

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 511 of 2022 with M.A. No. 622 of 2022

Smt. Sarvishtha (Widowed Daughter) Late Ex. Gnr. Kiran Singh Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an Original Application for the grant of family pension to the applicant, who claims to be herself Widowed Daughter deceased Ex. Gnr. Kiran Singh Tomar.</p> <p>There being a delay of 27 days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>It is settled in law that pension cannot be denied on the ground of delay, therefore, delay, if any, is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 511 of 2022</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 30.08.2022 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 545 of 2022 with M.A. No. 662 of 2022

PO ELR Pankaj Kumar Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 03 years, 11 months and 20 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 545 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 30.08.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 14.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 547 of 2022 with M.A. No. 664 of 2022

Ex. WO Prabhat Kumar Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri S.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 months and 08 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 547 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 31.08.2022 for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on 17.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 548 of 2022 with M.A. No. 665 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Kedar Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">During the course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that Original Application may be dismissed being not pressed with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed being not pressed with liberty to file afresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 551 of 2022 with M.A. No. 668 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Abhinay Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 month and 09 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 551 of 2022</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 31.08.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 17.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 552 of 2022 with M.A. No. 669 of 2022

Group Captain (TS) Shailendra Kumar Gupta (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant
Versus
Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 year, 01 month and 25 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 552 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 31.08.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 17.10.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 553 of 2022 with M.A. No. 670 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Bans Raj
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed against the order dated 05.05.2021 of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 by which respondents have denied making division of pension in view of Family Court's order dated 18.09.2021. A copy of the order of the Family Court has been filed as Annexure No. A-3 to the Original Application which is not legible.</p> <p>Applicant to file legible copy of the order within a week.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 12 years, 02 months and 22 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 553 of 2022</u></p> <p>Mater needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Since the grievance is against respondent Nos. 5 and 6 only, issue notice to them returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p>List on 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 554 of 2022

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The grievance of the applicant in the case is that his claim for the grant of disability pension has been approved by the respondents vide order dated 21.01.2022, however, the same has not yet been implemented.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instruction regarding implementation of order dated 21.01.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 02.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 555 of 2022 with M.A. No. 672 of 2022

Hav. Kaname Saheb Vithal Rao
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This is an Original Application against the order of 28 days rigorous imprisonment awarded in Summary Trial.</p> <p>Submission of Ld. Counsel for the respondents is that Original Application is not maintainable being filed against an order of 28 days rigorous imprisonment awarded in Summary Trial as it is excluded under Section 3(o)(iii) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.</p> <p>During the course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that Original Application may be dismissed as not pressed with liberty to approach the appropriate forum.</p> <p>Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed being not pressed with liberty to approach the appropriate forum.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 90 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 393 of 2020

Ex. MACP Hav. Tejpal Singh Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order Government Sanction has been accorded on 13.05.2022 and case has been processed to PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj for generation of PPO. He further submits that PPO is likely to be generated within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 10.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 127 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 480 of 2018

Ex. Nk. Subodhan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order PPO has been generated on 04.03.2022. A copy of the PPO has been supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant who has gone through the same.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">We have perused the PPO which shows order has been complied with.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 212 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 639 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Autar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 04.01.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 639 of 2021.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 13.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 224 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 281 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Ram Yatan Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 08.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 281 of 2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 13.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

Ex. A. No. 225 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 77 of 2021

Daya Shankar Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 05.10.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 77 of 2021.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 13.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 661 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 634 of 2021

Ex. WO Shwet Ban Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 661 of 2022</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>For the facts averred in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p>In the order dated 21.05.2022 Service Number of the applicant be corrected as '611522-H' in place of '611552-H'.</p> <p>In the Original Application the service number of the applicant be also corrected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 2 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex. Nb. Sub. Radhey Shyam Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there being some confusion regarding payment of interest on arrears of pension the applicant's case for Government Sanction could not be processed. He submits that four weeks further time be granted to obtain Government Sanction with regard to payment of interest part, which is allowed.</p> <p>Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost, if any, by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on 17.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 65 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 497 of 2017

