# R.A. No. 8 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 696 of 2017

# Ex. Nk. Chhun Bahadur

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	02.06.2024
	<u>03.06.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Leard Chri V.D. Danday, I.d. Cauncel for the applicant and Chri
	Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	The Review Applicant has filed this application under Rule 18 of the
	Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 by which applicant has prayed
	for review and setting aside the judgement and order dated 18.01.2021 of this
	Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 696 of 2017 on the ground that the
	Tribunal fell into error by construing the claim for service pension and disability
	pension is arising out of same cause of action i.e. discharge from service and
	applying provision of Order II Rule 2 of Code of Civil Procedure which is
	contrary to the provision of Rule 10 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure)
	Rules, 2008 wherein it is provided that one or more relief can be sought for
	single cause of action provided that they are consequential to one another.
	The order dated 18.01.201 reads as under:-
	<ul> <li>"8. In view of above law, we are of the opinion that applicant in Original Application No. 259 of 2013 ought to have included whole of the claim i.e. second service pension and disability pension to which the applicant was entitled in respect of the same cause of action i.e. discharge from DSC service or ought to have sought the leave of the Tribunal to file a fresh Original Application which he did not do. Since the applicant has utterly failed to follow the law, his present Original Application for grant of disability pension is not permissible in law.</li> <li>9. In view of the above, the Original Application is devoid of merit and deserves to be dismissed. It is accordingly dismissed."</li> </ul>
	It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed. For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil

Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-
<ul> <li><i>"1. Application for review of judgment (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i> <ul> <li>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</li> <li>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</li> <li>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record, or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court
in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very
limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9
of its judgment in the case of Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and
others, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as
under :-
"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."
We have gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed
and no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being
found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in
the review application so that order may be reviewed.
In the result, Review Application is <b>rejected.</b>
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
AKD/-

# M.A. No. 274 of 2021 along with O.A. No. 269 of 2021

# Ex. Sub. Manjoor Ali

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate filed power today in Court on
	behalf of the respondents which is taken on record. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. There is no delay in filing of Original Application. Delay condonation
	application stands disposed off.
	It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter. List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# M.A. No. 275 of 2021 along with O.A. No. 272 of 2021

# **HFO Chandra Prakash Sharma** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
Registry	
	03.06.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Ton ble vice Admiral Abriay Ragnunath Raive, Member (A)
	Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of
	the respondents which is taken on record.
	Heard Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	There is no delay in filing of Original Application. Delay condonation
	application stands disposed off.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on 09.08.2021 for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

M.A. No. 276 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2021

Applicant

**Ex. Sub. Brajendra Singh** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

# Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	02.00.2024
	<u>03.06.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the
	respondents which is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	There is a delay of 03 years, 01 month and 05 days in filing Original
	Application.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary
	matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed
	the prayer and submitted that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not
	sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	Let Original Application be registered.
	O.A. No. 283 of 2021
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No.1 (E. Court)</u> M.A. No. 277 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2021

Ex. POLOG (MAT) Ranjan Kumar Mishra By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

# Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf
	of the respondents which is taken on record.
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha,
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 11 months
	and 03 days.
	Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay
	in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed
	in support of application.
	Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of
	delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.
	Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and
	grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are
	genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.
	Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation
	application stands decided accordingly.
	Let Original Application be registered.
	<u>O.A. No. 282 of 2021</u>
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# M.A. No. 278 of 2021 along with O.A. No. 273 of 2021

# **Bachchu Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	03.06.2021
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate filed power today in Court on
	behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.
	Heard Shri B.B. Tripathi and Shri Amit Verma, Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	There is no delay in filing Original Application. Delay condonation
	application stands disposed off.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Issue notice to the respondents.
	Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, has accepted notice on behalf of
	respondents. He seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.
	Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks next thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

#### Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} **ORDER SHEET** ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

# Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 279 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2021

#### Ex. Hav. Devinder Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

# Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for RespondentsNotes ofOrders of the Tribunal

the Registry	
Registry	03.06.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A) Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the
	respondents which is taken on record.
	Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag
	Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	This is an application filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal
	Act, 2007 to grant benefit of MACP 3rd (Nb. Subedar Grade) to the applicant with
	effect from 01.12.2016.
	There is a delay of 12 years, 09 months and 13 days in filing Original
	Application.
	Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant in this regard is that applicant was discharged from service on 30.06.2007. Hi further submission is that applicant made an Appeal before the respondents for the grant of MACP 3rd (Nb. Subedar Grade) on 10.01.2020 followed by reminder dated 15.10.2020 and representation dated 12.12.2020 but no action was taken rather his claim was denied on the premise that there were four red ink entries in his service book. Further submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that delay in filing application is not deliberate. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and submitted that there is long delay of more than 12 years which has not not been satisfactory explained. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the
	facts and circumstances of the case, we find that delay is condonable. Accordingly,
	delay is condoned.
	Let Original Application be registered.
	<u>O.A. 284 of 2021</u>
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file
	Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on <b>31.08.2021.</b>
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-

# O.A. No. 270 of 2021

Versus

# Hony. Nb. Sub. Arbinda Nayak By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Union of India & Others** By Legal Practitioner for Respondents Respondents

By Legal I	ractitioner for Respondents
Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate filed power today in Court on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in prayer clause of Original Application date of representation wrongly typed as 20.08.2020 whereas correct date is 26.08.2020. Accordingly, he prays that date of representation may be read as 26.08.2020 which is allowed. The innocuous prayer of the applicant is that to direct the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 26.08.2020, which is pending with the respondents. With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the applicant's representation dated 26.08.2020, annexed as Annexure No. A-1 of Original Application, by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly. Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) AKD/-

