

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 129 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2019

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Hardeep Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 129 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay filed by the applicant for grant of revised pension of Hony Naib Subedar. As per office report, it is delayed by 09 years, 01 month & 04 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 18.03.2019 for orders before Registrar Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 130 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2019

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Jitendra Prasad Gurung
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 130 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay filed by the applicant for grant of revised pension of Hony Naib Subedar. As per office report, it is delayed by 09 years, 01 month & 17 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 18.03.2019 for orders before Registrar Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 128 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 611 of 2017

Ex Rfn Sunil Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 128 of 2019</u></p> <p>This application has been filed under section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 by the applicant for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 11.01.2019, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 611 of 2017.</p> <p>We have gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application for grant of leave to appeal. The applicant has neither mentioned any question of law of general public importance involved in the case in his application nor he could point out any such question of law of general public importance during his submission. Hence we do not find any sufficient ground to grant leave to appeal.</p> <p>Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 131 of 2019 with M.A. No. 132 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 174 of 2015

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicant-respondents

Versus

Ex Nk Jageshwar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 131 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 04.12.2018, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 174 of 2015, which is delayed by 26 days in filing the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 132 of 2019</u></p> <p>This application for leave to appeal has been filed by the applicant-respondents after expiry of the period of limitation. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents has only argued that this application for leave to appeal is barred by time and no question of law of general public importance involved could be brought to our notice during the course of arguments.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is rejected on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 133 of 2019 with M.A. No. 134 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 331 of 2017

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicant-respondents

Versus

Smt Sunita Yadav M/o Late Sigmn Subhash Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent-applicant

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant-respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 133 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 13.09.2018, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 331 of 2017, which is delayed by 03 months & 16 days in filing the application for leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No. 134 of 2019</u></p> <p>This application for leave to appeal has been filed by the applicant-respondents after expiry of the period of limitation. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents has only argued that this application for leave to appeal is barred by time and no question of law of general public importance involved could be brought to our notice during the course of arguments.</p> <p>Accordingly, application for leave to appeal is rejected on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 163 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 229 of 2014

Ramvir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 163 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 11.01.2017 passed in O.A. No. 229 of 2014.</p> <p>Till date no compliance report has been filed by the respondents and the status is same.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he is not aware as to when the Civil Appeal is likely to be listed alongwith stay application.</p> <p>List this case on 15.04.2019 awaiting the outcome of the order of Hon'ble Apex Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 181 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1462 of 2018 alongwith R.A. No. 17 of 2018 Inre
O.A. No. 174 of 2016

Kailash Kumar
In Person

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: The applicant, Kailash Kumar in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 181 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 25.07.2017 passed in O.A. No. 174 of 2016.</p> <p>It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that requisite sanction has been granted on 19.01.2019. It is further submitted that certain bank details have been called for from the applicant but the same have not been furnished by the applicant.</p> <p>The applicant who is present in person submits that no letter received by him from the respondents for providing any bank details to which learned counsel for the respondents has provided copy of the letter to the applicant in the court for providing required bank details.</p> <p>So far as RSMB is concerned, it is informed by learned counsel for the respondents that matter has been referred to competent authority for grant of sanction to conduct RSMB.</p> <p>As per office report, cost of Rs. 45,000/- imposed by us earlier, has been deposited in the Registry. Registry is directed to ensure payment of the same to the applicant through cheque.</p> <p>List this case on 28.03.2019 for filing compliance report by the respondents, failing which they will have to pay cost of Rs. 25,000/-.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 203 of 2017
Inre O.A.No. 374 of 2017

