

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 634 of 2021

Ex. WO Shwet Ban Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri S.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sheo Sagar Singh Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is allowed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 833 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Mahesh Singh Kushwaha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 319 of 2022

Cdr. Santosh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 25.07.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 26.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 415 of 2022 with M.A. No. 460 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Suresh Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.) and Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 01 year and 08 months in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that delay in filing of Original Application is not intentional, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>The Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer on the ground that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.</p> <p>Considering the facts averred in affidavit we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 415 of 2022</u></p> <p>Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 25.07.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 26.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 418 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Ram Kumar Yadav
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Ved Prakash pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List the matter before Registrar on 25.07.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List the matter before Tribunal on 26.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 419 of 2022 with M.A. No. 465 of 2022

Smt. Rani Devi W/o Late Sep. Ramesh Chandra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant, who is the wife Late Sep. Ramesh Chandra, has filed this Original Application for the grant of disability pension/service element to her husband with effect from 04.06.1970 till his death and family pension to the applicant from the next date of his death i.e. 27.07.2002 in view of CDA Circular No. 527.</p> <p>There being delay of 49 years, 05 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application, an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>List on 15.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 129 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 188 of 2021

Ramesh Kumar Pal |S/o Late Ex. Hav. Ram Nath
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 16.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 188 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 22.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

M.A. No. 371 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 289 of 2021

Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	Versus	Applicants
Ex. LD Bahadur Singh By Legal Practitioner for Respondent		Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This applicant has been filed by the applicants – Union of India & others for modification of order dated 08.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 289 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application, modification application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The words “which would stand rounded off to 50% for two years” be deleted from the line 9 and 10 of para 11 of the order dated 08.09.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application 289 of 2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of amended order be given to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell to ensure its compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 372 of 2022 with M.A. No. 373 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 11 of 2021

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Hav. Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The applicants – Union of India & Others have filed this application seeking leave to appeal against decision of this Tribunal dated 01.10.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 11 of 2021 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability pension to the applicant @20% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of his discharge.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so that leave may be granted to the applicants to file Appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the result, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 461 of 2022 with M.A. No. 462 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 112 of 2020

Smt. Santosh Dwivedi W/o Ex. Nk. Rama Shankar Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The applicant has filed this application seeking leave to appeal against decision of this Tribunal dated 26.03.2021 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 112 of 2020.</p> <p>In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Leave to Appeal, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved in the decision so that leave may be granted to the applicants to file Appeal.</p> <p>In the result, Leave to Appeal is also dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 463 of 2022 with M.A. No. 464 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 453 of 2012

Ex. Sub./Clk. Ramesh Kumar
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.K. pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>List on 07.07.2022 as Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not available today.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1
T.A. No. 08 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Surendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate appears on behalf of the applicant and submits that he will file Vakalatnama in this case for which he may be granted some time.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let Vakalatnama be filed within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The file of this application has been received by transfer from Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Jabalpur.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On perusal of application it appears that Execution Application was filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 21.11.2017 in Original Application No. 82 (J) of 2017 Inre : M.A. No. 381 of 2016 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability pension to the applicant@50% for a period of two years and to hold fresh Re-Assessment Medical Board in his matter to carry out re-assessment of the current status of his disability. It is also directed in the order that above direction will be complied with within a period of four months from the of the order and the arrears would be restricted to three years from the date of filing of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On perusal of records, it further appears that in compliance of the order PPO has been issued and compliance report has been filed to which applicant has filed objection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that he has no copy of paper-book.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of paper-book be supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Thereafter, the matter be listed on 07.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 13 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 88 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Sant Ram By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is submitted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj submitted that in regard to compliance fresh PPO has been generated on 17.05.2022 and applicant has been provided disability pension @100% from 23.12.201 for life. In regard to intervening period there is no mention in the PPO whereas in the year 1988 when the applicant was invalided out he had 100% disability.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Respondents are directed to seek instruction in regard to payment of disability pension for the intervening period within two months.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is also submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in regard to PPO dated 08.02.2022 no payment has been received in applicant's account to which respondents are further directed to seek instruction in this regard and inform the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List on 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">In the meantime respondents shall file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 9 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 330 of 2019

