

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 761 of 2021

Ex. JWO Chandra Pal Singh Gaur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is dismissed. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Diary No. 520 of 2022

Ex. POA (AH) Ramesh Chander
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">As per office note, the affidavit which has been filed along with Original Application, has been attested by Notary Shri Jai Kumar Saxena, Advocate at Kasganj on 26.11.2021 whereas the same has been signed by the deponent at Lucknow on 23.12.2021.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Applicant to show cause why Original Application be not dismissed for this serious irregularity with exemplary cost.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 01.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:justify">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 161 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Kapil Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra and Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 162 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Mahesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 163 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Mohd. Maqsood Ahmed
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
 By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Matter needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 164 of 2022 with M.A. No. 193 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Vishawkarma Kripadayal Shyam Bihari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is dismissed.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 165 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Dhupesh Gupta
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 166 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Manish Thakur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Purna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Shri Kapil Sharma and Shri Bipin Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List the matter before Tribunal on 27.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 167 of 2022

Hony. Lt. Mahendra Nath Yadav By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on 04.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 205 of 2022 with M.A. No. 196 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Bipin Bihari Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 13 years, 08 months and 23 days.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 205 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Registrar on 09.05.2022 for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Tribunal on 04.07.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 76 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 707 of 2020

Ex. Ptr. Karambir Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shesh Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shesh Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.04.2021 in Original Application No. 707 of 2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The copy of Execution Application has not been given to the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant is directed to furnish the copy of Execution Application to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents by today itself.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instruction with regard to implementation of the order within three weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 102 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 277 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Khim Bahadur Thapa
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shesh Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shesh Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 29.11.2021 in Original Application No. 277 of 2021.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents to seek instruction with regard to implementation of the order within three weeks.</p> <p>List on 12.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 197 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 321 of 2021

Ex. JWO Ram Tirth Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application, correction application is allowed.</p> <p>In the order dated 07.01.2022 at page No. 2, para No. A, Line No. 5 in place of “14.02.2020 and order no. Air HQ/99798/2nd Appeal/” following be amended :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">“14.02.2020 and order no. Air HQ/99798/5/2nd Appeal”</p> <p>Further, at page No. 5 Para No. 7, Line No. 4 “CARDIOGENIC” be amended in place of “ARDIOGENIC”.</p> <p>With regard to amendment sought at Page No. 3, Para No. 1, Line No. 1 i.e. ‘PRIMARY HYPERTENSION I10.0’ we find that it is correct as has been mentioned in Release Medical Board. Therefore, no correction is required.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 93 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 481 of 2018

Dushyant Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Sini Thomas, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that order under execution could not be complied with due to report of District Magistrate, Kanpur being not received in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In regard to report, the Departmental Representative submitted that a reminder dated 09.03.2022 has been issued to the District Magistrate for obtaining his report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the above, respondents are allowed four weeks time to implement the order after obtaining the report of the District Magistrate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 79 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 18 of 2020

Sub. (Hony. Sub. Maj.) Pradip Kumar Tiwari (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad).</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order PPO has been generated and payment amounting to Rs.6,71,243/- has been paid to the applicant on 11.03.2022.</p> <p>Let affidavit of compliance be filed within a week.</p> <p>List on 26.04.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

M.A. No. 1770 of 2016 Inre : O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016

Smt. Geeta Devi W/o Late Amar Bahadur Singh & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>O.A. No. (Nil) of 2016</u></p> <p>In view of order dated 21.01.2022 in M.A. No. 748 of 2021 and M.A. No. 749 of 2021 amendments have been incorporated.</p> <p>Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh as a lot of amendments is required.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed the prayer on the premise that Original Application was filed in the year 2016 and a lot of time has been consumed since then in pursuing the same.</p> <p>Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that applicant wish to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file a fresh as a lot of amendments are required in Original Application, we feel it proper to allow the same.</p> <p>Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 120 of 2020

Smt. Anita Singh W/o Late Ex. Nk. Indrajeet Singh & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>In pursuance of order dated 21.01.2022 an affidavit annexing therewith copy of Complaint, Written Statement and Order-sheet in Original Suit No.771 of 2018 has been filed which is taken on record.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 844 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Amarnath Yadav By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and, as a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 despite service of notice being held sufficient upon them. The Original Application shall proceed exparte against them.</p> <p>List on 13.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 879 of 2021

Ex. L/Nk. Govind Pethe
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 878 of 2021

Ex. Deem (P) Ravi Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 03 of 2022

Ex. Nb. Sub. Ramesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed three weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No 784 of 2021

Ex Sep Nar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></p> <p><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri KK Singh Bisht, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>O.A. No. 784 of 2021, Ex Sep Nar Singh, vs. Union of India and others is allowed.</p> <p>For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 4 of 2022

Capt. Sonam Tiwari (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by learned counsel for the respondents, three weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 09.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 6 of 2022