Lt Col Rakesh Nandkeolyar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.203 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for execution of the order dated 30.08.2017 passed in O.A.No.374 of 2017.</p> <p>On 12.11.2018 the following order was passed:</p> <p><u>"Ex.A.No. 203 of 2017</u> <i>This is an application for execution of the order dated 30th August 2017 passed in O.A.No. 374 of 2017.</i></p> <p><i>On the last date, the following order was passed :</i> <u>"Ex-A No. 203 of 2017</u> <i>This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 30.08.2017 passed in O.A. No. 374 of 2017.</i> <i>This execution application is pending since 08.12.2017 but the order under execution has not yet been complied with. On the last date, a cost of Rs. 20,000/- was imposed on the respondents failing filing of compliance report by 16.10.2018. Today, it is informed on behalf of the respondents that order has not been complied with and they want to prefer Review Application. Cost as imposed on 27.08.2018 has also not been paid. Since the order has not been complied with, therefore, we hereby impose additional cost of Rs. 30,000/- on the respondents to be deposited in the registry. The entire cost of Rs. 50,000/- shall be deposited in the Registry by the respondents within a period of 10 days which shall be paid to the applicant and the respondents will also file compliance report by the date fixed.</i> <i>Copy of the order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents within 48 hours, free of cost for onward transmission.</i> <i>List this case on 12.11.2018 for orders."</i></p> <p><i>But neither the order under execution has been complied with, nor the cost has been deposited.</i></p> <p><i>Hence, we hereby direct the respondents to deposit the entire cost imposed by us within 15 days from today in the Registry, which shall be paid to the applicant and file compliance report, failing which they will have to deposit an additional cost of Rs.20,000/- in the Registry, which shall be paid to the applicant.</i></p> <p><i>List this case on 21.12.2018 for orders"</i></p> <p>But, even thereafter the order has yet not been complied with. Regarding payment of cost of Rs.70,000/-, which was</p>

imposed on earlier occasions, the respondents undertake to deposit the same within one week and prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file compliance report.

List this case on 29.03.2019 for filing compliance report, failing which the respondents will have to deposit extra cost of Rs.30,000/- in the Registry, which shall be paid to the applicant through cheque.

(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha)
Member (A)

(Justice S.V.S. Rathore)
Member (J)

PKG

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 209 of 2017
Inre T.A.No. 888 of 2010

Ranbir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.209 of 2017</u></p> <p>This is an application for execution of the order dated 04.07.2017 passed in T.A.No.888 of 2010.</p> <p>On behalf of the respondents, further time is prayed to file the break-up of the payment made to the petitioner.</p> <p>In this case, it is admitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that an amount of Rs.11 lacs has been credited in the account of the petitioner prior to issuance of the PPO probably as back wages, however, he has no information from respondents on back wages. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that after issuance of the PPO, the petitioner has not yet received any payment.</p> <p>On the last date, we had directed the respondents to file the break-up of the amount already paid in the account of the petitioner. List this case on 25.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1
R.A.No. 65 of 2018 with M.A.No.1794 of 2018
Inre T.A.No.1402 of 2010

Union of India & others **Applicants**
By Legal Practitioner for Applicants
Versus
Anurag Singh **Respondent**
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Maj Vikas Sharma, Departmental Representative and Shri KK Misra, , learned counsel for the respondent-petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Maj Vikas Sharma, Departmental Representative submits that Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the applicants has not come to the court and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 12.02.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1
Ex.A.No.3 of 2018
Inre T.A.No. 1402 of 2010

Anurag Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner **Petitioner**
Versus
Union of India & others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Maj Vikas Sharma, Departmental Representative and Shri KK Misra, , learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Maj Vikas Sharma, Departmental Representative submits that Shri Namit Sharma, learned counsel for the respondents has not come to the court and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 12.02.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 60 of 2018
Inre O.A.No. 262 of 2012

Mahesh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.60 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for execution of the order dated 24.04.2017 passed in O.A.No.262 of 2012.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In pursuance of the order under execution, admittedly the applicant has been reinstated and for the purpose of consequential benefits, the case of the applicant is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Since the applicant has been reinstated in service, therefore, the order is partly complied with, hence the additional cost, imposed by us, need not to be deposited.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 08.04.2019 for filing compliance report</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 71 of 2018
Inre T.A.No. 35 of 2016

Smt Parvati Devi & others
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioners

Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri R Chandra, learned counsel for the petitioners and Shri Kaushik Chatterji, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.71 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for execution of the order dated 09.11.2017 passed in T.A.No.35 of 2016.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that the respondents will get all the required documents of the petitioners and the issuance of the PPO is under process. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time for the said purpose.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 15.03.2019 for filing compliance report, failing which the respondents will have to deposit cost of Rs.10,000/- in the Registry, which shall be paid to the petitioners through cheque.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex.A. No. 92 of 2018 Inre : Ex.A. No. 61 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 39 of 2016

Talab Husain Shah
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5 and Shri Satyendra Kumar Upadhayay, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 6 Punjab National Bank.</p> <p>In this case on behalf of respondent No. 6 objection has been filed against the Execution Application. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 that the P.P.O. was sent to Treasury Office and the amount has not been credited in the Bank, therefore, the Bank is not in a position to ensure the payment as per P.P.O.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant has moved an application to the Treasury Office and he was informed that the amount of P.P.O. has already been credited in the account of the Bank.</p> <p>In view of above, the presence of Treasury Officer is necessary. The applicant is directed to implead the concerned Treasury Officer in the array of parties, during the course of the day by moving impleadment application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Later on Ld. Counsel for the applicant moved an application for impleadment.</p> <p>With the consent of both the parties, impleadment application is allowed.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to implead the Treasury Officer in the array of parties during the course of the day. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is also directed to take steps to issue notice to the concerned Treasury Officer.</p> <p>List this case on 18.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) (Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 197 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No.06 of 2017

Smt. Reeta W/o Ex. Nk. (Late) Dilip Kumar Gupta
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of Order dated 19.01.2018 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 6 of 2017 P.P.O. has been issued on 03.12.2018.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the payment has not yet been received by the applicant.</p> <p>List this case on 05.04.2019 for orders.</p> <p>(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 630 of 2016 with M.A. No. 2060 of 2016, M.A. No. 529 of 2017 and M.A. No.1170 of 2017 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016

Ram Nath Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further time to file reply to the Supplementary Affidavit filed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant in support of application for condonation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 15.03.2019 for orders. No further time shall be granted to the respondents for the aforesaid purpose.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1912 of 2017 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2017

Neeraj Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On behalf of respondents it is admitted that they have received instructions from the respondent Nos.13, 14 and 16.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for time to file reply to the objection filed by the respondents against the application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>List this case on 18.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1247 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Vijay Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Capt. Pooja Arora, OIC Legal Cell for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1247 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Original Application. By means of this Original Application the applicant has prayed for grant of disability pension, which is recurring cause of action. As per office report there is delay of 01 years and 27 days in filing the Original Application. The reasons given in the application for condonation of delay seem to be genuine. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay in filing the Original Application is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let the case be registered as Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 20.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case including medical document for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 99 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2019

Ex. Rect. Pintu Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Satyendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 99 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in filing the Original Application. On the last respondents were granted time to file objection on the application for condonation of delay, but, the same has not been filed. By means of this Original Application the applicant has prayed for grant of disability pension, which is recurring cause of action. As per office report there is delay of 05 years, 06 months and 20 days in filing the Original Application. The reasons given in the application for condonation of delay seem to be genuine. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay is allowed and delay in filing the Original Application is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let the case be registered as Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 21.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case including medical papers for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 472 of 2017

Dilip Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed at the Bar that the pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 27.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 480 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1991 of 2018

Devendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mukesh Kumar and Shri S.S. Rajawat Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1991 of 2018</u></p> <p>By means of this amendment application the applicant wants to amend the prayer clause of the Original Applicant. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant does not want to press prayers (a), (b), (c) and (d) made in the Original Application and in place of that he intends to add the prayer as mentioned in the amendment application and he restricts his prayer to the extent of grant of disability pension and its rounding off.</p> <p>Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on amendment application.</p> <p>In the interest of justice, Amendment Application is allowed. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to incorporate the amendment within seven days.</p> <p>List this case on 19.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case including medical papers for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 336 of 2018

Ram Harsh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit by the applicant in the Registry is taken on record.</p> <p>It is informed at the Bar that the pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List this case on 29.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 392 of 2018

Umesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case Counter Affidavit has already been filed by the respondents. On 17.01.2019 before the Registrar Court a submission was made by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that he does not want to file Rejoinder Affidavit. Therefore, the pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 20.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

