EX.A. No. 125 of 2017 Inre EX.A. No. 41 of 2016 Inre O.A. No. 78 of 2013

Versus

Shiv Murat Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Chief of Army Staff & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: None for the applicant. Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents is present.
	Ex-A No. 125 of 2017 This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order
	This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 09.10.2015 passed in O.A. No. 78 of 2013.
	On 22.10.2018, the following order was passed :
	On 22.10.2010, the following order was passed .
	a
	The respondents are therefore directed to give a time line for
	compliance of the order and the name of
	officer/officers/agency/agencies responsible for this inordinate delay
	of about three years in the execution of the order through an affidavit.
	The respondents are also directed to submit a detailed time line of
	what was the role and actions of record office in executing the order
	dated 09.10.2015 and on what basis it is being claimed that record
	office has completed all its actions and PCDA is to be blamed for delay."
	Today learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that it is
	only the PCDA (SC), Pune, who is responsible for causing the delay in
	compliance of the order under execution, because the claim of the applicant
	was processed by the PAO, Armored Corps, Ahmadnagar and was
	forwarded to the PCDA (SC), Pune in the year 2017, but inspite of several
	reminders, the PCDA (SC), Pune is not complying with the order. It is
	further submitted that a letter was sent to the PCDA (SC), Pune on
	07.09.2018 and the same was returned on 10.09.2018 with certain
	objections.
	We, therefore, direct the respondents to ask some responsible officer

(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A) PKG	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)
respondents within 24 hours free	of cost for onward transmission.
Let a copy of this order	be given to the learned counsel for the
List this case on 18.12.20 °	18 for orders.
with.	
Tribunal to explain as to why the	order of this Tribunal is not being complie
of the PCDA (SC), Pune to rema	in present on the next date fixed before the

Court No.1

Ex.A. No. 167 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 1273 of 2010

Santosh Kumar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

PKG

Orders of the Tribunal
20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)
Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
Present: Shri I.P. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri G.S.
Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
On the last date, we have passed the following order :
<u>"Ex-A No. 167 of 2017</u>
This is an application for execution of the order dated 25 th May 2017 passed in T.A.No. 1273 of 2010.
Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has
received the cost and is also getting regular salary. However, back
wages has not been paid to the petitioner.
Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the payment of back
wages is under process and prays for time to ensure payment of back
Wages.
Since this execution application is pending for the last about one year
and the order under execution has not been complied with, therefore, on the request of the learned counsel for the respondents, we hereby
adjourn the matter.
List this case on 20.11.2018 for filing compliance report, failing which
the respondents shall have to deposit cost of Rs.25,000/- which shall be
paid to the petitioner through cheque."
Today again, adjournment is prayed on behalf of the respondents
and the respondents undertake to deposit the cost of Rs.25,000/- within one
week and prays for and is granted four weeks' time to ensure compliance of
the order.
List this case on 07.01.2019 for filing compliance report, failing which
the respondents will have to deposit additional cost of Rs. 30,000/- in the
Registry which shall be paid to the petitioner through cheque.
(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex.A. No. 209 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 888 of 2010

Ranbir Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes the Registry	of	Orders of the Tribunal
		<u>20.11.2018</u> Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
		Present: Shri Yash Pal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order under execution has not been fully complied with. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file compliance report. List this case on 04.01.2019 for filing compliance report.
		(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J) PKG

Ex.A. No. 10 of 2018 with Dy. No. 2516 of 2018 Inre : T.A. No. 41 of 2012

Smt. Indra Kumari Gurung

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	of	Orders of the Tribunal
		20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
		Present: Shri R.C. Dixit, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
		Dy. No. 2516 of 2018
		Learned counsel for the applicant submits that this substitution
		application be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh substitution
		with correct particulars.
		Accordingly, this substitution application is dismissed with liberty to
		file a fresh.
		List this case on 04.01.2019 for orders.
		(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)PKG

M.A. No. 1894 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2018

Lt Col Ram Awadh Singh (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	20.11.2018
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as
	learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.
	Present: Col Y.R. Sharma (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 1894 of 2018</u>
	This is an application for condonation of delay filed by the applicant
	for grant of disability pension. As per office report, it is delayed by 06 years,
	06 months & 14 days in filing the Original Application.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to file objection on the application for condonation of delay.
	Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant
	within two weeks' thereafter.
	List this case on 03.01.2019 for orders before Registrar Court.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