Smt. Satbiri Wife of Late Ex. Sep. Ajaipal Singh By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order Government Sanction has been accorded on 12.07.2022 and PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj has been asked to work out the amount payable to the applicant . Thus he submits that four weeks further time be granted to file affidavit of compliance, which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 14.09.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="text-align: center; width: 50%;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="text-align: left; margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 70 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 240 of 2020

Ex. Sep. Vandeo Dattu Kokane
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that in compliance of the order PPO has been issued with its copy to the applicant and affidavit of compliance has been filed. Copy of PPO has been annexed along with affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>We have perused the affidavit of compliance as well as PPO and we find that it is in terms of the order. Thus the order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 102 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 355 of 2020

Smt. Sadhana Sharma W/o Ex. Late Sep. Jitendra Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that since Special Leave Petition is to be filed in the matter hearing of the case be adjourned today.</p> <p>The order to be executed is dated 06.07.2021.</p> <p>In the circumstances respondents are directed to either bring stay order or to make compliance of the order within four weeks from today failing which the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reason for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 12.09.2022.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell forthwith for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 2 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 695 of 2020

Col. Sanjeev Agnihotri
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Gyanendra Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that Government Sanction in the matter is still awaited, three weeks further time be granted, which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 14.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 7 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 337 of 2020

Ex. Sub. Harish Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that Government Sanction is still awaited in the matter.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance be filed within three weeks failing which the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physical present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reason for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>Cost be deposited by the next date fixed, if already not paid.</p> <p>List on 12.08.2022.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell forthwith for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 34 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No.666 of 2020

Smt. Susheela Devi W/o Sep. (Late) Ram Dulare
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Vikrendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submits that in compliance of the order Government Sanction has been accorded on 28.06.2022.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 16.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No 484 of 2021

Smt Poonam Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Angraj Nath Shukla, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Shrivastava, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit filed by the respondent is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No. 484 of 2021, Smt Poonam Singh vs. Union of India and others is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No 119 of 2022 Inre O.A. No 622 of 2020

Smt Dulari Devi, M/o (Late) Gnr Virendra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 – 4 and Shri Saket Shrivastava, learned counsel for respondent No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondent No 6 and 7 submits that order in question has been complied with. However, no affidavit of compliance has been filed.</p> <p>He is directed to file compliance report annexing therewith statement of account and outcome of the investigation report along with affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 04.08.2022.</p> <p>Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for necessary action.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 700 of 2020

Capt Parit Sagar Baburao
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant states that in the similar matter AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi has passed order dated 20.10.2020 in O.A. No 1912 of 2019 with M.A. No 2799 of 2019, 1355 of 2020 and 1547 of 2020 titled as Air Cmr NN Reddy Vs Union of India & Others and based on that order, Ministry of Defence has settled the claim of the affected total 18 persons including the applicant. He further submits that despite letter of Ministry of Defence dated 18.01.2022, CDA (O), Golibar Maidan, Pune has refused the claim of the applicant. A copy of letter dated 18.01.2022 has been produced before us for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>Let a copy of the letter be provided to learned counsel for the respondents to seek instructions within two weeks as to how applicant's claim for air travel for availing Leave Travel Concession has been denied.</p> <p>List on 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 776 of 2020

Sub (OFC) Bhupendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey holding brief of Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri VP Pandey submits that Shri KK Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 26.09.2022.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</td><td style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p>UKT/-</p>	(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)
(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)	(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)		

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 475 of 2021

Sub / Int(GD) Gagan Chand
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri KP Datta submits that Shri Yashpal Singht, learned counsel for the applicant is not available today and prays for adjournment of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 484 of 2021

Smt. Poonam Singh W/o Late Ex Sep Ajay Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. No one is present on behalf of applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case has been decided on the basis of documents available on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A. No. 484 of 2021, Smt Poonam Singh vs. Union of India and others is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 670 of 2021

Ex Hav Santosh Kumar Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 03.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 757 of 2021