R.A. No. 52 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 144 of 2016

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Sgt. Rajendra Prasad Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the review applicant and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.</p> <p><u>R.A. No. 52 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is a Review Application. The only prayer in this Review Application is to waive the cost of Rs.1.00 Lac imposed on the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Ld. Counsel for the parties on this Review Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We do not find any substance in the prayer made in the Review Application because the cost has been imposed on the respondents for unfairly declaring the applicant failed in promotion examination and thereafter holding that the applicant was not entitled for extension of service because he had not passed the said promotion exam, thereby pushing him into avoidable litigation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, this Review Application is misconceived and is hereby dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 55 of 2009

Smt. Poonam Rana & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioners

Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Brief holder appearing on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner prays for adjournment. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.02.2019 for orders. No further adjournment shall be entertained as the matter is very old.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 80 of 2018

Warrant Officer Gore Lal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Col. Rakesh Johri (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has no information when the son was born whether the applicant was in service of Postal and Telegraph Department or in Army Postal Service at that point of time.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on the point of maintainability of the case.</p> <p>On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on 11.04.2019 for further hearing including the point of maintainability.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 17 of 2016

Rajender Singh Bisht
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments heard. Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Dy No. 275 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 33 of 2011

Ex Gnr Santosh Kumar Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of the Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Present: None for the applicant. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list. None appeared for the applicant.</p> <p>Office vide report dated 31.01.2019 has reported as under:-</p> <p>"1. Review Application along with delay condonation application had been filed by Counsel for the applicant on 17.01.2019 to review the order dated 01.02.2017 in O.A. 33/2011. On scrutiny it has been observed that said order has already been reviewed vide order dated 01.03.2017 in TA No 06/2017 and further review is not permissible as per Rule 18 (4) of the AFT (Procedure) Rules, 2008.</p> <p>2. The applicant has also filed an appeal bearing Diary No 33310/2017 in Hon'ble the Supreme Court challenging the order dated 01.03.2017 in RA 06/2017 and order dated 01.02.2017 in OA 33/2017. The appeal has been dismissed vide order of Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 12-02-2018 (placed at Annexure no 4). Defects to this effect had been notified on the same date i.e. 17.01.2019 and applicant/Counsel had been allowed 15 days to rectify the same.</p> <p>3. Now, the Counsel for the applicant has filed an application contesting the defects raised by the Registry.</p> <p>4. As per order dated 01.02.2017, there is delay of 01 year, 08 months and 28 days in filing of this Review Application.</p> <p>5."</p> <p>Since none is present on behalf of the applicant and the defects mentioned by the Office have not been rectified, therefore, the review application is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as for non prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 31 of 2017

Mange Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: None for the applicant. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is informed at Bar that Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant has not come to Court today on account of some personal work.</p> <p>The case is accordingly adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on 03.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 240 of 2017

Sinhasan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd.) Y.R. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he shall file Written Arguments in the Registry during the course of the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Copy of the Written Arguments has been served upon Ld. Counsel for the applicant who prays for time to go through it and file reply on behalf of the applicant, if so required.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 04.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 308 of 2017

Col. V.K. Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Indra Sen Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Col Ajeen, MS Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The applicant in the present O.A. has prayed for quashing four CRs on the ground of bias. The record of the O.A. shows that till date notice has not been issued to respondent No 4 against whom bias is alleged by the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We are of the considered opinion that in the facts and circumstances of the present case, presence of respondent No 4 is necessary. Accordingly we direct that Registry shall issue notice to Lt Gen RK Anand, C/O Military Secretary Branch, IHQ of MoD (Army), respondent No 4, returnable at an early date. The applicant shall take steps within 06 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 08.04.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 309 of 2017

Col. Vikram Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Indra Sen Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Col Ajeen, MS Representative.</p> <p>The applicant in the present O.A. has prayed for quashing four CRs on the ground of bias. The record of the O.A. shows that till date notice has not been issued to respondent No 4 against whom bias is alleged by the applicant.</p> <p>We are of the considered opinion that in the facts and circumstances of the present case, presence of respondent No 4 is necessary. Accordingly we direct that Registry shall issue notice to Lt Gen Taranjit Singh, DG Recruiting, IHQ of MoD (Army), respondent No 4, returnable at an early date. The applicant shall take steps within 06 days.</p> <p>List this case on 08.04.2019 for orders.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 389 of 2017