Ex. Nk Maya Shankar Shukla
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Rang Nath Pandey and Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajiv Kumar Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA (P), Allahabad.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that Original Application should be dismissed with exemplary cost as grievance raised in Original Application had been redressed much before the filing of O.A. The PPO in the matter has been issued on 16.12.2019 and arrears amounting Rs. 5,68,687/- have been credited in the applicant's account in financial year 2020-2021. Apart from above applicant is regularly getting pension.</p> <p>In regard to above, learned counsel for the applicant submits that he has no idea about PPO issued and payment received by the applicant.</p> <p>From the aforesaid submissions, it appears that grievance raised in O.A. had been redressed before the filing of O.A. and in pursuance thereof PPO dated 16.12.2019 had been generated and arrears had been paid to the applicant in the financial year 2020-2021. Applicant is in regular receipt of pension. Applicant has misused the process of court by filing this O.A. which is highly condemnable. Accordingly, applicant is condemned and warned not to repeat this lapse again else he would be seriously dealt with.</p> <p>In view of above, O.A. is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 220 of 2016

Radhey Shyam

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that in regard to compliance of letter dated 27.12.2018 issued by Records, The Rajput Regiment, a sum of Rs. 82,951/- have been credited in applicant's account yesterday. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for three weeks time to file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let affidavit of compliance be filed within three weeks annexing therewith copy of Part II Order</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.5.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Copy of this order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents within 48 hours for implementation.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 276 of 2018

Tejinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.03.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 315 of 2018

Anand Kumar Yadav By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sqn Ldr Ashutosh Pandey, OIC, Legal Cell for Air Force.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Argument concluded. Order reserved.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p><p>UKT/-</p></div><div style="text-align: center;"><p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 500 of 2019

Ex. Sep Baljinder Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Ashish Asthana and Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.03.2022 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 423 of 2020

Ex. Sub Sarvesh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Col. B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 13.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 583 of 2020

Ex. Sep Karan Pal Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. BP Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 18.05.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 55 of 2021

Ex. Rfn Keval Rana
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri KKS Bisht and Shri Prakhar Kankan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This Original Application was filed for quashing of show cause notice by which applicant was asked to be discharged from service due to non availability of sheltered appointment being low medical category.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The facts of the case are that applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 24.12.2010 as Infantry Soldier. He met with an accident on 10.12.2017 while performing military duties at OTA , Chennal and his disability was diagnosed as 'CLOSED HEAD INJURY' and his medical category was P3 (Permanent). He was served a notice dated Feb 2020 to show cause why his case for discharge from the army should not be taken up with Records 14 GR. He submitted his reply to notice in time intimating therein that he was a newly married having responsibility of his entire family on his shoulders, therefore, he should be allowed to complete his pensionable service. However, instead of paying any heed to his request the respondents passed the order to discharge the applicant from service w.e.f. 28 Feb 2021. Against this order the applicant has filed the present application with prayer that operation of the order be stayed till disposal of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents denied the sheltered appointment to applicant citing reasons of increased number of LMC personnel and deficiency in manpower in</p>

the unit. The reasons cited by the respondents for denying sheltered appointment to the applicant prima facia did not inspire confidence of us, more so when LMC personnel strength in the unit was only marginally higher and there being no definite policy that in the given situation who may be retained and who may out.

In the facts of the case when it was prima facia established that applicant's disability for which he was being discharged from the service was not due to his fault, and in similar matters sheltered appointment was offered to others and the applicant was being denied the same without any plausible reason, but in the name of increased number of LMC persons only which was only marginally high as per own submission of the respondents we find that indulgence of the Tribunal was required.

The prayer of interim relief of the applicant was allowed vide order dated 25.02.2021. The operation of the respondents order of discharge contained in letter dated 09 Sep 2020, i.e. Annexure No A-1 (ii) to the O.A., was stayed till disposal of O.A. or till the next holding of medical board, which ever be earlier. The next medical board was scheduled in January 2022. Today, learned counsel for the respondents informed the Court that he has no information whether medical board of the applicant was held or not.

This Original Application is **disposed of** with directions to respondents to hold medical board of the applicant and decide percentage of disability to consider the case of the applicant for grant of disability pension as per rule.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

UKT/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

O.A. No. 362 of 2021

Ex. Sep Raju J Akkatangerhal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Supplementary counter affidavit has been filed today by the respondents which is taken on record. Copy of supplementary counter affidavit has been served to learned counsel for the applicant who seeks and is allowed a week's time to file reply.</p> <p>List on 12.05.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1

T.A. No. 378 of 2010

Mukesh Kumar Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that status of applicant is not clear as to whether he is still in service or he has been dismissed from service on account of deserter. Thus he submits that two weeks time be given to him to seek instruction which is allowed.</p> <p>List on 29.04.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>UKT/-</p>

**Form No. 4
 {See rule 11(1)}
 ORDER SHEET
 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No.1**

T.A. No. 596 of 2010

Udai Veer Singh		Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner		
	Versus	
Union of India & Others		Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>24.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Col. B.P. Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.05.2022 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J) </p> <p>UKT/-</p>

