

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**Ex. A. No. 51 of 2018 with M.A. No. 303 of 2020 Inre : O.A. No. 169 of 2011**

**Lt. Col. Lokesh Kandpal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Dr. Ashish Asthana and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Execution Application has been preferred for the implementation of order dated 06.10.2017 in Original Application No. 169 of 2011. By means of this order the Tribunal has, while allowing the Original Application, set aside the Court of Inquiry and findings recorded therein in respect of incident dated 19/20.09.2005 and has directed the respondents to consider afresh the applicant's claim with regard to ICR/Pen Picture for the period from 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 and to look into the whole incident afresh by conducting Court of Inquiry again keeping in view the materials on record as placed in the petition. The respondents have also been directed to pay Rs.1 Lac as cost to the applicant within a period of four months from the date of order.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that in compliance of the order fresh Court of Inquiry has been held in the matter which has been completed also. His further submitted that courageous act of the applicant has also been entered in ICR/Pen Picture of the applicant for the period from 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 as directed by this Tribunal and cost Rs.1 Lac has been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>Lt. Col. Sachitra C., AMS (Legal), IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi is also present in the Court along with Dossier of the applicant which she has produced before us for our perusal.</p> <p>We have perused the Dossier and we find that courageous act of the applicant has been entered in his ICR/Pen Picture for the period from 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 as directed in the order.</p> <p>During the course of hearing, Ld. Counsel for the applicant admitted that cost Rs.1 Lac has been received by the applicant. However, he submitted that in subsequent Court of Inquiry held in the matter under order of this Tribunal, some adverse observations have been made against the applicant which should also be expunged.</p>

The courageous act done by the applicant in the intervening night of 19 and 20 September, 2015 has been recorded in his ICR/Pen Picture of the respective period and he has received the cost amount also. The submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicant that in subsequent Court of Inquiry which was held under order of this Tribunal some adverse observations have been made against the applicant which should be expunged, we find that courageous act of the officer in respect of the incident for the respective period being once entered in his ICR/Pen Picture, the alleged adverse observations/remarks, if any, as said by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, have no meaning nor will they have any bearing whenever the applicant is next considered for promotion to a higher rank.

In view of the above, the order under execution appears to have been complied with, wherefore, Execution Application deserves to be dismissed being infructuous.

Accordingly, Execution Application is **dismissed**.

**(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 206 of 2022 with M.A. No. 119 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Laxman Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Matter pertains to grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 17 years, 11 months and 27 days.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 206 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 207 of 2022 with M.A. No. 200 of 2022**

**Hony. Sub. Maj. Lal Bachan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 years, 10 months and 27 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 207 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 208 of 2022 with M.A. No. 201 of 2022**

**Hony. Lt. Lal Bahadur Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-indent: 40px;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 209 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Mudit Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:justify"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 210 of 2022 with M.A. No. 202 of 2022**

**Hony. Sub. Maj. Hans Raj Singh Yadav**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 211 of 2022 with M.A. No. 203 of 2022**

**Smt. Sunita Devi W/o Late Shri Satendra Pal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Matter pertains to grant of family pension.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 25 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 211 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 212 of 2022 with M.A. No. 205 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Kedar Nath Shukla**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This Original Application has been filed against the order dated 01.12.2002 of the respondents by which applicant has been discharged from service.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">There being a delay of 16 years, 09 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents to file objection against delay condonation application within two weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>10.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 213 of 2022**

**Ex. MWO Surya Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>10.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>05.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 214 of 2022 with M.A. No. 204 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Kedar Nath Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 16 years, 09 months and 28 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 214 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>10.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>05.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**M.A. No. 198 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 337 of 2021**

<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	Applicants
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Ex. Nk. Ujmakant Rai</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondent	Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/respondents – Union of India &amp; Others and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent/applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the order dated 20.12.2021 name of applicant be corrected as <b>“Uma Kant Rai”</b> in place of ‘Umakant Rai’.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 678 of 2021 with M.A. No. 184 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Shambhu Dayal Shrivastava**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present. List on <b>26.04.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 773 of 2021**

**Ex. LD Mohan Lal**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 808 of 2021**

**Wg. Cdr. Manish Pandey (Retd.)**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one is present on behalf of the respondents nor the Counter Affidavit has been filed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit must be filed within two weeks failing which opportunity shall stand closed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>28.04.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)                      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 809 of 2021**

<b>Ex. Gnr. Baijnath Singh</b>	Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad).</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. AT/PSB/VII/BOB/Court/22 dated 13.01.2022 and Circular No. 555 dated 04.02.2016, both issued by Office of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. AT/PSB/VII/BOB/Court/22 dated 13.01.2022 and Circular No. 555 dated 04.02.2016, both issued by Office of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>AKD/-</p>