Kulwant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd.) A.K. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 684 of 2017 with M.A. No. 888 of 2018 and M.A. No. 889 of 2018

Col. Mukul Singhal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Mohan Kumar and Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narain Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Col Ajeen, MS Representative.</p> <p>On behalf of the respondents it is stated that since the applicant has retired as such his case has been considered as a Special Review Case in the month of December 2018 and the result is awaited. It is stated that the result is likely to be declared within a week or so. It is further stated by Ld. Counsel for the respondents that the dossier of the officer is not available and in its absence it is not possible for him to assist the Tribunal. A prayer has been made on behalf of the respondents for adjourning the case.</p> <p>The case is accordingly adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on for hearing on 07.03.2019.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 688 of 2017

Anil Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col (Retd.) Y.R. Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for time for better preparation of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 03.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 57 of 2018

Vijay Suman Sharma & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Abhishek R. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents along with Wg Cdr N Srivastava, OIC Legal Cell.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant has relied upon the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in Civil Appeal Nos 4717-4719 of 2013 <i>Union of India & Others vs. Atul Shukla etc</i> and connected matters.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the applicants are not entitled to the benefit of said judgment because they retired much prior to the pronouncement of the said judgment. Ld. Counsel for the respondents further prayed for time for better preparation of the case.</p> <p>List this case on 04.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 605 of 2018

Smt. Gulkandi Devi & Another
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri PK Shukla, Advocate holding brief for Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri PK Shukla, Advocate submits that Shri Birendra Prasad Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant has not come to the Court due to some personal work and prays for adjournment of the case. The case is accordingly adjourned.</p> <p>List this case on 13.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>anb</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 178 of 2016

Kushal Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Judgment pronounced.</p> <p>Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>For orders, see our judgment and order of date passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc Applications, pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 41 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 417 of 2017

Muneesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Addl. DGPS-4, DHQ PO New Delhi & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that for RSMB a letter has been issued to the applicant and the applicant has been directed to appear before the Base Hospital, Delhi Cantt. on any working day.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to provide a copy of said letter to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant and applicant is directed to contact Base Hospital, Delhi Cantt. for RSMB.</p> <p>List this case on 05.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 267 of 2013

Smt. Gomti Devi wife of Late Kitab Singh (Deceased)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's further time to file Supplementary Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.02.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 424 of 2017

Dinesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>The case was taken up in the revised list. Present: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. None is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>The issue involved in this case is that whether the instant Original Application is maintainable or not. Ld. Counsel for the applicant on the last several dates seeking adjournments for arguments on the issue of maintainability of the case.</p> <p>In this Original Application the applicant has made a prayer for his enrolment in the Army. Such a prayer is not maintainable in this Tribunal in view of Judgment dated 24.11.2015 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in Special Appeal No. 833 of 2015 Union of India Through Secretary & 2 Others Versus Sushil Kumar Shukla.</p> <p>Since none is present on behalf of the applicant, therefore, we hereby dismiss the Original Application for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 181 of 2018

Mahesh Kumar Awasthi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri A.N. Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is informed at the bar that the pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 01.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 183 of 2018

Arun Kmar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to file the amendment application for amending prayer clause as the applicant has not been provided the Discharge Order. The case is otherwise ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List this case on 02.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 218 of 2018

Rajendra Prasad Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is informed at the bar that the pleadings are complete and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List this case on 21.02.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case including injury report and statement of the applicant causing reasons of the injury for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 51 of 2017

Rajeshwar Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Brief holder appearing on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment. He further submits that the name of Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha has been wrongly shown in the cause list, while Shri Om Prakash is the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Office is directed to show the name of Shri Om Prakash as Ld. Counsel for the applicant instead of Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, when the case is listed next.</p> <p>List this case on 19.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 208 of 2014