O.A. No. 546 of 2018

Versus

Lt Col Raja Singh Parihar (Retd) By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on
	behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as
	learned counsel for the respondents, when the case is next listed.
	Present: Col Y.R. Sharma (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	<u>O.A. No. 546 of 2018</u>
	In this case, the applicant was drawing pension of regular Army
	officer while PCDA (P) Allahabad sent a letter to Bank of India CPPC,
	showing the applicant as Civilian NCC officer and accordingly pension of
	the applicant has been reduced.
	The matter needs to be adjudicated.
	Admit.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed
	by learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks, thereafter.
	List this case on 14.01.2019 before Registrar Court for exchange of
	pleadings.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)SB

EX.A. No. 221 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 79 of 2017

Versus

Applicant

Dharmendra Pratap Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel
	for the respondents, when the case is next listed.
	Present: Shri Sandeep Kumar Ojha, learned counsel for the
	applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Ex-A No. 221 of 2018
	This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order
	dated 18.12.2017 passed in O.A. No. 79 of 2017.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and to file
	compliance report.
	List this case on 10.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	SB

EX.A. No. 222 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 525 of 2017

Hony Sub Maj Virendra Bahadur Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Memo of appearance filed by Shri A.K. Sahu, Advocate on behalf of
	the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel
	for the respondents, when the case is next listed.
	Present: Shri Sandeep Ojha, learned counsel for the applicant and
	Shri A.K. Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Ex-A No. 222 of 2018
	This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order
	dated 04.01.2018 passed in O.A. No. 525 of 2017.
	Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four
	weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and to file
	compliance report.
	List this case on 10.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)SB

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.1</u> M.A. No. 1890 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1891 of 2018

Inre: O.A. No. 109 of 2016

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondent-applicant

Ex Hav Amarnath Singh Kharwar By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	<u>20.11.2018</u>
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-
	respondents.
	Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents has only argued that this
	application for leave to appeal is barred by time and no question of law of
	general public importance involved, has been brought in the notice of the
	Court.
	M.A. No. 1890 of 2018 This is an application for condensation of delay in maximum the application
	This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application
	under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to
	appeal against the judgment and order dated 11.10.2018, passed by this Court
	in O.A. No. 109 of 2016.
	This application has been filed by the applicant-respondents after a period
	of limitation. As per office report, there is delay of 04 days in filing the
	application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act
	2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for
	approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with
	the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through
	the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the
	application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the
	prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be
	germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Office of the
	Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another
	reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC.
	In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforesaid case,
	the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby
	rejected.
	<u>M.A. No 1891 of 2018</u>

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant-respondents beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for

condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal, therefore, this application is also rejected.

Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is **rejected** on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A) SB

(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)

Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW <u>Court No.1</u> M.A. No. 1892 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1893 of 2018

Inre: O.A. No. 430 of 2017

Union of India & Others

Applicant-respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondent-applicant

Hav (Artisan Construction) Hira Singh By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of	Orders of the Tribunal
the Registry	
	20.11.2018
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u>
	Present: Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant-respondents.
	Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents has only argued that this
	application for leave to appeal is barred by time and no question of law of
	general public importance involved, has been brought in the notice of the
	Court.
	<u>M.A. No. 1892 of 2018</u>
	This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application
	under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to
	appeal against the judgment and order dated 10.08.2018, passed by this Court
	in O.A. No. 430 of 2017.
	This application has been filed by the applicant-respondents after a period
	of limitation. As per office report, there is delay of 02 months & 05 days in filing
	the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal
	Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal shall be made for
	approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with
	the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through
	the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the
	application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the
	prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be
	germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Office of the
	Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another
	reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC.
	In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the aforesaid case,
	the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby
	rejected.
	<u>M.A. No 1893 of 2018</u>
	This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal
	Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicant-
	respondents beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for

Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the applicantrespondents beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal, therefore, this application is also rejected.

Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is **rejected** on the ground of delay as well as on merits.

