



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 381 of 2022**

**Ex. Nk. (ACP Nb Sub) Kaushalesh Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that applicant is receiving less pension than what is due to him. It is further submitted that a sum of Rs. 1,14,141/- has been deducted from the payment made to the applicant at the time of discharge from service. The applicant wrote several letters for reimbursement of the same but nothing has been done till date.</p> <p>The case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 382 of 2022 with M.A. No. 430 of 2022**

**MWO (HFO) Ram Kumar (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 01 year, 04 months and 18 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 382 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 383 of 2022 with M.A. No. 431 of 2022**

**Ex Sgt Santosh Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri JN Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 01 year, 05 months and 08 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 383 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 384 of 2022 with M.A. No. 432 of 2022**

**JWO Jitendra Prasad Singh (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 02 years and 12 days.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 384 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 385 of 2022 with M.A. No. 433 of 2022**

**Sgt Mukesh Kumar Negi (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 01 year and 03 months.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that copy of delay condonation application has not been supplied alongwith Original Application. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to supply a copy of application for condonation of delay to learned counsel for the respondents during the course of day.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 385 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)      (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)    Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 386 of 2022 with M.A. No. 434 of 2022**

**Sgt Brajesh Kumar (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 07 years, 07 months and 19 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 386 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 387 of 2022 with M.A. No. 435 of 2022**

**Nk (TS) Ram Bachan Pandey (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 22 years, 09 months and 19 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 387 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 388 of 2022 with M.A. No. 436 of 2022**

**Sub (Lab Tech) Mohd Tariq Siddiqui (Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show her name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 01 year, 11 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing of Original Application is not intentional, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer on the ground that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.</p> <p>Considering the facts averred in affidavit we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 388 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1 (E-Court)

O.A. No. 389 of 2022 with M.A. No. 440 of 2022

Ex Gnr Chandra Pal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b>13.05.2022</b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra and Mrs. Saraswati Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 36 years, 10 months and 01 day.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that with regard to relief claimed in Original Application, applicant had earlier filed O.A. No. 51 of 2017 which was disposed off with direction to the respondents to decide first appeal preferred by the applicant by a reasoned and speaking order. It is further submitted that when application was not disposed by the given time and during pendency of first appeal, the applicant filed Execution Application No. 115 of 2018 which was disposed of and prayer of the applicant was rejected. Being aggrieved the applicant has filed the instant Original Application.</p> <p>In view of the facts stated above application for condonation of delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 389 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>UKT/SB</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 391 of 2022 with M.A. No. 442 of 2022**

**Ex Sub/Clk Mahendra Pal**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 392 of 2022 with M.A. No. 447 of 2022**

**Ex Rect Md Shoharab**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with delay of 07 years and 11 months.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 392 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>     <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>     <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 393 of 2022**

**Ex Sub Sudhansu Kumar Sahu**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>18.07.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>02.08.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 139 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 663 of 2021**

**Ex Sep Rajveer Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is a fresh Execution Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>22.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 140 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 420 of 2021**

**Ex Sub Rajiv Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">The application has been filed for the implementation of order dated 09.12.2021 in Original Application No. 420 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List on <b>25.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 437 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 260 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants  
**Versus**  
**Col (TS) Nagendra Singh (Retd)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others assisted by Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">During the course of hearing Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents submitted that application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a Review Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Prayer made by the applicants is considered and applicant is allowed to withdraw application and file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A. No. 437 of 2022 is disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 438 of 2022 with M.A. No. 439 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 262 of 2020**

<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	Applicants
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Ex Hav Dhananjay Chaubey</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondent	Respondent

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>		
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The applicants – Union of India &amp; Others have filed this application seeking leave to appeal against decision of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 262 of 2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we are of the view that no point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so that leave may be granted to the applicants to file Appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the result, Leave to Appeal is also <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">Ukt/sb</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 441 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 774 of 2021**

**Ex PO LOG (MAT) Rahul Dev**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This Misc. Application has been filed by the applicant for correction of order dated 26.04.2022 passed in O.A. No. 774 of 2021 in which length of service of the applicant has been recorded as 14 years and 14 days instead of 14 years and 15 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Correction application is allowed and para numbers 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 &amp; 9 of the order dated 26.04.2022 are corrected to read total service as "14 years and 15 days".</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With aforesaid correction, application is disposed off finally.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 505 of 2021**

**Ex AC Delip Kumar Dixit**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that when applicant was invalided out from service, percentage of his disability was 100%. Thereafter in subsequent medical boards held for the applicant disability pension was reduced to 80% and finally reduced to 19% for which disability element was stopped and applicant is now getting service element only.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is further submitted that applicant be allowed to file rejoinder affidavit to bring these facts on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let rejoinder affidavit be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the meantime, respondents shall file details with regard to above facts.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>13.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 444 of 2022 Inre : M.A. No. 429 of 2022 and Inre : O.A. No. 880 of 2021**

**Lt Col Awadhesh Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Puru Mudgal, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A copy of letter dated 11.05.2022 has been supplied to Bench Secretary of this Tribunal wherein it is clearly stated that reassembling of General Court Martial (GCM) will now take place on 02.06.2022 instead of 17.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of above, early hearing is not required in the matter. Therefore, early hearing application is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is directed that matter relating to interim relief will be heard alongwith Original Application 26.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>26.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/sb</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 26 of 2018 Inre : T.A. No. 178 of 2009**

