Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

M.A. No 137 of 2022 Inre Ex.A. No 11 of 2022 Inre O.A. No 619 of 2021

Brig Javed Iqbal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Objection against maintainability on interim relief application is taken on record. Heard Shri R Chandra and Shri KC Ghildiyal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative. In continuance of Execution Application No 11 of 2022, arising out of order dated 07.01.2022 passed in O.A. No 619 of 2021, by which the O.A. has been allowed and respondents have been directed to promote the applicant as Maj Gen (Litigation) in Judge Advocate General's Branch w.e.f. 05.05.2021, this application has been filed for interim relief to the effect restraining respondents from retiring the applicant from service wef 28.02.2022 in the rank of Brig on attaining the age of superannuation of 56 years. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that execution application has been filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 07.01.2022 passed in O.A. No 619 of 2021. This application was listed for hearing on 28.01.2022 alongwith the application for review filed on behalf of respondents. The leave to appeal was dismissed and hearing in execution application was adjourned to 07.03.2022 on the ground that respondents wanted to file appeal

against the decision of Tribunal in the Original Application. He further submits that applicant is due for retirement on 28.02.2022 in the present rank. He had filed a writ petition in Hon'ble Apex Court seeking direction to the respondents to implement the order dated 07.01.2022 passed in O.A. and to promote him to the rank of Maj Gen before his retirement. The Hon'ble Apex Court was, however, of the view that as applicant has already filed Execution Application in the Tribunal, he should pursue the same before the Tribunal. The application was , therefore, dismissed as withdrawn.

Learned counsel for the applicant further submits that on promotion to the rank of Maj Gen the applicant shall be able to serve for one year more. However, the respondents, with a view to make the order dated 07.01.2022 passed in O.A. No 619 of 2022 infructious, are purposely delaying the filing of appeal before the Hon'ble Apex Court, as they are aware that applicant will retire from service on 28.02.2022 in the rank of Brig. He submits that issuing of interim relief is necessary, as if it is not done, order passed in the O.A. would be rendered infructuous.

In support, the applicant has placed reliance on the order dated 26.11.2021 passed by the Principal Bench, Armed Forces Tribunal, New Delhi in *O.A. No 1883 of 2021, Maj Gen Rajiv Mohan Gupta Vs Union of India & Ors* wherein Principal Bench has kept the retirement of the applicant therein in abeyance, till decision of the competent authority on promotion board and order dated 03.09.2020 of the Principal Bench in the case of *Brig KK Nandwani Vs Union of India and others* passed in O.A. No 996 of 2020 and M.A. No 1247 of 2020, wherein the Principal Bench has directed the respondents therein not to retire the applicant till declassification of the result of promotion board. Applicant has further placed reliance on the order dated 19.11.2018 of the Principal Bench in the case of *Maj Gen VK Singh Vs. Union of India and others* passed in M.A. No 2051 of 2018 & M.A. No 1945 of 2018 in O.A. No 1023 of 2018 wherein retirement of the applicant has been kept in abeyance till such time the direction contained in paragraph 30 of the order dated 05.10.2018 in O.A. No 1023 of 2018 is complied with.

In regard to objection raised by the respondents, learned counsel for the applicant submits that Rule 45 of Armed Forces Tribunal (Practice) Rules, 2009 is not applicable in the present matter. Rule 45 is applicable in pending cases when hearing of the case is required out of turn whereas hearing in the instant case is taking place on its turn, therefore, there is no need to file early hearing application as required in the rule.

In reply, learned counsel for the respondents submits that in view of Rule 45 of The Armed Forces Tribunal (Practice) Rules, 2009, which mandates that early hearing of the case is permissible with leave of Chairperson or in his absence the Vice Chairperson nominated by him in the case of the Principal Bench and before the Vice Chairperson and in his absence before the senior most available Member in the case of Regional Bench. He submits that leave may be sought by filing application before the Principal Bench or Regional Bench, as the case may be, citing reason therein for early hearing. He further submits that instant application for interim relief has been filed in execution application which is listed for hearing on 07.03.2022 and, since early hearing is permitted with leave of Regional Bench only which has not been sought, it deserves to be dismissed.

We have perused Rule 45 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2009 (in short 'Rules') which deals with early hearing of the cases. It reads *(1) If early hearing of a case out of turn is required, the party or legal practitioner shall make an application stating the reasons thereof in Form No 10, after serving copy thereof to the other party or parties. (2) The application shall, if in order, be listed before the Bench headed by the Chairperson or in his absence the Vice chairperson nominated by him in the case of the Principal Bench and before the Vice Chairperson and in his absence before the senior most available Member for orders in the case of other Benches.*

On careful reading of the Rule it appears that it is applicable in pending cases when out of turn hearing is required. However it has no application if a new case/ application is filed and it comes for consideration on its own turn, even if it is in continuation to any earlier application, pending or decided.

With above view of matter we find that though present application for interim relief has been filed in continuation to execution application, but being a new application and relief claimed therein being not in regard to implementation of order for which execution application has been filed, Rule 25 of the Rules has no application in it. Further, interim relief application cannot be held not maintainable for want of early hearing application nor it can be dismissed on this reason, as said in the objection filed by the respondents.

