

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 33 of 2021 Inre : T.A. No. 1295 of 2010

Sub. Tech (Gun) Chandra Deo Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that P.P.O. in this case has been generated in February, 2018. The said P.P.O. is not on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let P.P.O. be brought on record by way of affidavit of compliance within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 02.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A No. 181 of 2017 with Ex. A. No. 84 of 2019 Inre : O.A No. 174 of 2016

Ex. Sep. Kailash Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Vide order dated 25.07.2017 passed in Original Application No. 174 of 2016 this Tribunal had while allowing applicant's disability claim directed respondents to pay disability pension to applicant @20% for two years with further direction to hold R.S.M. in the matter to assess further disability, if any. In compliance of the order applicant has received disability, which included both service element and disability element. In R.S.M.B. held on 14.02.2019 applicant's disability slipped below 20% (15%), thereafter, disability element was stopped.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that it being a case of Invalidation disability element cannot be stopped even if disability slipped below 20%. He further submitted that disability percentage was below 20% (15%) when disability claim was allowed by this Tribunal.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that order under execution stood complied with holding of R.S.M.B., and, if applicant feels aggrieved with Medical Board opinion, he can file a separate case for that.</p> <p>We have considered the rival submissions made hereinabove and are of the view that in invalidation individual is entitled to disability pension irrespective of percentage of disability. In the instant case applicant has been allowed disability pension despite disability being below @20%, however, this disability element ought to have been to him @20% with benefit of rounding off even percentage of disability slipped below 20 in R.S.M.B. He cannot be asked to file a separate case for getting disability element.</p> <p>Respondents are, therefore, directed to issue a Corrigendum P.P.O. Incorporating disability element also @20% for life within six weeks.</p> <p>Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p>Let copy of order be given to Ld. Counsel for the respondents to ensure compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 854 of 2019 with M.A. No. 305 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Smt. Kunwari Kuwar By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents intend to file objection against amendment application. Let it be filed within two weeks. List on 03.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 67 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2021

Ex. Sep./MT Devinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Akash Dikshit, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 to quash the impugned order dated 04.08.1993 by which applicant has been dismissed from service being deserter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">There is a delay of 26 years, 08 months and 24 days in filing Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate. His further submission is that in the year 1992-93 the wife of the applicant was suffering from cancer and for her treatment the applicant took leave and thereafter due to her serious illness he failed to report his unit regarding extension of his leave. Meanwhile, the applicant was falsely roped in several criminal cases and was detained in connection to those cases and the trial of criminal case was continued till 2018. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that long delay of more than 26 years has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Having heard the submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicant for delay in filing Original Application is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed to do in the present case.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay condonation application is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Original Application being time barred is also rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
 ORDER SHEET
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 373 of 2018 with M.A. No. 421 of 2021

Bom Bahadur Thapa
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing none appears on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and he submits that Original Application be dismissed being abated as sole applicant has died on 07.02.2021. In support copy of death certificate is filed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of fact that sole applicant has died on 07.02.2021 and no substitution being done within three months from the date of death of applicant, Original Application deserves to be dismissed being abated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed being abated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 192 of 2020

Smt. Subhauta Devi W/o Late Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Raja Ram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21st February, 2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p>Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 227 of 2020 with M.A. No. 217 of 2020

Ex. JWO Ram Gopal Gupta

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years, 04 months and 24 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 227 of 2020</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 348 of 2020

Ex. Rect. Pradeep Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>No Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant despite time being granted for the same.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file the Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 13.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)
M.A. No 424 of 2021 Inre O.A. No 149 of 2020

Hav (MP) Akhila Nand Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	<p>Hearing of the Tribunal</p> <p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No 424 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the averment made in affidavit, early hearing application is allowed. M.A. No 424 of 2021 is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No 149 of 2020</u></p> <p>The applicant was enrolled in the army on 02.09.1995 and was promoted to the rank of Hav with effect from 01.07.2014. The grouse of the applicant is that Hav Siyaram Chaurasiya who was enrolled on 25.10.1995 and promoted to the rank of Hav with effect from 01.07.2014, is getting one increment higher than that of the applicant.</p> <p>This O.A. has been filed by the applicant with the prayer to issue direction to respondents to grant applicant's due pay and allowances. Applicant has prayed for grant of due pay from the respondents but the same was rejected vide order dated 11.12.2019.</p> <p>As per Govt of India, Min of Def Office Memorandum dated 26 Oct 2018, a senior cannot get lesser pay than his junior. The Memorandum states that in order to remove the anomaly of a Government servant promoted or appointed to a higher post and drawing lower pay in that post than another Govt servant junior to him in the lower grade and promoted or appointed subsequently to another identical post, the pay of the senior Govt servant in the higher post should be stepped up to a figure equal to the pay as fixed for the junior Govt servant in that higher post.</p> <p>In view of the above, respondents are directed to step up pay of the applicant as per rule.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>
--------------------------------------	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. (A) No 251 of 2021 with M.A. No 343 of 2021 and M.A. 248 of 2021

Hav Abdul Wasim Khan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">By means of this application, applicant wants to add certain facts in Original Application as such cause of action in the present applicant has arisen at Lucknow and after dismissal from service the family of the applicant is residing at Lucknow.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection against amendment application saying that the applicant at the time of dismissal from service was posted at Pune.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of learned counsel of the parties, we find that proposed amendment do not change the nature of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Amendment application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let amendment be incorporated within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.09.2021 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 265 of 2014

Abhishek Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri TN Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 04.10.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 296 of 2015 with M.A. No 464 of 2019

Prakash Rai By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Maj RD Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.10.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 292 of 2017

Abhay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kunwar Bahadur Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 23.08.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 559 of 2017

Smt Janki Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, list on 24.08.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. NO 380 of 2018

Ex JWO Vishnu Deo Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>After hearing arguments of Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant at some length, it is felt that matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 29.10.2021 for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, Senior Officer from ECHS, Department of ESW, Min of Def, New Delhi shall remain present to assist the Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 491 of 2018

Sep Sunil Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 28.10.2021 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 505 of 2017

Smt Jayanti Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh and Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 27.10.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>
	UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

T.A. No 15 of 2017 with M.A. No 35 of 2020

Bhupendra Singh Bhadauria Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<p><u>30.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Maj RD Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 25.10.2021 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

