

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 62 of 2022 with M.A. No. 83 of 2022

Ex. LS Mohammad Akbar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 23 years, 07 months and 13 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 62 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 22.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 20.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>
	AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 63 of 2022 with M.A. No. 85 of 2022

Lt. Col. Rajeev Devgan (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The case pertains to grant of pensionary benefits.</p> <p>There is a delay of 18 years, 06 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has been filed. An affidavit has also been filed in support of application stating that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the res has vehemently opposed the prayer saying that explanation offered is not sufficient.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter and cause shown for delay is sufficient, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 63 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 22.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 20.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 64 of 2022 with M.A. No. 86 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Ashok Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 15 years, 05 months and 13 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 64 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on 22.03.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on 20.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 17 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 636 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Krishna Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and submits that Memo of Appearance will be filed in the registry during the course of the day.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions in the matter.</p> <p>List on 28.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No. 92 of 2022 with M.A. No. 93 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 103 of 2018

Ayodhya Prasad Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for recall of order dated 02.09.2021 in Original Application No. 103 of 2018 by which Original Application has been dismissed being abated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of facts averred in affidavit filed in support of application, order dated 02.09.2021 is recalled.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Original Application be restored to its number.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 103 of 2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 03.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 81 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 236 of 2018

Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Phool Chand By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Despite notice held served to respondent No. 4 no one is present from the side of Bank.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in compliance to order dated 28.11.2018 of this Tribunal PPO giving benefit of rounding off @50% with effect from 08.01.2015 was issued. However, no payment has been received in applicant's account till date after the issue of PPO.</p> <p>In reply, the submission of Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is that answering respondents have done their part by issuing PPO and it is for Bank to do its part.</p> <p>Since no one is present on behalf of the Bank to explain why payment is not being done, registry is directed to issue notice to Branch Manager, Bank of Baroda, Gandhi Chowk, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan-333001 directing him to remain present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reason of non-compliance and also why action be not taken against him.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 14.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 112 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 87 of 2016

Ex. Nk. (TS) Diwan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad) is also present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance to order dated 03.12.2021 Corrigendum PPO has been issued and its copy has been forwarded to Ld. Counsel for the applicant also. A copy of Corrigendum PPO has been forwarded on Mobile Phone of Bench Secretary of this Tribunal also for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>We have gone through the Corrigendum PPO and we find that it is in terms of order. It appears that order has been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

Ex. A. No. 84 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 270 of 2017

Ex. Hav. (Hav. Nb. Sub.) Kali Prasad Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed, a week further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 11.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 143 of 2021

Hav/PA Vishal Bakshi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that when Original Application was filed the main dispute in the case was regarding fixation of basic pay of the applicant at entry level. After the filing of Original Application the anomaly was resolved and applicant's basic pay has been fixed at Rs.6,460/- as in case of pre-2006 appointees and arrears Rs.1,30,012/- has also been credited in applicant's account. He submits that since respondents have not filed any due and drawn statement along with Counter Affidavit, it is not possible to the applicant to re-check whether basic pay of the applicant in all ranks have been correctly fixed or not. Therefore, he prayed that a direction be issued to the respondents to file due and drawn statement.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, respondents are directed to file due and drawn statement of arrears paid to the applicant within three weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)**

O.A. No. 380 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Shyam Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents and Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant are taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 566 of 2021

Hav (DSC) Chandra Pal Singh Chauhan (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Capt Priyanka, Departmental Representative of the respondents.</p> <p>In regard to applicant's claim relating to fixation of his basic pay at par alongwith his junior, learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions received, submits that with effect from 07.11.2005 the applicant's basic pay has been fixed at par with his junior at Rs. 3570/- per month with DNI on 01.07.2006. He also submits that on account of re-fixation arrear amounting to Rs. 27934/- is payable to the applicant.</p> <p>The above submission is required to be brought on record.</p> <p>Respondents are therefore, directed to file affidavit stating aforesaid facts within two weeks from today.</p> <p>List on 01.03.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 601 of 2021

Ex. Hav Ashok Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative who is present during hearing, submits that Counter Affidavit filed in case is not based on comments which were forwarded to Dr. S.N. Pandey, therefore, the same be returned and respondents be permitted to file fresh Counter Affidavit. She also submits that a letter has been forwarded to Central Government Standing Counsel for change of counsel.</p> <p>Dr. S.N. Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents submits that he has filed the Counter Affidavit as per instructions received. He further submits that Counter Affidavit be taken on record.</p> <p>The above submissions refer that there is some conflict between respondents and their counsel with regard to contents of Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>Taking note of the aforesaid and also that respondents intend to file fresh Counter Affidavit in the matter after withdrawing the earlier, Counter Affidavit is returned to the respondents with liberty to file afresh within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 28.02.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 607 of 2021

Ex. MWO Rajesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed two days further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 09.02.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 228 of 2015

Ramesh Chandra Dixit
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant in person and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 21.04.2022 for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 196 of 2020 with M.A. No. 207 of 2020

Ex. Gdr (NR) Ram Autar Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 22.04.2022 for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 617 of 2020

Ex. Sgt Rajesh Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 29.03.2022 for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
 ORDER SHEET
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 443 of 2021

Sgt. Amit Tripathi
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>02.02.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is almost ready and will be filed in the Registry within 2-3 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let counter affidavit be filed within time as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.02.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>