As regards relief claimed in application, the factual position is that original application filed by the applicant for the grant of promotion to the rank of Maj Gen (Litigation) in Judge Advocate General's Branch has been allowed and respondents have been directed to promote applicant to the higher rank wef 05.05.2021. In regard to implementation of the order, applicant has filed execution application which was listed for hearing on 28.01.2022 and was adjourned to 07.03.2022 in view of provisions of appeal laid down under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act. After this, applicant approached the Hon'ble Apex Court for the implementation of the order by filing a writ petition under Article 32 of the Constitution of India which was dismissed as withdrawn on the premise that applicant can pursue the remedy in execution application. It is after this order the applicant has filed this application seeking a restraint order against the respondents from retiring him in the rank of Brig on completing the age of 56 years. The reason behind seeking the relief is that applicant is apprehending that if respondents are not restrained and applicant is made to retire on 28.02.2022, the respondents will deliberately go slow in filing and pursuing the appeal which would then render the order dated 07.01.2022 in O.A. No 619 of 2021 infructuous with passage of time being reduced to a paper decree.

Upon hearing submissions of learned counsel of the parties we observe that since O.A. has been allowed and applicant's right regarding promotion has been crystallised by issuing directions to respondents to promote applicant to the post of Maj Gen wef 05.05.2021, the effect of the order would remain same as it exists today until reversed by a superior Court. This being the position of

	the order, we do not see reason to allow the prayer of interim relief which is based on presumption only. The orders of the Principal Bench referred to in the application and relied upon during the course of hearing by the learned counsel for the applicant are distinguishable as in those cases the rights of the applicants therein with regard to promotion were not crystallised whereas it is not so in the instant case. In view of the above, we find that the meaning and effect of the order dated 07.01.2022 passed in O.A. No 619 of 2021 is quite clear and needs no further clarification in the form of order as claimed in interim relief application. Interim relief application is **disposed of** accordingly. Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents free of cost. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 616 of 2021 with M.A. No. 669 of 2021

Ex Sub Jitendra Prasad Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 656 of 2021

Ex Hav Sarabjeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Kumar Verma and Shri Arvind Thakur, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative are present. Maj Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative submits that counter affidavit could not be filed in the case due to personal difficulty of the counsel. She further submits to grant a week time to file counter affidavit which is allowed. List on **09.03.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 663 of 2021

Ex Sep Rajveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit has been received after vetting and shall be filed in the Registry by tomorrow. Counter affidavit must be filed in the Registry by tomorrow failing which opportunity shall stand closed. List on **17.02.2022.** **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 816 of 2021 with M.A. No. 963 of 2021

Brajesh Kumar & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Suraj Prakash Sherawat, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 are present. Counter affidavit filed by the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 is taken on record. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 4 & 5 submits that counsel of the answering respondents was busy in the High Court in regard to some case that is why he could not connect with Tribunal when the case was taken up for hearing and in his absence the Tribunal was pleased to proceed the case exparte against them. He submits that in the circumstances stated above order be recalled and answering respondents be permitted to file counter affidavit. He further submits the counter affidavit may be filed within two weeks. In view of above, order dated 27.01.2022 directing case to proceed exparte against respondents No. 4 & 5 is recalled and respondents No. 4 & 5 are permitted to file counter affidavit within two weeks. List on **21.03.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

R.A. No. 98 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 554 of 2018

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Smt. Poonam Devi W/o Late Ranbir Kumar & Other Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants (Respondents in O.A. No. 554 of 2018) and Shri Rang Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent (Applicant in O.A. No. 554 of 2018) assisted by Sqn Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative. During course of hearing, learned applicant's counsel submitted that in view of Circular No. 17(4)/2008/(20/D (Pen/Policy) dated 12.11.2008, the minimum qualifying service to earn service pension is 20 years and not 15 years. He further submitted that since respondent's husband had rendered only 16 years and 263 days service, he was not entitled to service pension. In reply, learned respondent's counsel drew our attention to page 10 to the objection filed by the respondent to counter the above submission and said that term of engagement was only 15 years and not 20 years. To clarify the plea regarding term of engagement learned counsel for the applicants sought and allowed two weeks time to seek instructions. List on **16.03.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

T.A. No. 8 of 2021

Col. Shiv Balak Singh (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rudra Pratap Singh and Shri Alok Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. As prayed four weeks further time is granted to respondents to file counter affidavit on condition that in event of default opportunity of filing counter affidavit will be closed. List on **24.03.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 85 of 2016

Mahendra Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 136 of 2017

Jyoti Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Onkar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. As prayed, list on **22.04.2022** for physical hearing. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 498 of 2017

Smt. Kavita Devi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KK Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 241 of 2018

Anuj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The matter needs physical hearing. List on **31.03.2022** for physical hearing. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 276 of 2018

Tejinder Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents the case is adjourned today. List on **24.03.2022** for hearing. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 411 of 2018

Ex Rect Jitendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 573 of 2019

Ex Sub Yadvendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DS Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 57 of 2020 with M.A. No. 877 of 2019

Ex Hav Paras Nath Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey and Shri Vinod Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 159 of 2020

Ex Hav Dhananjay Chaubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey and Shri Ashutosh Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 259 of 2020

EX (P) SS/APP Rameshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Tanya, Advocate holding brief of Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. As prayed by learned counsel for the applicant, list on **08.03.2022** for hearing. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 300 of 2020

Ex Sub RA Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The subject matter regarding maintainability of application in respect of AGIF is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. List on **10.05.2022**. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A. No. 481 of 2020

APS Tomar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** Heard arguments of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Judgment is reserved. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

O.A.(A) No. 420 of 2017

Mohd Meraj Khan (Through Wife Smt. Tarannum Nisha) Appellant
By Legal Practitioner for the Appellant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the appellant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. The matter needs physical hearing. List on **04.04.2022** for physical hearing. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1 (E. Court)

T.A. No. 14 of 2018

Ex Cfn Shiv Ram Dohre Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	15.02.2022 **Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)** **Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)** On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajneesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Since respondents are not having copy of complete paper book, learned counsel for the applicant is requested to provide copy of complete paper book within a week. List on **31.03.2022** for final hearing on which date respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench. **(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)** **(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)** **Member (A)** **Member (J)** SB