O.A. No 245 of 2015**

**Ajay Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>28.01.2021</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. In supplementary affidavit, applicant has given the detailed account when he reported to Artillery Records, Nasik Road to join his duty as ordered, but was not allowed. He has also annexed to and fro railway tickets to Nasik Road along with affidavit to substantiate the claim, and on the basis of same it has been submitted that despite there being direction to respondents to take the applicant on strength nothing has been done in the matter. The order under execution was passed on 25.11.2016.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the applicant further submitted that in the circumstances, applicant may be permitted to be escorted to Artillery Records, Nasik Road at his expenses to ensure compliance of the order.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that applicant never reported to Artillery Records, Nasik Road, for duty as stated in the supplementary affidavit. He further submitted that applicant is making a false case to accuse the respondents for non implementation of the order.</p>

6. We have gone through rival submissions and considering that both parties are accusing each other for non implementation of the order, we feel it appropriate to fix a date with instructions to ensure compliance of the order.

7. Accordingly, we direct Adm Comdt of the Station Headquarters, Lucknow to make necessary arrangements to escort applicant to Artillery Records, Nasik Road to report duty on 05.02.2021 to which applicant shall report to Station Headquarter, Lucknow on 01.02.2021.

8. Respondents shall file report regarding compliance of the above order within two weeks of compliance.

9. List on **23.02.2021** for further consideration.

10. Let copy of this order be served to learned counsel for the respondents to ensure compliance of the order.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

UKT/-