(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A) SB (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)

M.A. No. 1898 of 2018 Inre O.A. (A) No. 279 of 2013

Ex Sep Manoj Kumar Sharma By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: None for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents.
	<u>M.A. No. 1898 of 2018</u>
	This application has been filed under section 31 of the Armed Forces
	Tribunal Act, 2007 by the applicant for grant of leave to appeal against the
	judgment and order dated 17.10.2018, passed by this Court in O.A.(A) No.
	279 of 2013.
	We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the
	affidavit filed in support of the application for grant of leave to appeal. The
	applicant has not mentioned any question of law of general public
	importance involved in the case in his application. Hence, we do not find
	any sufficient ground to grant leave to appeal.
	Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is rejected.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J) SB

EX.A. No. 36 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1897 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 64 of 2011

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Ex Rect Vijay Kumar By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Union of India & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri S.K. Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Ex-A No. 36 of 2018
	This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order
	dated 05.10.2017 passed in O.A. No. 64 of 2011.
	<u>M.A. No. 1897 of 2018</u>
	Compliance report filed by learned counsel for the respondents is
	taken on record. M.A. aforesaid is disposed of accordingly.
	It is admitted by learned counsel for the parties that the applicant has
	been reinstated in the service in compliance of the order under execution
	and cost of Rs. 35,000/- has also been deposited in the Registry.
	Regarding consequential benefits, learned counsel for the
	respondents prays for and is granted eight weeks time to ensure payment
	of other consequential benefits to the applicant and to file compliance
	report.
	List this case on 23.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)SB

Court No.1

O.A. No. 221 of 2015

Versus

Smt. Moti Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal	
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, I	
	and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel f	ents prays for further time to give reply d. Counsel for the applicant.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)

Court No.1

O.A. No. 436 of 2017

Murari Lal

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Case was taken up in the revised list.
	Present: Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the
	respondents.
	None is present on behalf of the applicant.
	In this case the pleadings are complete.
	List this case on 14.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

O.A. No. 498 of 2018

Lt. Col. Prem Datt Kaushal (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes o the Registry	of C	Orders of the Tribunal	
	Ē	20.11.2018 Ion'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rath Ion'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinl	
	a	Present: Shri Manoj Kur and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.	nar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant for the respondents.
	AI	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)

O.A. No. 86 of 2017

Awadhesh Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he shall file the
	Supplementary Counter Affidavit within a short period after serving the copy
	of the same to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
	List on 24.12.2018 for hearing.
	In the meanwhile Supplementary Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may
	be filed by the applicant.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 129 of 2013

Rajendra Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes c the Registry	of Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri R.P. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	This case was listed for hearing. It is informed that the applicant is
	in custody.
	The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the original
	record of this case has not come today.
	List on 07.12.2018 for peremptorily hearing.
	On the said date original record shall be produced before the
	Tribunal by the respondents.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/JPT/-

Court No.1

Applicant

O.A. No. 178 of 2016

Versus

Kushal Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the both the parties started arguments simultaneously. Hence, it is difficult to hear the matter. List on 07.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 262 of 2017

Sub Sanjay Kumar Kushwaha By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes o the Registry	f Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he shall file
	Supplementary Counter Affidavit during the course of the day. Copy of the
	same has been provided to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant. List on 17.12.2018 for hearing.
	In the meanwhile Supplementary Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may
	be filed by the applicant.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 269 of 2017

Ram Balak Pal and Another

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Case was taken up in the revised list.
	Present: Dr. Chet Narain Singh and Shri Prem Kumar Sahu, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents.
	None appeared on behalf of the applicants.
	It is informed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in
	pursuance of the interim order 28.07.2017 passed by this Tribunal the
	applicant has been reinstated in service.
	It appears that the applicant is not interested to pursue the case.
	Since the applicant is absent and no prayer has been made for
	adjournment, therefore, this Original Application is dismissed for non-
	prosecution.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/JPT/-

Court No.1

O.A. No. 291 of 2017

Ram Chandra

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: The applicant in person and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents.
	The applicant prays for adjournment.
	List on 10.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore)
	Member (A) Member (J)
	AKD/JPT/-

Court No.1

O.A. No. 513 of 2017

Smt. Dharmsheela

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment. List on 11.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

Applicant

O.A. 648 of 2017

Jagbir Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Union of India & Others

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri O.P. Kushwaha, Advocate holding brief of Shri K.K.
	Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.K. Sahu, Ld. Counsel for
	the respondents.
	Brief holder appearing on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant prays for adjournment.
	List on 10.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

