





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**E-Court**

**O.A. No. 401 of 2022**

**Nk. Akhil George**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.10.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Puru Mudgal, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for issuing direction to the respondents to grant premature discharge to the applicant on compassionate grounds.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that applicant was enrolled in the Army Medical Corps of the Indian Army on 23.08.2009. The applicant got married to one Josmi Elizabeth Jose on 15.07.2019. She served a Legal Notice dated 06.05.2020 to the applicant stating that if he fails to take any steps for joining her in the United Kingdom, she shall proceed for divorce. Therefore, applicant preferred an application in October, 2020 to grant premature discharge. The applicant application has been declined by the respondents vide letter dated 04.04.2021. Ld. Counsel for the applicant further submitted that applicant's wife has been facing gynaecological problems and has been advised to stay with the applicant for a minimum period of one year for the initiation of treatment. The applicant again preferred an application dated 25.0.2021 which has been again rejected vide letter dated 26.12.2021.</p> <p>Per contra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that due to COVID-19 pandemic recruitment process of the Indian Army has been adversely effected which ultimately resulted in acute deficiency in various categories of Indian Army. To overcome this situation, respondents as a mitigation measure conveyed the approval regarding the ceiling on premature retirement quota on compassionate ground with respect to Junior Commissioned Officer/Other Ranks, effective till 31 March, 2023 vide letters dated 07.10.2020, 16.10.2020 and 17.11.2020.</p>

Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and also that COVID-19 pandemic situation has now over, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to reconsider the applicant's case for pre-mature retirement on compassionate ground, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate the decision to the applicant accordingly.

Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-























**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**E-Court**

**O.A. No. 832 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1023 of 2022**

**Ex. Swr. Prayag Datt**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.10.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1023 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed praying for condoning delay of 09 years, 09 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">By means of order impugned, the applicant has been discharged from service.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents may file objection, if any, against delay condonation application within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>13.12.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>













**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**E-Court**

**Ex. A. No. 319 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 740 of 2020**

**Ex. Nk. Rajvir**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.10.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed seeking implementation of order dated 30.07.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 740 of 2020. By this order respondents had been directed to decide the applicant's representation dated 19.10.2018 within a period of three months from the date of order. The representation was with regard to holding Medical Board of the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in regard to implementation of the order, a letter dated 05.02.2022 sent by Senior Records Officer for CIC Records was received to the applicant informing him that Sanction of the Competent Authority for conducting applicant's Review medical Board at Army Hospital (R &amp; R), Delhi Cantt. has been granted. Applicant was advised to forward passport size photograph duly attested by Zila Sainik Kalyan Board for preparation of AFMSF-15 for onward submission to Army Hospital (R &amp; R), Delhi Cantt., which was complied with. Thereafter, applicant received a letter dated 16.07.2022 wherein it was informed to him that a call letter dated 17.05.2022 was sent to the applicant to report to Army Hospital (R &amp; R), Delhi Cantt. for medical examination, which was returned back due to incomplete address, with the result all documents received vide letter dated 15.03.2022 were returned back unactioned.</p>

Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that it is wrongly stated in the letter dated 16.07.2022 that a call letter sent to the applicant was returned back due to incomplete address, because that letter was also sent on same address on which letters dated 05.02.2022 and 16.07.2022 had been sent by the respondents and duly received by the applicant.

During the course of hearing, when a query was made to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that when letters dated 05.02.2022 and 16.07.2022 sent by the respondents to the applicant have been received to the applicant, then the letter dated 17.05.2022 which was sent on the address on which above two letters were sent could be returned undelivered with remark "incomplete address", she sought two weeks time to seek instruction.

However, we are of the view that there is no need to seek instruction in the matter. More so, when Government Sanction for holding Medical Board has already been accorded and only the Medical Board is to be done. Respondents are, therefore, directed to conduct Medical Board of the applicant at the earliest within a period of two months under intimation to this Tribunal.

List on **03.01.2023**.

Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**E-Court**

**Ex. A. No. 95 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 111 of 2020**

**Ex. Sub. Ram Sarup**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>12.10.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om prakash Kushwaha, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in compliance to order for the grant of disability pension for a period of two years, PPO has been generated and has been filed through affidavit of compliance which be taken on record.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in regard to grant of disability pension to the applicant in respect of Re-Survey Medical Board held under order of this Tribunal Government Sanction is awaited, four weeks further time be granted to file Supplementary Affidavit of Compliance, which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>04.01.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>













**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**E-Court**

**Ex. A. No. 233 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 263 of 2014**

**Ex. Hav. Ishwar Prasad**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>12.10.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Vide order dated 04.02.2022 respondents had been directed to grant provisional pension to the applicant from the he was enlarged on bail.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that respondents had no knowledge about applicant being involved in a criminal case, therefore, at the time of discharge Gratuity and Computation money amounting to Rs.10,90,796/- was paid to him. As per order passed by this Tribunal only provisional pension is payable to the applicant which does not include Gratuity and Computation amount, therefore, applicant was directed to refund the amount paid to him so that provisional pension may be granted to him, however, since applicant did not refund the amount the order was not complied with. She further submitted that once the money is refunded by the applicant, the order would be complied with by granting provisional pension to the applicant from the date he was enlarged on bail in criminal case.</p> <p>On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that if any payment has been made to the applicant towards Gratuity and Computation money which was not payable to him in the case of provisional pension, the same can be adjusted towards arrears to be paid to the applicant, respondents cannot withhold implementation of the order on the ground that unless the paid money is refunded they would not comply the order.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that nothing is being received to the applicant towards pension after being enlarged on bail, we feel it appropriate to direct the respondents to grant provisional pension to the applicant immediately. As regards arrears, same may be paid after adjusting the amount already paid.</p>



Respondents are directed to prepare a calculation sheet of arrears payable to applicant towards provisional pension after adjusting the amount already paid. The arrears will be paid to the applicant within a period of six weeks from today and affidavit of compliance will be filed annexing therewith the calculation sheet.

List on **05.01.2023**.

Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-



















