



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 847 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1003 of 2021**

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Ex. HFL Bachcha Lal</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant       | Applicant   |
| <b>Versus</b>   |             |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents | Respondents |

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 08 months and 13 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 847 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>28.02.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>28.03.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 848 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1004 of 2021**

|   |               |                                  |
|---|---------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Smt. Ramwati</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant              | <b>Versus</b> | Applicant<br><br><br>Respondents |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents |               |                                  |

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 15 years and 13 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 848 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>28.02.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>28.03.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>          <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> |

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 849 of 2021**

|   |               |             |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| <b>Ex. Sub. Amlesh Kumar</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant     | <b>Versus</b> | Applicant   |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents |               | Respondents |

| <b>Notes of<br/>the<br/>Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
|                                      | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed against the order dated 19.05.2021 by which applicant has not been considered for promotion from Subedar to the rank of Subedar Major in the year 2019 and 2020 by the Departmental Promotion Committee.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Points raised in Original Application need consideration, therefore, Original Application is admitted for hearing.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>28.02.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>28.03.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 865 of 2021**

**Col. (TS) Ezajullah Ansari (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents and submits that Memo of Appearance on behalf of respondents will be filed in the registry during the course of the day.</p> <p>Heard Shri S.G. Singh and Shri Anand Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Thereafter, Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>28.02.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>28.03.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**O.A. No. 880 of 2021 with M.A. No. 1042 of 2021**

**Lt. Col. Awadhesh Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Kurup Mudgal, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed seeking quashing of tentative charge-sheet served upon the applicant on 12.07.2019 being time barred as per Section 122 of the Army Act, 1950 as also the movement order issued to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">There is a delay of 02 months and 02 days in filing of Original Application regarding which an application for condonation of delay has also been filed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents wish to file objection regarding maintainability of Original Application as well as delay condonation application, therefore, two weeks time be granted for the same.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let objection be filed within two weeks, as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>25.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant/ AFT Legal Cell within two days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 105 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 42 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. (Nb. Sub.) Sunil Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of<br/>the<br/>Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|--------------------------------------|---|
|                                      | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Ram Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 106 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 691 of 2020**

**Ex. Gnr. Ramesh Chander**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

Ex. A. No. 107 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 104 of 2016

**Smt. Pankaj Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 108 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 364 of 2020**

|   |               |             |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| <b>Ex. MWO Brij Bhushan</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant      | <b>Versus</b> | Applicant   |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents |               | Respondents |

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p>List on <b>14.02.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)<br/>Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 109 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 556 of 2019**

**Col. Rajesh Dhar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 110 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 40 of 2021**

**Rect. Brij Bhushan Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E. Court)**

Ex. A. No. 111 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 480 of 2017

Ex. Sep. Devender Kumar Singh  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

Union of India & Others  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><u>04.01.2022</u><br/><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u><br/><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.S. Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to seek instruction in the matter.</p> <p>List on <b>14.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**M.A. No. 1029 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 260 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Col. (TS) Nagendra Singh (Retd.)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1029 of 2021</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</p> <p>For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>In the Judgment and Order dated 10.11.2021 Original Application number be corrected as '<b>260 of 2021</b>' in place of '291 of 2021'.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**  
**Ex. A. No. 155 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 1288 of 2010**

**Chandra Bhushan Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order an amount of Rs.1,28,800/- has been paid to the applicant on 23.12.2021 towards leave encasement. He further submits that after this payment the order under execution stands fully complied with, therefore, Execution Application should be dismissed being infructuous. He further submits that if applicant is not satisfied with implementation of the order, he may file objection under Section 47 of the C.P.C.</p> <p>In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that respondents have not yet complied with the order under execution, therefore, coercive measures be taken against them.</p> <p>Vide order dated 29.10.2021 this Tribunal had directed respondents to pay leave encashment, 50% salary for the period from 25.10.2001 to 23.01.2002, Dearness Relief for the period from 01.02.2008 to 31.12.2008 which in fact should have been up to 31.12.2012 and Interim Relief for the period from 01.01.2006 to 31.12.2015 to the applicant besides publishing Part – II Order regarding disability of the applicant's daughter Shikha Yadav. It was also directed that if respondents fail to comply with the order, the Joint Controller of Defence Accounts (Air Force) shall remain present in court in person to explain the reason of non-compliance.</p> <p>Out of five components to be complied with by the respondents they have made compliance of only one i.e. leave encashment and remaining four components are still uncomplished with. The Joint Controller of Defence Accounts (Air Force) is also not present today to explain the reasons of non-compliance. This shows wilful disobedience on the part of the respondents to the order passed by this Tribunal which is highly depreciable. Keeping this in view, respondents are directed to recover the amount payable to the applicant from the salary of Joint Controller of Defence Accounts (Air Force), New Delhi, the officer responsible for non-compliance of the order and to pay this amount to the applicant towards compliance within four weeks from today.</p> <p>List on <b>09.02.2022</b>.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ Departmental Representative for the respondents within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p> |







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 66 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 467 of 2017**

**Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Mithilesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one appears on behalf of the applicant nor any objection has been filed against the affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and she submits that in view of facts stated in affidavit of compliance Execution Application may be dismissed being infructuous. .</p> <p>We have gone through the affidavit of compliance as well as copy of P.P.O. annexed therewith and we find that order has been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 51 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 198 of 2016**

