

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 46 of 2022 with M.A. No. 63 of 2022

WO Bal Bachan Prasad (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi and Shri P.K. Khare, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 05 months and 10 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 46 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 21.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 47 of 2022 with M.A. No. 64 of 2022

JWO Tribhuwan Thakur (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi and Shri P.K. Khare, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 06 months and 08 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 47 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 21.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 48 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Shachche Lal Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The innocuous prayer of the applicant is that to direct the respondents to decide the applicant's First Appeal dated 12.01.2021, which is pending with the respondents.</p> <p>With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose off the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to decide the applicant's First Appeal dated 12.01.2021, annexed as Annexure No. A-1 of Original Application, by a speaking and reasoned order in accordance with law, if not already decided, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 50 of 2022

Ex. WO Vijai Pratap Narain Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 10 are taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 10 assisted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 10 submits that Original Application is bad for plural remedies and for misjoinder of parties. Ld. Counsel further submits that respondent Nos. 1 to 10 be allowed to file objection in this regard in writing which is allowed.</p> <p>Let objection be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 21.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 57 of 2022 with M.A. No. 78 of 2022

Dropati Rai @ Dropati Devi W/o Late Ex. Hony. Nb. Sub. Maheshwar Nath Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ram Singh and Shri Ramkripal Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months and 13 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 57 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No.1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21st February, 2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. 1(13)/2016/D(Pen/Policy) dated 21.02.2020 issued by Government of India, Ministry of Defence, Department of Ex-Servicemen Welfare, New Delhi, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 59 of 2022 with M.A. No. 79 of 2022

Nk. Jambe Prasad (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 months and 15 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 59 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 21.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on 19.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)
O.A. No. 61 of 2022 with M.A. No. 82 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Rajendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 09 months and 13 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 61 of 2022</u></p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram SharanAwasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant was discharged from service on 30.09.1988 after completing the terms of engagement and was in receipt of pension. He further submits that applicant's pension has been stopped by the respondents since 01.12.2015 without any justifiable reason. He further submits that in regard to release of pension documents were required to be submitted by the applicant, which applicant has submitted vide Zila Sainik Kalyan Adhikari, Fatehgarh letter dated 08.11.2021.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instructions why despite required documents being submitted by the applicant the pension has not been released.</p> <p>Instructions be sought within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 21.03.2022.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 70 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 445 of 2020

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Rect. Harvir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the order dated 13.07.2021 the rank of the applicant be corrected as 'Rect' in place of 'Rfn' and the date of discharge of the applicant be corrected as '06.11.1995 (AN)' in place of '05.11.1995'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Further, in para 9 of the order 'w.e.f. his next date of discharge i.e. 07.11.1995' be corrected in place of 'w.e.f. his date of discharge i.e. 05.11.1995'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 80 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 634 of 2020

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Sub. Vinod Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed by the applicant's – Union of India and Others for correction of Original Application No. 634 of 2020 to Original Application No. 486 of 2020 in its entire orders sheets dated 01.03.2021, 12.03.2021, 16.03.2021 and 23.11.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On perusal of records it appears that inadvertently initially Original Application No.486 of 2020 was allotted to this Original Application of which order sheets dated 10.11.2020 and 23.11.2020 were drawn. Later on it was found by the registry that Original Application No. 486 of 2020 was allotted to Ex. Rect. Guru Prasad Versus Union of India, therefore, to rectify the aforesaid error, number 634 of 2020 was allotted to this Original Application. Accordingly, the order sheets of dated 01.03.2021, 12.03.2021 and 16.03.2021 were drawn mentioning as Original Application No. 634 of 2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, in the order sheets dated 10.11.2020 and 23.11.2020 Original Application number be corrected as '634 of 2020' in place of '486 of 2020'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Correction application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 45 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 110 of 2018

Ex. Hav. Dinesh Pal By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mr. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In regard to compliance, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Government Sanction has been accorded on 21.01.2022 and PPO shall be issued very soon. She also submits that cost has been deposited.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents to file affidavit of compliance within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 2 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex. Nb. Sub. RadheyShyam Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant being posted in Maharashtra Police and being not permitted to leave station, objection against affidavit of compliance could not be filed. He seeks and is allowed three weeks further time to file objection against affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 8 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 282 of 2016

Varun Kumar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in compliance to order passed by this Tribunal applicant is receiving disability element only, not service element also which included in disability pension. He further submits that applicant has knowledge about filing of Special Leave Petition in the Hon'ble Apex Court as notice in this regard has been received by the applicant in the month of January, 2022 itself. He further submits that since there is no stay against decision of this Tribunal, respondents be directed to make complete implementation of the order.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In reply, the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Special Leave Petition filed against decision of this Tribunal was listed for hearing in the Hon'ble Apex Court on 27.01.2022, on which date the Hon'ble Apex Court was pleased to pass the order to issue notice to applicant again as the notice issued earlier was not found served upon him. He further submits that Special Leave Petition is listed again on 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, respondents are granted four weeks further time to either bring stay order or implement the order in entirety.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 14 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 263 of 2020

Ex. Nk. Saurabh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submits that four weeks further time be granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Considering that enough time has already been granted to respondents for compliance of the order, they are granted four weeks further time on payment of Rs.10,000/- to the applicant. It is clarified that if the order is not complied with in the given time, the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reason for non-implementation of the order.</p> <p>List on 21.03.2022.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 30 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 530 of 2019

Ex. Sub. Harendra Singh Rawat
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submits that PPO has been generated. He further submits that a week further time be granted to him to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 09.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 106 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 275 of 2017

Smt. Seeta Devi [W/o Ex. Cfn. (Late) Ram Bahadur Singh] Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>01.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Execution Application may be dismissed being infructuous as payment has been received to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

