

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 276 of 2022**

**LS GI (S) Abhishek Kumar (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed under section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act against order dated 23.02.2021 passed in summary trial under sections 60(d), 68 and 77(2) of the Navy Act, 1957 under which applicant has been held guilty and sentenced to reduction in rank and to suffer deprivation of badges of good conduct.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has raised objection regarding maintainability of the application in Armed Forces Tribunal, which shall herein after be called "Tribunal". He submits that section 3(o) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, which shall herein after be called "Act", defines "service matters" in relation to the persons subject to the Army Act, 1950, the Navy Act, 1957 and the Air Force Act, 1950. The provisions of the Act applies to the persons who are subject to the above three Acts. They also apply to the retired personnel subject to the above Acts, including their dependents, heirs and successors, in so far as it relates to their service matters.</p> <p>Service matters in relation to the personnel of the above three Acts have been defined under section 3(o) of the Act and it includes-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) remuneration (including allowances), person and other retirement benefits;</li><li>(ii) tenure, including commission, appointment, enrolment, probation, confirmation, seniority, training, promotion, reversion, premature retirement, superannuation, termination of service and penal deductions;</li><li>(iii) summary disposal and trials where the punishment of dismissal is awarded;</li><li>(iv) any other matter, whatsoever,</li></ul> <p>but shall not include matters relating to –</p>

- (i) orders issued under section 18 of the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), sub-section (1) of section 15 of the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and section 18 of the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950); and
- (ii) transfers and posting including the change of place or unit on posting whether individually or as a part of unit, formation or ship in relation to the persons subject to the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950).
- (iii) leave of any kind;
- (iv) Summary Court Martial except where the punishment is of dismissal or imprisonment for more than three months;

Clause (iii) of the section clarifies that only the punishment of dismissal from service in summary disposal or trials falls in purview of "service matters", not all punishments. In reference to this, he submits that no punishments other than dismissal from service in summary disposal or trials can be challenged under section 14 of the Act as they are not service matters in view of section 3(o) read with clause (iii) of the Act.

Learned counsel further submits that since the punishments challenged in the present application are reduction in rank and deprivation of badges of good conduct which are less than dismissal and have been awarded in summary trial, they do not fall in definition of 'service matters' and hence not cognizable by the Armed Forces Tribunal under section 14 of the Act. Instant Original Application therefore deserves to be dismissed being not maintainable.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the applicant submits that clause (iii) of section 3(o) can not be read in isolation, it needs to be read along with clause (ii) which clearly says that punishment of reversion is a service matter. Reversion and reduction in rank both are one and the same thing and since reversion is incorporated in clause (ii) of section 3(o), it is cognizable by the Tribunal under section 14 of the Act. He submits that reversion is a harsh punishment which seriously affects the service career of persons subject to armed forces, it can not be denied being tried by the Tribunal being not service matter under section 3(o) read with clause (iii) of the Act, more so when it is explicitly mentioned in clause(ii). Tribunal has been created with object to provide platform to the personnel of the armed forces for redressal of their grievances hence, it can't deny trying a case saying order challenged is not a service matter howsoever badly it affects the service career.

This question has been coming across time and again whether Tribunal has jurisdiction to decide all disputes relating to service matters of armed forces being used, or only those defined as "service matters" in section 3(o) of the Act. Allahabad High Court came across this issue in the case of *Major Kunwar Ambreshwar Prasad Singh Vs Union of India Through Ministry of Defence New Delhi*, in Service Bench No. 8051 of 1989, decided on

20.02.2014. In this case petitioner of the case had filed petition against the order of severe reprimand awarded in summary trial which after coming into effect of Tribunal was transferred to Tribunal under section 36 of the Act being service matter. The Principal Bench of the Tribunal however returned the same to the High Court on the premise that question of punishment with regard to service reprimand may not be adjudicated by the Tribunal in view of definition of service matter given in section 3(o) of the Act. The issue was taken up by a Division Bench of the High Court which held that view taken by the Principal Bench was not correct as issue is covered in service matter in any other matter in clause (iv) of section 3(o) of the Act. Following this view of the High Court many other Benches of the Tribunal held that issue is covered under service matter and decided accordingly.