**Ex. Sep. (DSC) Hardayal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant has filed application seeking impleadment of P.D.A.s as respondent Nos. 6 and 7 in Execution Application which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let amendments be incorporated in Execution Application by today itself and notice be issued to the newly impleaded respondents returnable within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>17.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/>Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E. Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 6 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 148 of 2018**

|   |                    |
|---|--------------------|
| <b>Ex. Sep. Raj Kumar Verma</b>         | <b>Applicant</b>   |
| By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant |                    |
| <b>Versus</b>                           |                    |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>      | <b>Respondents</b> |
| By Legal Practitioner for Respondents   |                    |

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Capt. Priyanka, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>Shri Rajiv Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj (Allahabad) is also present.</p> <p>In regard to order dated 23.11.2021 the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that as per instructions received from the Records Office, the copy of P.P.O. has already been sent to the concerned Bank on 26.11.2021 with its copy to applicant also. A copy of the said letter has been sent today to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant also.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid, order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**By Circulation**

R.A. No. 101 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 34 of 2019

Sub/Clk (SD) S. Gulam Nabi (JC-700479M)

Applicant

Versus

Union of India and Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>The file has been placed before us by Circulation.</p> <p>The Applicant has filed this application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 by which applicant has prayed for review and setting aside the judgement and order dated 06.10.2021 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 34 of 2019. The operating portion of the order reads as under:-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“We have gone through the judgment referred to above and we find that in view of what has been said in the judgment, the order of Severe Reprimand awarded in Summary Court Martial does not fall in the purview of service matters under Section 3(o) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 and, therefore, Original Application filed against such an order is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed as such.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b> being not maintainable.”</i></p> <p>It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed.</p> <p>For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-</p> <p><i>“1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</i></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;"><i>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order.”</i></p> |



In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

*"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."*

We have gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed and no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in the review application so that order may be reviewed.

In the result, Review Application is **rejected**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)  
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**EX.A. No. 67 of 2021 Inre O.A. No.21 of 2020**

**Ex Sub Subhash Chandra Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have gone through the facts averred in compliance report and we find that order has been complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p> |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 226 of 2020 with M.A. No. 107 of 2020**

**Ex. Sep Dharmendra Singh Bhadoria**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that respondents do not wish to file any supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, list the matter on <b>01.03.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 331 of 2021**

**Ex. Cpl Dharm Raj**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Himanshu Singh, Shri Raj Deepak Chaudhary and Shri Kshitij Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is prayed by learned counsel for the respondents to grant two weeks further time to file counter affidavit which is allowed as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let counter affidavit be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>25.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

O.A. No. 492 of 2021

**Rect. Gopal Sule Bhagwat**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on <b>10.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 640 of 2021**

**Ex. Nk Jagpal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of learned counsel for the respondents two weeks and no more time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>09.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

O.A. No. 641 of 2021

|   |               |             |
|---|---------------|-------------|
| <b>Ex. Hav Vijay Bahadur</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant     | <b>Versus</b> | Applicant   |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents |               | Respondents |

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>08.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="display:flex; justify-content:space-between;"><span><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b></span><span><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>SB</p> |





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 263 of 2014**

**Ishwar Prasad**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Kunwar Bahadur Singh, Shri Umesh Singh and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Deepti P Bajpai and Shri DC Lohumi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by Mrs Deepti P Bajpai, learned counsel for the respondents that since she has received copy of supplementary affidavit by yesterday only, two weeks time be granted to file reply which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>04.02.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/> <b>Member (A)    Member (J)</b> </p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 127 of 2017**

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>Jham Prasad Yadav</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant         | Applicant   |
| <b>Versus</b>   |             |
| <b>Union of India &amp; Others</b><br>By Legal Practitioner for Respondents | Respondents |

|  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| <b>Notes of the Registry</b>                       | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |  |  |
|  | <p><u>04.01.2022</u><br/><u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u><br/><u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list on <b>18.01.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none; margin-top: 10px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)<br/>Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)<br/>Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 5px;">SB</p> | (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)<br>Member (A) | (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)<br>Member (J) |
| (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)<br>Member (A) | (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)<br>Member (J)  |  |  |



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 311 of 2020**

**Smt. Prabhawati Devi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>   |
|------------------------------|---|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri BB Tripathi and Shri Amit Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh and Shri Gaurav Gunjan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri BB Tripathi, learned counsel for the applicant submits that as per information furnished by Shri Gaurav Gunjan, learned counsel for the respondent No. 4 &amp; 5, Bank has paid interest on delayed payment. However, no affidavit to this effect has been filed on record.</p> <p>Respondent No. 4 &amp; 5 are therefore, directed to file affidavit regarding payment of interest on delayed payment within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>19.01.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/><b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

O.A. No. 107 of 2021

**Ex. Sep Dhananjay Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal   |
|-----------------------|--|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A.(A) No. 461 of 2018**

**Ex. Sgt Gajendra Tripathi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Appellant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| <b>Notes of the Registry</b> | <b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>  |
|------------------------------|--|
|                              | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri GC Verma, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed, list on <b>02.03.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b><br/>Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p> |

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 565 of 2021**

**Ram Sakal Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

| Notes of the Registry | Orders of the Tribunal  |
|-----------------------|---|
|                       | <p><b><u>04.01.2022</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b><br/><b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is dismissed on merit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)<br/>Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p> |