Smt Mouni Mishra W/o Ex Gdsm VN Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by learned counsel for the respondent No 5 to 10 is taken on record.</p> <p>Applicant has filed this application for grant of family pension. Her contention is that her husband is missing since 14.07.2020 and his whereabouts are not known. In view of above, she is entitled for family pension.</p> <p>In the O.A. she has made party to Secretary District Soldier Board, Deoria, Chief Development Officer, Deoria, Superintendent of Policy, Deoria, SHO, Police Station Bhaluwani, Deoria, Radhe Shyam Mishra, Father of Ex Gdsm Vijay Narayan Mishra and Shri Santosh Mishra, Son of Shri Radhey Shyam Mishra as respondent No 5 to 10.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant submits that notice to Respondent No 5 to 10 should be issued. On being asked as to why he made party to respondent No 5 to 10 in petition, he submits that they are persons who may be able to assist the Court in ensuring the fact that her husband is missing as they are supposed to take action in case of missing.</p> <p>We have gone through the contents of the O.A. and we find that since grievance of the applicant is for grant of family pension and Respondent No 5 to 10 have nothing to do, therefore, applicant is directed to delete their names from array of the party within two days.</p> <p>Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within three weeks.</p> <p>List on 20.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4

**{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

O.A. No. 164 of 2022

Ex Sub Vishawkarma Kripadayal Shyam Bihari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 22.08.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 257 of 2022

Smt. Sunita, W/o Late Ex Hav Ram Naresh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Vakalatnama filed by Moh. Jafar Khan, on behalf of respondent No 5 and 6 is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, holding brief of Shri Krishna Mohan Srivastava and Shri Dileep Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No 1 to 4 and Moh. Jafar Khan, learned counsel for respondent No 5 and 6 are present.</p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO in the matter has been issued and sent to bank concerned.</p> <p>Moh. Jafar Khan, states that payment has been credited in applicant's account in the month of July 2022.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Affidavit of compliance annexing therewith statement of the account.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 258 of 2022

Group Capt Sanjay Shukla, VSM (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 10.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 271 of 2022

Ex Sep Amarjeet Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that she will file counter affidavit in the Registry by tomorrow after serving its copy to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List on 15.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 276 of 2022

LS GI (S) Abhishek Kumar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that this application has been filed against the order of reduction in rank awarded to the applicant in Summary Trial which is not cognisable under Section 3 (o) of Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he needs time to prepare the case on this point.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List on 10.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 320 of 2022

NK (DSC) Upendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri U.S. Mishra and Shri Trilok Chand, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed today in the Court by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list this case tomorrow i.e. on 14.07.2022 as 1st case for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 358 of 2022 with M.A. No. 408 of 2022

Smt. Ram Maya Ghale W/o Late Ex Nk Dambar Bahadur Ghale Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant has filed this application for grant of family pension on the ground that her husband has been wrongly dismissed from service as deserter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The order of dismissal has not been challenged by the applicant. Applicant is, therefore, directed to amend prayer clause. Steps be taken within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 29.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

R.A. No. 56 of 2022 with M.A. No. 625 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 441 of 2021

Ex Sep Ram Milan Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, on behalf of respondent No 1 to 4 is taken on record. Registry is directed to show his name as learned counsel for the respondents on the next date of listing.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Issue notice to respondent No 5 and 6 i.e. Branch Manager State Bank of India Rewa Main Branch, District- Rewa and Chief Manager CPPC, Bhopal returnable within four weeks. Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 3 of 2021

Ex NK Clk Netrapal Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar and Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the respondents submits that he shall file counter affidavit in the Registry within two weeks after serving its copy to learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned counsel for the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 593 of 2020

Lt. Col Balram Tiwari By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 655 of 2020

Lt. Col A.N. Shet

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col. Mohandas C (Retd) and Ms. Kavita Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 678 of 2020

Lt. Col. Bir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ajai Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.09.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 744 of 2020

Ex Nb Sub (MACP) Kalpnath Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Director General of Ministry Operation
IHQ of (Army, MCD, New Delhi
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra and Ms. Upasna Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 14.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 446 of 2021

Ex Lance Dfr Vir Pal Singh Applicant
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Tejas Waghchoure, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Somesh Singh, learned counsel for the respondents is neither present nor there is any mention.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Departmental Representative submits that parawise comments in the matter have been forwarded to learned counsel for the respondents on 18.01.2022. He further states that two weeks further time be granted to engage another counsel which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter affidavit be filed within two weeks positively, otherwise opportunity to file the same shall stand close without any reference.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 04.08.2022.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 530 of 2021

Ex Sol Rect Rohit Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan prays for adjournment on the ground that his senior Shri Ashok Singh is not available today. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>