The Principal Bench took up this issue again in the case of *Dfr Shatrughan Singh Tomar Vs Union of India & Ors* in O.A. No. 665 of 2020, decided on 7th April 2021 and held that view taken by the Allahabad High Court is incorrect. It held that jurisdiction can neither be conferred nor created but is derived from the respective statute. It can not be interpreted nor enlarged but has to be construed within the legislative intent so mentioned in the Act. It further held that while defining "service matters" in relation to persons subject to the Army Act, the Navy Act and the Air Force Act the Parliament in its wisdom has excluded certain punishments provided in summary disposal or trials or summary court-martial from the purview of "service matters" which can not be treated in any other matters in clause (iv) being service matter.

Having a glance on the above, we are conscious that different kinds of punishments have been mentioned in the Army Act, the Navy Act and the Air Force Act which can be inflicted to personnel of the armed forces for the acts and omissions done in their respective Act and also for the civil offences committed by them. We are also aware that none of the above three Acts talk about the punishment reversion, they only talk about reduction in rank besides other punishments. Reversion has been mentioned in clause (ii) of section 3(o) of the Act in definition of "service matters". However, clause (iii) clarifies that in relation to summary disposal or trials service matter is one where the punishment of dismissal is awarded. A conjoint reading of clause (ii) and (iii) gives the impression that both reversion and reduction in rank may be one and the same thing with regard to punishments provided in above three Acts. However, when a punishment is awarded through the process of summary disposal or trial only the punishment of dismissal will be treated as a service matter, not any other punishment because clause (ii) can not be read in isolation, as it will have to be read together with clause (iii) and also proviso 3(o) (iv) of clause (iv), if the punishment has been awarded in summary court-martial, as the case may be.

In view of the above, we are of the considered view that since punishment of reduction in rank and deprivation of badges of good conduct

challenged in the present Original Application have been awarded in summary trial, therefore, they are not service matters in view of section 3(o) (ii) read with clause (iii) of the Act. As such, Tribunal has no jurisdiction to try the Original Application.

In the result, Original Application is dismissed being not maintainable.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 628 of 2022**

**Hav. Gurpreet Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for issuing direction to the respondent No. 2 to take cognizance of representation dated 14.12.2021, which is Annexure No. A-1 to the Original Application, and dismiss respondent No. 6 from service after holding investigation in the matter in the light of evidence provided by the applicant being a case of fraudulent enrolment.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that respondent No. 6 has secured enrolment in the Army by suppressing material facts regarding the criminal case under Sections 326, 325, 323, 506, 148, 149, 354, 336, 447, 382, 452 IPC pending against him in the Court of Shri Tanvir Singh, J.M.I.C., Taran Taran, Punjab. He submits that the above criminal case was pending against the respondent No. 6 when he was enrolled in the Army. He knowingly suppressed this fact and got his enrolment. Had he disclosed about the criminal case pending against him at the time of enrolment, he would never be enrolled.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant further submits that applicant has preferred a representation dated 14.12.2021 to the respondent No. 2 apprising him about the criminal case against respondent No. 6 and has requested him to dismiss the applicant from the service of Army being a case of fraudulent enrolment, however, no action has been taken on it yet.</p> <p>On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Original Application should be dismissed being not maintainable. He submits that Original Application under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 can be filed in respect of "service matter" defined under Section 3 (o) of the Act and not on any other reasons. Applicant, who himself is Havildar in the</p>

Army, has preferred a representation to respondent No. 2 to take administrative action against respondent No. 6 claiming that enrolment of the latter in the Army is fraudulent. The subject matter in respect of which he has filed the Original Application does not fall in the definition of 'service matter'. Applicant has sought an order in the nature of mandamus to the respondent No. 2 to dismiss respondent No. 6 from service by way of this Original Application which can be granted by the High Court, not by this Tribunal. This Original Application being not filed in respect of 'service matters', but in the nature of mandamus which is beyond the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, the same is liable to be dismissed being not maintainable.

Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides we find that this Original Application has not been filed in respect of any 'service matters' defined under Section 3(o) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Applicant's grievance is that he has preferred a representation to respondent No. 2 in regard to fraudulent enrolment of the respondent No. 6. He has stated that respondent No. 2 has not taken any cognizance on his representation. Therefore, he is seeking direction in the nature of mandamus to take administrative action against respondent No. 6 which is beyond the purview of this Tribunal. Tribunal has jurisdiction in respect of 'service matters' only in relation to persons who are subject to Army Act, 1950, the Navy Act, 1957 and the Air Force Act, 1950. This case being not related with 'service matters', but in regard to a complaint, the same is not cognizable under the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.

In the result, Original Application deserves to be dismissed being not maintainable.

Accordingly, Original Application is **dismissed**.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 227 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Lalit Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard the applicant Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>partly allowed</b>. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

O.A. No. 323 of 2022

**Ex. Dfr. Inderjeet**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard the applicant Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**By Circulation**

**R.A. No. 67 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 216 of 2022**

**Nb. Sub. (Nur. Tech.) Ritesh Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>R.A. No. 67 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>The file has been placed before us by Circulation.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this application under Rule 18 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 by which applicant has prayed for review and setting aside the judgement and order dated 07.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 216 of 2022, by means of which Original Application has been dismissed, <i>inter alia</i> on the ground that the applicant has not been heard properly in accordance with natural justice, this Tribunal has not considered the order passed by the AFT, Principal New Delhi in the O.A. NO. 2670 of 2021, Cpl. Ayush Maurya Vs. UOI &amp; Others, and O.A. No. 2716 of 2021, Sgt. Kuldeep Kumar Bibhuti Vs. UOI &amp; Others and this Tribunal has not considered that similarly situated colleagues of the applicant have been granted premature retirement.</p> <p>It is a settled proposition of law that the scope of the review is limited and until it is shown that there is error apparent on the face of record in the judgment and order sought to be reviewed, the same cannot be reviewed.</p> <p>For ready reference, Order 47, Rule 1 sub-rule (1) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 is reproduced below :-</p> <p><i>"1. Application for review of judgment.- (1) any person considering himself aggrieved-</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(a) by a decree or order from which an appeal is allowed, but from which no appeal has been preferred,</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(b) by a decree or order from which no appeal is allowed, or</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>(c) by a decision on a reference from a Court of Small Causes, and who, from the discovery of new and important matter or evidence which, after the exercise of due diligence, was not within his knowledge or could not be produced by him at the time when the decree was passed or order made, or on account of some mistake or error apparent on the face of the record , or for any other sufficient reason, desires to obtain a review of the decree passed or order made against him, may apply for a review of judgment to the Court which passed the decree or made the order."</i></p>

In view of the principles of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various decisions, it is settled that the scope of review jurisdiction is very limited and re-hearing is not permissible. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in Para 9 of its judgment in the case of ***Parsion Devi and others vs. Sumitri Devi and others***, reported in (1997) 8 Supreme Court Cases 715, has observed as under :-

*"9. Under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC a judgment may be open to review inter alia if there is a mistake or an error apparent on the face of the record. An error which is not self- evident and has to be detected by a process of reasoning, can hardly be said to be an error apparent on the face of the record justifying the court to exercise its power of review under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC. In exercise of the jurisdiction under Order 47, Rule 1 CPC it is not permissible for an erroneous decision to be "reheard and corrected". There is a clear distinction between an erroneous decision and an error apparent on the face of the record. While the first can be corrected by the higher forum, the latter only can be corrected by exercise of the review jurisdiction. A review petition has a limited purpose and cannot be allowed to be "an appeal in disguise."*

We have gone through the judgment and order sought to be reviewed and no illegality or irregularity or error apparent on the face of record being found therein, we are of the view that there is no force in the grounds taken in the review application so that order may be reviewed.