Ex. Hav. Vikram Singh Bhandari By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
Versus	
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in compliance of order under execution PPO has been generated and its copy has been received by the applicant. He further submitted that PPO is in terms of the order wherefore Execution Application may be dismissed.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 107 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 11 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Arun Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that since Leave to Appeal application filed by the respondents has been dismissed today time be granted to process the case for implementation of the order and to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let affidavit of compliance be filed within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 27.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 274 of 2020

Ex. AC (U/T) Brij Kishore Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh and Shri Shailendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that copies of some of the documents filed along with Counter Affidavit being not legible he could not prepare the Rejoinder Affidavit. Thus, he prays for and is granted a week time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 15.07.2022.</p> <p>Parties must note that no further adjournment shall be granted on any reason.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 313 of 2021 with M.A. No. 322 of 2021

Brig. Anand Kumar Tewari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The original documents as directed vide order dated 21.03.2022 are not produced today.</p> <p>List on 05.08.2022 on which date entire promotion board proceedings of the applicant including DMA files shall be produced for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p>An officer from MS Branch shall also remain physically present to assist the Tribunal on the next date fixed.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be given to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/ AFT Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 322 of 2021

Smt. Sushma W/o Late Ex. Sep. Pawan Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing no one appears on behalf of the applicant nor is there any there any mention to pass over the case. Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. No Rejoinder Affidavit is filed. List on 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 604 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Ram Shankar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of respondents one day time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>After Counter Affidavit is filed, applicant will have two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 249 of 2022 with M.A. No. 478 of 2022 and M.A. No. 479 of 2022

Col. Vikas Pandey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 478 of 2022</u></p> <p>Heard Dr. Ashok Nigam, Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed seeking amendment in pleadings and in relief clause of the Original Application.</p> <p>The applicant has filed Original Application against an anonymous complaint with following reliefs :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><i>I. to quash the anonymous complaint so filed by the imposter after summoning the same in original from the respondents.</i><i>II. to quash the enquiry proceedings, if any, so instituted against the applicant on the basis of alleged anonymous complaint filed by the imposter after summoning the same in original from the respondents.</i><i>III. to issue an order, direction and command to the respondents to allow the applicant to participate in the ensuing training so commences in the month of June, 2002 pursuant to letter dated 11.02.2022, contained in Annexure No. 1, without reference to the alleged anonymous complaint filed against the applicant, if any.</i><i>IV. Issue such other order/direction which may be deemed just and proper in the circumstances of the case.</i><i>V. allow the Original Application with cost against the respondents in view of the fact and circumstances, legal provisions and Grounds raised in the Application.</i> <p>By means of application applicant wants to add certain new paragraphs in para 4.24 of the Original Application and he also wants to amend the grounds as well as the relief clause by adding "Anonymous complaint dated 12/02/2022, contained in Annexure No. 5, filed by some imposter, order dated 24th March, 2022, contained in Annexure No. 6 issued by the Opposite parties</p>

and order dated 19/04/2022 issued by the Army Headquarter, contained in Annexure No. 9, And Impugned Convening order dated 26th March, 2022 (Annexure No. 11) issued for and on behalf of GOC”.

It is submitted by the learned Senior Counsel for the applicant that in view of the fact that based on anonymous complaint the Court of Inquiry has been initiated against the applicant, proposed amendments are necessary for the just decision of the case.

The proposed amendments have been strongly opposed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents inter alia on the ground that since the Original Application filed by the applicant itself is not maintainable being filed against an anonymous complaint and not against any order which may be called prejudicial to the applicant, any amendments in the application is not permissible.

During course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the respondents admitted that based on the anonymous complaint a Court of Inquiry has been ordered against the applicant which is merely a fact finding inquiry.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also that since Court of Inquiry has been ordered against the applicant, based on an anonymous complaint, we deem it proper to allow applicant to amend the pleadings.

Accordingly, amendment application is **allowed**.

Let amendments be incorporated today and copy of amended Original Application be supplied to Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Respondents will have four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.