Pravind Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further time to produce the original record.</p> <p>Last opportunity is being granted to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents to produce the original record pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench as directed vide orders dated 03.12.2018 and 16.01.2019.</p> <p>List this case on 19.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 214 of 2016

Smt. Rajni Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Col. B.P. Singh (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Shri Himanshu Vaish, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 2 today in the Court is taken on record. A copy of the same has been provided to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant who prays for and is granted four weeks time to go through it and file reply, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 26.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 262 of 2017

Sub. Sanjay Kumar Kushwaha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Virendra Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List this case on 06.02.2019 for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 670 of 2017

Pushpa Devi Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 07.03.2019 for further hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 71 of 2018

Rakesh Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On request made by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p>List again on 25.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. (A) No. 290 of 2015

Kaptan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Case called out. Present: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. None is present on behalf of the appellant. In the interest of justice, the case is adjourned. List this case on 29.03.2019 for hearing. On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 1065 of 2010 with M.A. No. 1742 of 2018

R.N. Mishra By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	Versus	Petitioner
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey and Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1742 of 2018</u></p> <p>By means of this amendment application Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has prayed for amending the certain prayers for issuing writ of certiorari and mandamus. It is admitted by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that this Tribunal lacks jurisdiction to issue writ in the nature of certiorari and mandamus. At this stage Ld. Counsel for the petitioner prayed that the amendment application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, M.A. No. 1742 of 2018 (amendment application) is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.03.2019 for orders.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="font-size: small; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/UKT/-</p>	(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)
(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 10 of 2017

Praveen Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri P.K. Shukla, Advocate holding brief Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Brief holder appearing on behalf of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment. He further submits that the name of Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha has been wrongly shown in the cause list, while Shri Om Prakash is the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Office is directed to show the name of Shri Om Prakash as Ld. Counsel for the applicant instead of Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, when the case is listed next.</p> <p>List this case on 01.04.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce the original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 69 of 2018
Inre T.A.No. 14 of 2017

Jai Ram Pal
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Pankaj Kumr Shukla, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.69 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for execution of the order dated 25.09.2017 passed in T.A.No. 14 of 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Ravi Prakash, Representative of the PCDA (P), Allahabad is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that RSMB of the petitioner has been conducted and the claim of the petitioner has been sent to PCDA (P), Allahabad for issuing PPO, which shall be issued within a short time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to bring on record the report of the RSMB.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 15.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No.82 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.468 of 2017

Yogesh Chandra Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others (Army)
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.82 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for execution of the order dated 20.12.2017 passed in O.A.No. 468 of 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case corrigendum PPO has been issued, whereby the branch of the applicant's bank has been corrected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has not received the payment of the disability pension. However, he has admitted that the applicant has received the payment of commutation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 28.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A) PKG</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

Ex.A.No. 215 of 2018
Inre T.A.No. 75 of 2011

Ex Swr Ashok Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Bachchan Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Dr SN Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A.No.215 of 2018</u></p> <p>This is an application for execution of the order dated 04.10.2017 passed in T.A.No. 75 of 2011.</p> <p>It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that in this case SLP could not be filed before the Hon'ble Apex Court as the same could not be signed. He prays for further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List this case on 28.03.2019 for filing compliance report, failing which the respondents will have to deposit cost of Rs.15,000/- in the Registry, which shall be paid to the petitioner through cheque.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No. 2046 of 2017
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2017

Vishram Singh Rajput
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vishram Singh Rajput, applicant in person and Shri Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>None appears for the applicant, however, the applicant himself is present before the court.</p> <p>On behalf of the respondents, it is submitted that for the same relief, the applicant had filed Civil Misc.Writ Petition No.37357 of 1999 before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, which was dismissed on 16.11.2007.</p> <p>The applicant, instead of filing Special Appeal before the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, has straightway filed this O.A. before this Tribunal, hence this O.A. is not maintainable in this Tribunal, as this Tribunal is not sitting in appeal.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant may address the Court on this point.</p> <p>List this case on 26.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No. 474 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2018

Daya Shankar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri VK Pandey, Advocate holding brief of Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case an amendment application has been filed by the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri VK Pandey, Advocate submits that Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant has not come to the court and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the interest of justice, the case is passed over for the day.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.03.2019 for consideration of amendment application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No.1350 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2018