In the result, Review Application is **rejected**.

Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 631 of 2022 with M.A. No. 782 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Umesh Singh Kushwaha**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 03 years, 07 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 631 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 632 of 2022 with M.A. No. 783 of 2022**

**Ex. WO Vikash Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 90 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 393 of 2020**

**Ex. MACP Hav. Tejpal Singh Chand**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that PPO has been generated.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO be filed within two months.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>19.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 266 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 609 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. Shushu Pal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 19.01.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 609 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>29.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 71 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 309 of 2018**

**Jamil Ahamad**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>10.08.2022</b></u> <u><b>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj is present and he submits that in regard to disability element for a period fo two years from 04.09.1972 to 03.09.1974 PPO has been generated. The RSMB could not be held due to applicant being no available due to bed ridden.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid, we find that no purpose is to be served keeping the application pending indefinitely as delay in implementation of second part of order is not due to respondents but for the reason stated above.</p> <p>Execution Application is therefore <b>disposed off</b> with observation that to carry out R.S.M.B. of the applicant as and when he recovers and informs</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 94 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 38 of 2020**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Capt) Paramhans Yadava**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Government Sanction is still awaited.</p> <p>The order which is to be implemented is dated 19.02.2021, respondents are directed to implement the order and file affidavit of compliance within four weeks without fail else the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why coercive measure be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>Cost due against the respondents be also deposited by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 122 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 367 of 2021**

**Ex. Nk. Santosh Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>An affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that since the order has been complied with, Execution Application may be dismissed to which Ld. Counsel for the applicant has no objection.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 132 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 234 of 2020**

**Ex. Sgt. Pradyumn Pathak**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>An affidavit of compliance has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that though PPO has been issued in the matter, but the same being not issued by the SPARSH, nothing can be credited in applicant's account.</p> <p>Respondents are, therefore, directed to do the needful in the matter within four weeks from today.</p> <p>List on <b>30.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 171 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 472 of 2020**

**Ex.Hav. Yogesh Jhadbade** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p>Keeping in view of the order which is to be implemented is dated 14.07.2021, it is directed that if the order is not complied with within the time from today, the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why coercive measure be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on <b>30.09.2022</b>.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 494 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. Ram Narayan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 submits that arrears of pension Rs.12,78,368/- and difference of gratuity amounting to Rs.33,250/- has been paid to the applicant. He further submits that two weeks time be provided to bring the aforesaid facts on record which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>20.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 826 of 2021**

**Ex. Spr. Ajay Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, a week time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</b>                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 870 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Anand Singh Chauhan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Jaipriya Swapnil , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>List on <b>02.11.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Diary No. 2344 of 2022**

**Col. Mohan Chandra Joshi (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Col. H.M. Maheshwar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant has suffered disabilities during the course of service, however, the grant of disability element of disability pension has been denied by the respondents on the premise that disabilities are not attributable to military service.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The registry in its notes observed that Original Application has been typed in single space to which the Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that this mistake has been committed unknowingly.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Applicant is warned to be sincere in future.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let Original Application be registered.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 659 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 633 of 2022**

**Nk. Chef Sudhir Kumar Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Col. R.C. Dixit (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed against the order dated 11.06.2022 of the Records Signals by which the applicant has been asked to attend a drill in the month of September, 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that minimum three months time is required to the individual of armed forces to settle his account before being discharged in low medical category to which the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents is that usually only one month time is provided and not three months.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Ld. Counsel for the respondents further submits to grant a week time to seek instruction which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>15.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell within two days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 634 of 2022**