T.A. No. 775 of 2010

R.N. Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Petitioner

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner. The Departmental Representative informed that father of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents is ill, hence, he has not come to the Tribunal today. He prays for adjournment.
	List on 12.12.2018 for hearing. (Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

T.A. No. 06 of 2014

Sepoy Ramesh Prasad

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Case was taken up in the revised list.
	Present: Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	In the first round when the case was taken up, Ld. Counsel for the
	petitioner submitted that he is ready to argue the case. The case was
	passed over to hear the matter after fixing dates in all the other matters.
	However, in the second round when the case was called out for hearing,
	none appeared on behalf of the applicant. Therefore, hearing of the case is
	adjourned.
	List on 14.01.2019 for hearing.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

T.A. No. 41 of 2016

Smt. Shakuntla Devi

Petitioner

By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Col. Y.R. Sharma (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner
	and Shri Anurag Misrha for the Ld. Counsel for the Respondents Nos.1 to 3
	and Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 4.
	Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.
	Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the original record is
	available with him.
	List on 10.01.2019 for hearing.
	Till the next date the original record shall be retained by the Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents and Kinder roll shall also be produced for
	perusal of the Bench.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex. A. No. 37 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1766 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 206 of 2016

Dhan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is admitted by learned counsel for the applicant that PPO has been amended and corrigendum PPO has been issued. It is, however, submitted that payment has not been received by the applicant.
	List the case on 17.12.2018 for further orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex.A. No. 38 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 265 of 2016

Dhan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. List the case on 17.12.2018 along with Ex. A No. 37 of 2018 inre: OA. No. 206 of 2016.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt

Ex A. No. 88 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1526 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 258 of 2016

Smt. Asha Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	20.11.2018
	Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the
	applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	This is an application for execution of order dated 08.12.2017.
	Even after availing three opportunities, compliance report has not been filed
	by the respondents. Today again adjournment has been prayed for by
	learned counsel for the respondents to enable him to file compliance report.
	List the case on 08.01.2019 to enable learned counsel for the
	respondents to file compliance report failing a cost of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees
	fifteen thousand) shall be paid by the respondents to the applicant.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)
	ukt

Ex. A. No. 127 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No.69 of 2016

Ramesh Chandra Tiwari

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri M.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	This is an application for execution of order dated 10.01.2018.
	Even after sufficient time, compliance report has not been filed by the
	respondents. Today again adjournment has been prayed for by learned
	counsel for the respondents to enable him to file compliance report.
	List the case on 04.01.2019 to enable learned counsel for the
	respondents to file compliance report failing a cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees
	ten thousand) shall be imposed upon the respondents.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex. A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 123 of 2010

Smt. Durgawati Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Ashish Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat
	Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld.
	Counsel for the respondents.
	Shri Ashish Kumar, Advocate prays for adjournment on behalf of
	learned counsel for the applicant.
	Case is accordingly adjourned.
	List the case on 07.01.2019 for orders.
	Meanwhile, learned counsel for the respondents may file
	compliance report as directed vide earlier orders passed by this Tribunal.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt

Ex. A. No. 150 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 569 of 2017

Hony Nb Sub Ram Awadh Rai By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Satish Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to file compliance report. List the case on 08.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Ex.A. No. 166 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 662 of 2017

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Vijay Bahadur Rai By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri B.B. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant. None for the respondents. Case called out. None responds for the respondents. Compliance report as directed vide earlier orders has also not been filed. List the case on 09.01.2019 to enable learned counsel for the respondents to file compliance report.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

M.A. No. 1464 of 2016 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016

Umesh Chandra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate holding brief of Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri V.P.S. Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Today, the case has been listed for disposal of application for condonation of delay. Learned counsel for the applicant is not prepared to argue the case. As prayed, list the case on 09.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J) ukt

M.A. No. 2024 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2025 of 2016 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016

Jagvir Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes o the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.
	Chet Narain Pandey Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he shall file
	supplementary counter affidavit in the Registry during the course of the day.
	List the case on 04.01.2019 for orders.
	Meanwhile supplementary rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed
	by learned counsel for the applicant.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)