M.A. No. 479 of 2022

An application for grant of interim relief has been filed by the applicant to stay operation and implementation of order dated 19.04.2022, contained in Annexure No. 9, issued by Army Headquarters, Northern Command.

Respondents may file objection against interim relief application within three days.

List on **25.05.2022** for hearing on interim relief application.

Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell by today itself.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 241 of 2015

Arjun Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Hearing could not be concluded. List on 12.07.2022 as part heard on which date the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the incident of loss of 87 identity cards of 50 Armoured Regiment for perusal of the Bench. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 24 of 2012

Ex. Gnr. Yogendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No 494 of 2021

Ex Hav Ram Narayan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. None is present on behalf of applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In regard to grievance raised in O.A. the submission of learned counsel for the respondents is that grievance has been redressed and amount of Rs. 13,49,936/- has been credited in applicant's account as arrears in the month of March 2022. He further submitted that applicant is in receipt of regular pension amounting to Rs 23,750/- per month.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one has appeared on behalf of respondent No 4 and 5 despite notice being served.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Registry is directed to issue notice to concerned Manager of the Bank to appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed and to file affidavit of compliance annexing therewith statement of account of the applicant along with calculation sheet.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No 520 of 2021

Lt Col Arun Belwal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter and rejoinder affidavit filed by the respective parties is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Pleadings are complete.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 05.08.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 604 of 2021

Ex. Hav Ram Shankar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 611 of 2021

Ex Sub Sushil Kumar Dash By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>In regard to compliance, learned counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been general and its copy has been forwarded to the applicant. However PPO has not been brought on record.</p> <p>Let the PPO bring on record by way of affidavit.</p> <p>List on 22.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/-</p>	

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 718 of 2021

Ex L/Nk Rakesh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of learned counsel for the respondents, three weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 26.05.2022.</p> <p style="display:flex; justify-content:space-around;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}

ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1

O.A. No. 7 of 2022 with M.A. No. 9 of 2022

Ex. Sigman Jayant Bagchi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Earlier, in the same matter, the applicant had filed T.A. No 92 of 2011 which was allowed vide order dated 03.05.2012 and respondents were directed to 'sanction the disability pension as per rule to the petitioner for two years which has been assessed by us 20% which shall be paid to the petitioner within three months from the date of certified copy of this order is served.' In compliance of order, PPO was issued and order was complied with.</p> <p>The applicant has filed instant application for issuing directions to respondents to issue fresh Corrigendum PPO to the applicant with respect to his disability pension treating him of Group 'X' in place of Group Y" wef 01.11.1995 along with interest @ 12% per annum.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that fresh Corrigendum PPO No D/CORR/00521/2021 has already been issued to the applicant revising pensionary entitlements treating him 'X' Group Sepoy and brought on record along with affidavit of compliance filed in Execution</p>

Application of T.A. Thus he submitted that grievance raised in application has already been redressed by the respondents to which submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that grievance has not been redressed in toto as there is no mention of interest on amount of difference between 'X' Group and 'Y' Group pension.

Upon hearing submission of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we are of the view that grievance raised in the earlier T.A. filed by the applicant has been redressed by the respondents by issuing corrigendum PPO treating the applicant of 'X' Group. Prayer with regard to interest on the amount of difference between 'X' and 'Y' group pension as claimed by the applicant, in present application does not appear to be reasonable.

With the result O.A. is **dismissed**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 108 of 2022

Ex. Craftsman/Welder Krishna Bhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>List on 25.07.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 109 of 2022

Ex Nb Sub Naresh Ram Dulari Bhagan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 07.07.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 110 of 2022

Ex. Rect Konatala Kalyan Reddy
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 487 of 2018

Col. Rajendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, holding brief of Col. HM Maheshwari (Retd) Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 05.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 759 of 2020

Prashant Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Arguments concluded. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 190 of 2021

Lt. Col. Harish Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veerendra Mohan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 05.08.2022.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 303 of 2021

CHM Kishan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Lalit Kumar and Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 20.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A.(A) No. 309 of 2018

Gyanandra Madhukar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>21.05.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Devansh Bhardwaj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.08.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>