**Sriram Pandey**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Defence Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Govt. of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh and Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents No. 1 to 3 and Shri D.C. Lohumi, learned counsel for the respondent No. 4.</p> <p>Shri D.C. Lohumi appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4 submits that he will file his Vakalatnama I the Registry during the course of day. He also submitted that no descriptive roll has been received by the Bank alongwith copy of PPO, hence, payment could not be made to the applicant. He has written letter to respondent No. 3 to supply descriptive roll to the Bank so that payment could be made.</p> <p>Respondents No. 1 to 3 is directed to seek instruction within two weeks from the authority concerned and explain as to why descriptive roll has not been supplied alongwith PPO to the Bank.</p> <p>List on <b>25.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**Ex. A. No. 134 of 2018 Inre : O.A. No. 123 of 2010**

**Smt. Durgawati Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that in compliance of order of this Tribunal he has received a copy of letter from Record Office regarding lodging of FIR.</p> <p>He seeks and is granted two weeks time to bring copy of letter on record by way of affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>05.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 16 of 2019 Inre : O.A. NO. (Nil) of 2019**

<b>Ex. Sep. Pappu Singh</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>		
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/SB/-</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 294 of 2020**

**Nk. Shakti Singh (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>13.05.2022</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">During the course of hearing, Departmental Representative for the respondents submitted that Records has already processed the applicant's case to concerned authority for complete payment of amount expended by the applicant in treatment of his mother.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">She further submits that four weeks time is required to get sanction from the concerned authority and to file affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let affidavit of compliance be filed within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>07.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 53 of 2021**

**Smt. Radha & Others W/o Late Vishram Singh Rajput**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready with him and will be filed within three days.</p> <p>Let counter affidavit be filed as said, failing which opportunity to file the same will be closed without any reference.</p> <p>List on <b>12.07.2022.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

O.A. No. 508 of 2021

**Hav. (Lab. Asstt.) Deepu R.**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant states that he has no information about his client and he has received information from someone else that applicant has died.</p> <p>He seeks and is granted four weeks time to give confirm information to Tribunal about the applicant.</p> <p>List on <b>12.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 566 of 2021**

**Hav. (DSC) Chandra Pal Singh Chauhan (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that grievance raised in application by the applicant has been redressed by the respondents by making payment to the applicant at par with his juniors.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">She further submitted that amount has been credited in applicant's account also. However, when query was made why calculation sheet in regard to payments made has not been filed she shout four weeks time to file affidavit of compliance annexing calculation sheet of amount paid to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>18.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents/Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 579 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Ram Niwas**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**PCDA (P) Allahabad & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 1 are present.</p> <p>Shri Ashok Kumar appearing on behalf of respondent No. 2 submits that he will file Vakalatnama in the case in Registry before the next date fixed. He also prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit in the matter.</p> <p>List on <b>19.07.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)          <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 697 of 2021**

**Ex. MWO Sashi Kant Mishra**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 741 of 2021**

**Smt. Munni Devi W/o Late Ajay Pal Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 798 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. Rajendra Prasad**  
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Matri Datt Tripathi, Shri Shresht Agrawal and Shri Lal Mani, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (E-Court)

O.A. No. 862 of 2021

**Ex. L/Nk. Ram Deo Pal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Adocate holding brief of Shri Ved Prakash Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 70 of 2022**

**Ex. MWO Dinesh Kumar Dwivedi**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dr. Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Shri Karuna Kant Upadhyay and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of learned counsel for the respondents ten days further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>15.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)                                      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 92 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Soba Ram**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>20.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**M.A. No. 1515 of 2018 with M.A. No. 1516 of 2018, R.A. No. 46 of 2018 along with  
Ex. A. No. 133 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 1451 of 2010**

<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	<b>Versus</b>	Applicants
<b>Ex. Lance Dafedar Anil Kumar</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondent		Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>25.07.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/SB/-</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

O.A. No. 211 of 2017

<b>Bhupendra Gavar</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col.Y.R.Sharma (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The matter needs physical hearing. List on <b>29.07.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"><div style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</div><div style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 615 of 2017**

**Layak Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Md. Ishraq Farooqui Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S.Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on <b>01.08.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 265 of 2018**

**Deo Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Chief of Army Staff & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col Ashok Kumar (Retd) and Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on <b>02.08.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 265 of 2020**

<b>Uday Bahadur Singh</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter requires physical hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>21.07.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p>
	<p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. No. 423 of 2020**

**Ex. Sub Sarvesh Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The matter requires physical hearing.</p> <p>List on <b>21.07.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**O.A. (A) No. 39 of 2017 with M.A. 1237 of 2018**

**Smt. Sunita Singh W/o Abhishek Kumar Singh (in Custody)**      Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**      Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Ashish Asthana, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Jitendar Saksena, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>01.08.2022</b> for further hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (E-Court)**

**R.A. No. 3 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 219 of 2019**

**Brig. Yatindra Mohan Tewari, SM(Retd)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>13.05.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col. Y.R. Sharma (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter needs physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>26.07.2022</b> for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/SB/-</p>