M.A. No. 1177 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Ram Otar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 1177 of 2018
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A. by
	means of which the applicant has prayed for a direction to the respondents to fix his basic pension. Office has reported that there is delay of more than
	47 years in filing the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant cited the case
	of Shiv Das vs. Union of India , reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445 and submitted that payment of pension involves recurring cause of action, as
	such, the delay requires to be condoned.
	In this view of the matter, the application for condonation of delay
	is allowed and delay is condoned. We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the point of
	admission.
	Admit.
	Let the case be registered as O.A.
	Counter affidavit shall be filed by the respondents within four
	weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within next two weeks.
	List the case on 11.01.2019 before Registrar Court for exchange
	of pleadings.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt

M.A. No. 1178 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Vijay Singh Kurmi By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

the Registry	
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. <u>M.A. No. 1178 of 2018</u> This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A. by means of which the applicant has prayed for grant of disability pension. Office has reported that there is delay of more than years in filing the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant cited the case of <i>Shiv Das vs. Union of</i> <i>India</i> , reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445 and submitted that payment of pension involves recurring cause of action, as such, the delay requires to be condoned. In this view of the matter, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned. We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the point of
	admission. Admit. Let the case be registered as O.A. Counter affidavit shall be filed by the respondents within four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within next two weeks. List the case on 11.01.2019 before Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings. (Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

M.A. No. 1182 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Brij Kishor Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. M.A. No. 1282 of 2018
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A. by means of which the applicant has prayed for grant of disability pension. Office has reported that there is delay of more than 26 years in filing the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant cited the case of Shiv Das vs. Union of India , reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445 and submitted that payment of pension involves recurring cause of action, as such, the delay requires to be condoned. In this view of the matter, the application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay is condoned. We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the point of admission. Admit. Let the case be registered as O.A.
	Counter affidavit shall be filed by the respondents within four weeks. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within next two weeks. List the case on 11.01.2019 before Registrar Court for exchange of pleadings.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

M.A. No. 1202 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Smt. Kaimul Nisha

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri Uma Kant Mishra and Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel
	for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	As prayed by both the parties, list the case on 28.11.2018 for
	orders.
	On said date, learned counsel for the applicant shall file documents indicating that the deceased soldier was in receipt of pension
	from Banaras State Force (BSF).
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt

M.A. No. 1255 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Hari Shyam

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri
	Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	M.A. No. 1255 of 2018
	This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the O.A. by
	means of which the applicant has prayed for a direction to the respondents
	to fix his basic pension. Office has reported that there is delay of more than
	11 years in filing the O.A. Learned counsel for the applicant cited the case
	of Shiv Das vs. Union of India, reported in 2007 (3) SLR 445 and
	submitted that payment of pension involves recurring cause of action, as
	such, the delay requires to be condoned.
	In this view of the matter, the application for condonation of delay
	is allowed and delay is condoned.
	We have heard learned counsel for the parties on the point of
	admission.
	Admit.
	Let the case be registered as O.A.
	List the case on 20.12.2018 for hearing along with connected
	matters relating to payment of pension of Hony Naib Subedar.
	Meanwhile pleadings may be exchanged by the parties.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha)(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)Member (A)Member (J)
	AKD/GSR/-

M.A. No. 1344 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 39 of 2018

Vijay Narayan By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	On request made by learned counsel for the respondents, four
	weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report.
	List the case on 07.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

O.A. No. 316 of 2012

Krishna Kant Pandey

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks' further time to deposit the cost imposed vide order dated 15.10.2018. List the case on 17.12.2018 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

O.A. No. 55 of 2015 with M.A. No. 1286 of 2018

Jitendra Singh

Applicant

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Air Officer Commanding 17 Wing Air Force Stn Gorakhpur & Others

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri R.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the respondents prays and is granted two weeks' further time to file objection on amendment application. List the case on 19.12.2018 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)

Court No.1

O.A. No. 257 of 2017

Shobha Devi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J) Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Shri K.K.Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and
	Dr. Shesh Narain Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	It is informed by learned counsel for the applicant that the
	applicant Smt. Shobha Devi has expired. He prays for and is granted four
	weeks' time to take steps for substitution of heirs and legal representative of
	the deceased applicant.
	List the case on 08.01.2019 for orders.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt

Court No.1

O.A. No. 263 of 2018

M.K. Shukla

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	20.11.2018 Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)
	Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)
	Present: Col. Y.R. Sharma (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant
	and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.
	Heard learned counsel for the parties.
	Arguments concluded.
	Judgment is reserved.
	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)
	ukt