Smt Usha Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Virat Anand Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A.No.1350 of 2018</u></p> <p>By means of this O.A., the applicant has made prayer for grant of Special Family pension, which is a recurring cause of action.</p> <p>Objection and reply to the said objection have already been exchanged</p> <p>Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Let the case be registered as O.A.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 26.03.2019 for orders before the Registrar Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No. 1591 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2018

Ex (Sigmn) Sanjay Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Vinay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Reply to the objection on the application for condonation of delay filed, is taken on record.</p> <p><u>M.A.No. 1591 of 2018</u></p> <p>In this case, learned counsel for the applicant has challenged the order of discharge.</p> <p>There is delay of more than two years in filing this O.A.</p> <p>The submission of the learned counsel for the applicant is that the applicant has moved several representations before the authority concerned and the copies of the same have been filed with this O.A., but there is absolutely no evidence that the same has been filed before the authority concerned or not. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that since the said representations have been sent by ordinary post, therefore, he has no acknowledge of the same to produce before the Court.</p> <p>In view of this, learned counsel for the respondents is directed to seek instructions whether the said representations, copies of which have been annexed with this O.A., have been received by them or not?</p> <p>List this case on 25.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No. 1630 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2018

Ex Hav (Hony Nb Sub) Ram Shabda Ram
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri VP Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.No.1630 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">By means of this O.A., the applicant has made prayer for grant of service pension to the rank of Nb Subedar, which is a recurring cause of action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Objection and reply to the said objection have already been exchanged</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, the application is allowed and the delay in filing the O.A. is hereby condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Having heard the learned counsel for the parties, we find that it is a fit case for admission.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let the case be registered as O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed within one week thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 27.03.2019 for orders before the Registrar Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
[See rule 11(1)]
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1

M.A.No. 1705 of 2018
Inre O.A.No.Nil of 2018

Ex Cfn Mahangoo Prasad Vishwakarma
By Legal Practitioner for Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A.No.1705 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard on the point of maintainable of this O.A.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case, the issue involved is whether the sons of the applicant are entitled for enrolment in the Army or not.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant has produced before us a copy of the Policy, which shows that sons of the Army personnel are entitled for enrolment in the Army. However, in the counter affidavit a different statement has been taken by the respondents wherein the word 'son' has been used.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents are directed to produce the original policy on the date fixed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 27.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal B.B.P. Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>PKG</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 124 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. Nil of 2019

Ex Hav Rajeev Kumar Sengar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 124 of 2019</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay filed by the applicant for grant of service pension. As per office report, it is delayed by 07 years, 02 months & 16 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file objection on the application for condonation of delay. Replication, if any, may be filed by the learned counsel for the applicant within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>List this case on 12.03.2019 for orders before Registrar Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 72 of 2019

Maj Yashvardhan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5 is taken on record. Her name be shown as learned counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Present: Shri Yashpal Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 5.</p> <p>In this case, notice has been received by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 5.</p> <p>Let notice be issued to private respondent Nos. 6 & 7 by regd. post as well as normal mode of service. Steps to be taken within two weeks.</p> <p>List this case on 08.04.2019 for orders after service of notice to private respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 143 of 2017 Inre O.A. No. 166 of 2017

Smt Neelam Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 143 of 2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 24.05.2017 passed in O.A. No. 166 of 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted on behalf of the respondents that the applicant was considered for compassionate appointment in 2018 and she will be again considered in the year 2019 & 2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that the applicant has raised her claim for compassionate appointment in the year 2012 and admittedly she has been considered first time only in 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents is directed to file an affidavit explaining the delay in considering her for compassionate appointment and also the correspondence which was made with the applicant for furnishing documents which were required for her consideration.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he will deposit the cost within a short period.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 12 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 149 of 2013