# O.A. No. 271 of 2021

# **Nb. Sub. Kaushlendra Pratap Singh** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate filed power today in Court on
	behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.
	Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.
	Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is a fit case for adjudication.
	Admit.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time
	to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two
	weeks thereafter.
	List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.08.2021</b> for exchange of
	pleadings.
	List the matter before Tribunal on 31.08.2021.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# O.A. No. 116 of 2021

# Ex. Sgt. Pius Kumar Chaubey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rishabh Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Counter Affidavit is almost ready and it may be filed very soon. Let Counter Affidavit be filed within a week after serving its copy to the Counsel for the respondents who may file Rejoinder Affidavit within two weeks next thereafter. List on <b>10.08.2021</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# R.A. No. 1 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 160 of 2020

**Smt. Roopa Singh Teveotia W/o Late Ex. Hav. Aman Pal Singh Teveotia** Applicant By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<u>03.06.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that a week's time be further be granted to file objection against Review Application which is allowed. Let objection be filed within a week after serving its copy to the Counsel for the applicant. List on <b>06.08.2021</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# T.A. No. 28 of 2017

Petitioner

**Smt. Rajesh Tyagi** By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	<u>03.06.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing none appears on behalf of the
	petitioner nor is there any mention to pass over the case. Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and
	he submits that despite amendment being allowed on 25.11.2020 petitioner
	has not incorporated the same in Transferred Application.
	Amendment must be carried out within a week from today failing
	which the order dated 25.11.2020 allowing amendment shall stand withdrawn
	and Transferred Application shall also stands dismissed for non-prosecution.
	List on <b>16.07.2021</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# T.A. No. 52 of 2017

# Lt. Dhirendra Tiwari (Retd.)

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld.
	Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that Rejoinder Affidavit is
	available with him and he will get it filed in registry by tomorrow.
	Let Rejoinder Affidavit be filed in registry by tomorrow after serving its
	copy to the Counsel for the respondents.
	It is also submitted that petitioner had filed an application in the
	Hon'ble Apex Court seeking leave to file appeal against the order of Principal
	Bench of the Armed Forces Tribunal and he was directed to file copy of memo
	of that Appeal/Application in this Tribunal but he did not file the same.
	In reply, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that application
	seeking leave to file appeal has been dismissed and applicant may be
	permitted to file copy of memo of application/appeal as presently it is not
	available with him.
	Petitioner to file copy of Memo of Application/Appeal along with copy
	of order passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court by the next date fixed.
	List on <b>06.08.2021</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# M.A. No. 1515 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1516 of 2018, R.A. No. 46 of 2018 Along with Ex. A. No. 133 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 1451 of 2010

# Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Respondent

**Ex. Lance Defedar Anil Kumar** By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent, list on <b>20.07.2021</b>
	for physical hearing. On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the applicants shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# O.A. No. 141 of 2012

### Smt. Rekha

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing. List on <b>09.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# O.A. No. 121 of 2015

# Smt. Priyanka Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	00.00.0004
	<u>03.06.2021</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing none appears on behalf of the
	applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.
	Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to
	4 and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5 and
	6 are present.
	On perusal of record it appears that necessary amendments in
	Original Application have not been carried out after impleadment being
	allowed. With the result, no notice to the respondent No. 7 could be issued.
	Necessary amendment in Original Application must be made within
	two weeks failing which Original Application shall be dismissed for non-
	prosecution.
	On amendments being carried out, issue notice to respondent No. 7
	returnable within four weeks.
	List on <b>18.08.2021</b> .
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/-

# O.A. No 219 of 2016

Applicant

**Gp Capt Ajay Gaur** By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri AK Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing. List on <b>09.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 274 of 2016

# Rajendra Prasad Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<u>03.06.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri BK Singh, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents
	are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on <b>10.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. NO 325 of 2016

#### Patwekar Rashid Kasam

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Vakalatnama filed by Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate today in Court on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing. List on <b>11.08.2021</b> for final hearing. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 217 of 2017

# Brijesh Kumar Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Hearing of the Tribunal
Registry	
	03.06.2021
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld.
	Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on <b>12.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# O.A. No 482 of 2017

# Sajjan Pratap Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing. List on <b>29.07.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 640 of 2017

#### Ram Pravesh Prasad

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Shri Shiv Dayal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.
	Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 17 of 2018

# Awdesh Kumar Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on <b>13.08.2021</b> for final hearing. On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# O.A. No 141 of 2018

# Niraj Kumar Roy

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Shri Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are
	present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on <b>16.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 239 of 2018

### Sant Lal Sharma

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
	Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Tarun, Departmental Representative for the respondents.
	Original Application is allowed. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have
	been disposed of.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB

# O.A. No 28 of 2019

# Subhash Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Hearing of the Tribunal
03.06.2021 Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)
Heard Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra
Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
Original Application is dismissed.
For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.
Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have
been disposed of.
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)

# O.A. NO 321 of 2019

# Nb Sub (MP) Visarjan Singh Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Hearing of the Tribunal
	<u>03.06.2021</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u>
	On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta and Shri Om
	Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents are present.
	Pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.
	List on <b>19.08.2021</b> for final hearing.
	On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce
	all original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.
	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) SB