**Rfn. Salim Ahmad**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Heard Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed against order dated 10.05.2022 under which applicant has been discharged from service and also for issuing direction to the respondents to arrange a Review Medical Board to assess the disability of the applicant after quashing the Medical Board proceedings dated 16.12.2020.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondents.</p> <p>Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, therefore, service of notice is waived.</p> <p>Respondents may file Counter Affidavit within four weeks. Thereafter, applicant will have two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 635 of 2022 with M.A. No. 786 of 2022**

**JWO Rameshwar Dayal (Retd.)**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Ms. Upasna Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 09 years, 02 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 635 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 636 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Dhananjay Singh Janoti**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Misrha, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this Original Application against order dated 03.06.2022 of respondents by which the respondents have denied giving notional increment to the applicant and other consequential benefits.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 637 of 2022**

**MWO (HFO) Lal Chand Yadav (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Applicant has filed this Original Application against order dated 11.07.2022 of respondents by which the respondents have denied giving notional increment to the applicant and other consequential benefits.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

O.A. No. 638 of 2022

<b>CPO LOG (STD) Jai Govind Pandey (Retd.)</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Heard Ms. Upasna Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">This is an Original Application for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been illegally denied by the respondents.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="margin-left: 40px; margin-top: 20px;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="margin-left: 160px; margin-top: 0px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="margin-left: 40px; margin-top: 20px;">AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 639 of 2022 with M.A. No. 789 of 2022**

**JWO Mahesh Chand (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Dr. Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Shri Madan Pal Vats and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">There is a delay of 06 years, 10 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 639 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 641 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Digvijay Singh Sisodia**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajvi Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this Original Application against order dated 31.05.2022 of respondents by which the respondents have denied giving notional increment to the applicant and other consequential benefits.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>29.09.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>10.11.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 73 of 2016**

**Hukum Chand**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard arguments of Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Hearing concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 527 of 2022 with M.A. No. 640 of 2022**

**Cfn. Deepak Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection to the maintainability of Original Application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant wants to file reply which may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 528 of 2022 with M.A. No. 641 of 2022**

**Cfn. Tej Prakash Sharma**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection to the maintainability of Original Application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant wants to file reply which may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 529 of 2022 with M.A. No. 642 of 2022**

**Sep. Hemant Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection to the maintainability of Original Application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant wants to file reply which may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 544 of 2022 with M.A. No. 660 of 2022**

**Ex. Rect. Makvana Takha Bhai Rana Bhai**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Objection to the maintainability as well as delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant wants to file reply which may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>





















**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 349 of 2022**

**Ex Sep Sanjeev Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>No counter affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>Let it be filed within two weeks, else opportunity to file the same shall be closed.</p> <p>List on <b>20.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 350 of 2022**

**Smt Raj Kumari W/o Ex. Sep Ram Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">No counter affidavit has been filed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let it be filed within two weeks, else opportunity to file the same shall be closed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><span>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</span><span>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</span></p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>rathore</small></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 352 of 2022**

**Rifleman Chandra Mohan Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, and Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>23.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 379 of 2022**

**Sub Kailash Chandra Bhatt**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>12.08.2022</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**T.A. No. 2 of 2019**

**Ex L Nk RA Gupta**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh and Shri Vivekanand, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>03.11.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

O.A. No. 129 of 2013 with M.A. No. 808 of 2022  
and M.A. No. 593 of 2019

**Rajendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.P. Shukla and Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>13.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 31 of 2014**

**Jeetendra Bahadur Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>16.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A.(A) No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Shabber** Appellant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant  
**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G.K. Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>19.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>rathore</small></p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 88 of 2018**

**Surendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>10.08.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri A.K. Singh and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>28.09.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>rathore</small></p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 484 of 2018 with M.A. No. 84 of 2022 & M.A. No. 113 of 2019

**Nb Sub Jagbhan Singh Tomar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u>10.08.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.N. Mishra and Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>28.09.2022</b>.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>