Smt Munni Devi

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 12 of 2018</u></p> <p>This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 29.06.2017 passed in O.A. No. 149 of 2013.</p> <p>On the last date, following order was passed :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“Even after lapse of one and half years’ time, the same has not yet been executed. Therefore, on the last dates, we have imposed cost of Rs.10,000/- + Rs.15,000/- (Total Rs.25,000/-), but the same has not yet been deposited.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>An undertaking is given by Major Atul Gupta, Departmental Representative that he shall deposit the cost of Rs.25,000/- in the Registry within two days.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Major Atul Gupta, Departmental Representative submits that the respondents intends to prefer SLP before the Hon'ble Apex Court. Admittedly, SLP has not yet been filed nor there is any stay order, therefore, the respondents are under obligation to execute the order.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Today again learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks’ time to file compliance report, failing which the respondents shall have to deposit cost of Rs.30,000/- in the Registry, which shall be paid to the applicant through cheque.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>List this case on 31.01.2019 for orders.”</i></p> <p>Today, it is submitted on behalf of the respondents that order has not yet been complied with and the SLP is under preparation. It is further submitted that cost of Rs. 15,000/- only has been deposited and full cost could not be deposited for want of sufficient funds and prayed that balance cost of Rs. 40,000/- shall be deposited the moment funds are received.</p> <p>Learned counsel for respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file compliance report, failing which they will have to pay additional cost of Rs. 30,000/-.</p> <p>List this case on 29.03.2019 for filing compliance report by the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 30 of 2018 Inre O.A. No. 119 of 2017

Smt Rajwati Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Angrej Nath Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 30 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 24.04.2017 passed in O.A. No. 119 of 2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case, today, compliance report has been filed by learned counsel for the respondents to the effect that the applicant could not be considered for compassionate appointment as no vacancy is received after 07.11.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the case of compassionate appointment will be considered in merit whenever the vacancy is available.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that this is false compliance report by the respondents because vacancies were available and the applicant could have been considered for companionate appointment much prior to the date as mentioned by learned counsel for the respondents but they have not considered her name even for the first time</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to bring on record the said documents by filing supplementary affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 13.03.2019 for orders.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 42 of 2018 alongwith R.A. No. 23 of 2018 with M.A. No. 775 of 2018
Inre O.A. No. 183 of 2016

Smt Bhagwan Devi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri K.K. Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>On the last date, following order was passed :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"None is present on behalf of the applicant.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for six weeks further time to file compliance report.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>In the interest of justice case is adjourned.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>List this case on 31.01.2019 for filing compliance report, failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs.10,000/-, which shall be deposited in the registry."</i></p> <p>Today, it is submitted on behalf of the respondents that cost imposed earlier shall be deposited in the Registry during the course of day and prays for further time to file compliance report.</p> <p>List this case on 29.03.2019 for filing compliance report by the respondents, failing which they will have to pay cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 43 of 2018 Inre M.A. No. 972 of 2017

Shailesh Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Present: Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Chandra, learned counsel for the Bank and Maj Atul Gupta, Departmental Representative.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 43 of 2018</u></p> <p>This is an application filed by the applicant for execution of order dated 16.01.2018 passed in M.A. No. 972 of 2017.</p> <p>In this case, learned counsel for the Bank has submitted that he has filed entire details of payment made to the applicant on 22.01.2018 and 05.03.2018.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant on 19.12.2018, four weeks time was granted to file his objection but no objection has been filed and today, again prayed for further time to file objection on PPO.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case on 15.02.2019 for filing objection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex-A No. 68 of 2018 Inre T.A. No. 528 of 2010

Laxmi Prasad By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner	Versus	Petitioner
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>31.01.2019</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.V.S. Rathore, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Air Marshal BBP Sinha, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Present: Shri Vikas Kumar Agrawal, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mohd Zafar Khan, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>Ex.A. No. 68 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application filed by the petitioner for execution of order dated 29.08.2017 passed in T.A. No. 528 of 2010.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In this case, notice was issued to newly impleaded Bank but today no one is present on behalf the Bank. His presence is necessary.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let fresh notice be issued to opposite party No. 6 by regd. post as well as by normal mode of service. Steps to be taken within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 25.03.2019 for orders.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">SB</p>	(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)
(Air Marshal BBP Sinha) Member (A)	(Justice S.V.S. Rathore) Member (J)		