

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No 158 of 2017 with M.A. No 910 of 2018 Inre T.A. No 65 of 2016

Sanjay Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Col RC Dixit (Retd), Shri KS Pawar and Shri Vishwajeet Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Supplementary counter affidavit and calculation sheet filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 19.07.2021 for further hearing.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p>UKT/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No 306 of 2021 Inre O.A. No 252 of 2021

Col P Yesudian Sugumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that he could not file counter affidavit due to some unavoidable reasons but he assured that he shall file the same by tomorrow. Let counter affidavit be filed by tomorrow.</p> <p>List on 14.07.2021 for orders.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 219 of 2014

Shri Chandrabhan Singh & another
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma and R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.09.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 130 of 2017

Ashok Kumar Kushwaha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 16.09.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No 514 of 2017

Ashish Kumar Maharia
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.09.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 491 of 2018

Sep Sunil Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on 30.07.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 185 of 2020

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Lt) Mahinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha and Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List on 23.09.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 583 of 2020

Ex Sep Karan Pal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col B.P. Singh (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List the matter on 24.09.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, by the date fixed.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 677 of 2020

Ex Sub (Hony Capt) Manbir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.S. Rajawat and Shri Mukesh Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List the matter on 28.09.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within ten days from today.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 749 of 2020

Ex Sep Gopi Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>List on 28.09.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 1061 of 2020 with M.A. No. 346 of 2021

R.N. Mishra

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Amendment application filed by the petitioner is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits to grant time to file objection which is allowed. Let objection be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 16.08.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 84 of 2016

Devendra Singh Kushwaha

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

GOC-in-C Central Command Lucknow & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 01.09.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 98 of 2016 alongwith T.A. No. 99 of 2016 (decided) and T.A. No. 48 of 2017

Smt Laxmi Devi W/o Late Surendra Singh Sexena

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

The Commanding Officer, 658 Engr & Brigade Unit & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Anurag Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 31.08.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

T.A. No. 48 of 2017 alongwith T.A. No. 98 of 2016

Union of India & Others

Petitioners

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioners

Versus

Smt Laxmi Devi W/o Late Surendra Singh Saxena

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 26.08.2021 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1 By Circulation

R.A. No. 29 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 212 of 2019

Union of India and Others

Review Applicants

Versus

JC-570344Y Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt) Shashi Shekhar Pandey

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondens

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The Review Application has been placed before us by circulation.</p> <p>The Review Applicant has filed this application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 by which applicant has prayed for review and setting aside the judgement and order dated 25.03.2021 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 212 of 2019. The order reads as under:-</p> <p>“10. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 212 of 2019 deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned orders dated 22.10.2016, 16.10.2017, 14.09.2018 and 29.08.2018 annexed as Annexure No. A-1, A-2 and A-3 of Original Application, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% from the date of his discharge. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the date of discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.”</p> <p>It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed. For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-</p> <p><i>“1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i></p> <p><i>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</i></p> <p><i>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</i></p> <p><i>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order.”</i></p>

In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self-evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."

We have gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed and no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in the review application so that order may be reviewed.

In the result, Review Application is **rejected**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 134 of 2019

Prabhunath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 444 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Babu Singh Somvanshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is dismissed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 339 of 2021 with M.A. No. 341 of 2021

Ex. Sigm. Dinesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 month and 15 days for grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but on account of facts stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed on the ground that explanation offered for condonation of delay is not satisfactory.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">We have gone through affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and find that grounds stated therein are genuine and sufficient.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">As such, delay in filing application is condoned. Application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 339 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 03.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 340 of 2021

NC (E) Sunil Kumar Mishra (Lascar)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 03.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 341 of 2021 with M.A. No. 342 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Jagdish
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years, 07 months and 13 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 341 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 03.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 28.09.2021.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 343 of 2021 with M.A. No. 345 of 2021

Ex. JWO Vinod Kumar Chowbey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, therefore, delay condonatin application filed by the applicant is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 03.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 344 of 2021 with M.A. No. 350 of 2021

Ex. JWO Anil Kumar Ojha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Amit Pandey, Ms. Nisha Pandey and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, therefore, delay condonation application filed by the applicant is dismissed.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 03.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 28.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 349 of 2021 with M.A. No. 355 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Ajay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 02 months and 14 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 349 of 2021</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 06.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 29.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 351 of 2021

Ex. Nk. (TS) Ranjan Lal Vishwakarma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 06.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 29.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 355 of 2021 with M.A. No. 358 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Birendra Kumar Gupta
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 years, 08 months and 16 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 355 of 2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 07.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 30.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 356 of 2021 with M.A. No. 359 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Vishnu Prashad Gautam
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents wants to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let objection be filed within two week, as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 357 of 2021 with M.A. No. 360 of 2021

Ex. JWO Anil Kumar Ojha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate filed Memo of Appearance today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shvi Kant Pandey, Ms. Nisha Pandey and Shri Amit Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing Original Application, therefore, delay condonation application filed by the applicant is dismissed.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 07.09.2021 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 30.09.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 352 of 2021 with M.A. No. 353 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 151 of 2017

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Manish Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As per office note, there is no delay in filing leave to appeal, therefore, delay condonation application filed by the applicants-respondents is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved in the matter for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 95 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 143 of 2015

Shaikh Ibrahim

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. Ashok Kumar and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that S.L.P. filed in Hon'ble Apex Court is likely to be listed on 20.07.2021.</p> <p>List on 28.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 116 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 137 of 2017

Awadhesh Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>12.07.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents ten days further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 27.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>