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 855 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Ram Sarup**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Tarun V. Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of Circular No. 570 dated 31.10.2016 issued by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of Circular No. 570 dated 31.10.2016 issued by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 856 of 2021**

**Nb. Sub. Azad Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents and, as a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on <b>05.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 857 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Lalan Ji Mishra**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Arguments concluded. Judgment is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 858 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. (Hony. Nb. Sub.) Narendra Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 859 of 2021**

<b>Ex. Nb. Sub. Jai Kumar Panicker</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Applicant
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1

O.A. No. 206 of 2022 with M.A. No. 199 of 2022

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Laxman Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Matter pertains to grant of disability pension.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 17 years, 11 months and 27 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 206 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication. Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings. List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 207 of 2022 with M.A. No. 200 of 2022**

**Hony. Sub. Maj. Lal Bachan**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Original Application has been filed with delay of 04 years, 10 months and 27 days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 207 of 2022</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 208 of 2022 with M.A. No. 201 of 2022**

**Hony. Lt. Lal Bahadur Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u>25.03.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 209 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Mudit Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 210 of 2022 with M.A. No. 202 of 2022**

**Hony. Sub. Maj. Hans Raj Singh Yadav**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">In view of order dated 10.01.2022 of the Hon'ble Apex Court in Miscellaneous Application No. 21/2022 in MA 665/2021 in Suo Motu Writ Petition (C) No.3/2020, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been moved which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 211 of 2022 with M.A. No. 203 of 2022**

**Smt. Sunita Devi W/o Late Shri Satendra Pal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Matter pertains to grant of family pension.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 25 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 211 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>09.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>04.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 212 of 2022 with M.A. No. 205 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Kedar Nath Shukla**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed against the order dated 01.12.2002 of the respondents by which applicant has been discharged from service.</p> <p>There being a delay of 16 years, 09 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application an application for condonation of delay has been filed.</p> <p>Respondents to file objection against delay condonation application within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>10.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 213 of 2022**

**Ex. MWO Surya Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Registrar on <b>10.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List the matter before Tribunal on <b>05.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 214 of 2022 with M.A. No. 204 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Kedar Nath Shukla**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 16 years, 09 months and 28 days.</p> <p>Submission of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that delay in filing Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application.</p> <p>Per contra, learned counsel for the respondents submits that explanation of delay offered by the applicant is not sufficient as he has failed to offer day to day explanation of delay.</p> <p>Considering that in pensionary matters bar of limitation is not applicable and grounds stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application are genuine and sufficient, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay in filing application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 214 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List the matter before Registrar on <b>10.05.2022</b> for exchange of pleadings.</p> <p>List the matter before Tribunal on <b>05.07.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**M.A. No. 198 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 337 of 2021**

<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b> By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	Applicants
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Ex. Nk. Ujmakant Rai</b> By Legal Practitioner for Respondent	Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal		
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/respondents – Union of India &amp; Others and Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent/applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the order dated 20.12.2021 name of applicant be corrected as <b>“Uma Kant Rai”</b> in place of ‘Umakant Rai’.</p> <table style="width: 100%; margin-top: 20px;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</td><td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</td></tr></table> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>	<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)
<b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)	<b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)		

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 678 of 2021 with M.A. No. 184 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Shambhu Dayal Shrivastava**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>List on <b>26.04.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 773 of 2021**

**Ex. LD Mohan Lal**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Jai Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 809 of 2021**

**Ex. Gnr. Baijnath Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad).</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. AT/PSB/VII/BOB/Court/22 dated 13.01.2022 and Circular No. 555 dated 04.02.2016, both issued by Office of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p>With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of letter No. AT/PSB/VII/BOB/Court/22 dated 13.01.2022 and Circular No. 555 dated 04.02.2016, both issued by Office of Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 811 of 2021**

**Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Manendra Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>04.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 854 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Kripa Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant  
**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad) are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents and, as a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>29.04.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)      Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 855 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Ram Sarup**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Tarun V. Pillai, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be disposed off with direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of Circular No. 570 dated 31.10.2016 issued by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), to which Ld. Counsel for the respondents has no objection.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">With the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, we hereby dispose of the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to revise the pension of applicant in terms of Circular No. 570 dated 31.10.2016 issued by Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pensions), Prayagraj (Allahabad), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and communicate to the applicant accordingly after verifying the documents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 856 of 2021**

**Nb. Sub. Azad Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey and Shri Girish Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents and, as a last chance, two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>05.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 859 of 2021**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Jai Kumar Panicker**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For order, see our Judgment passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 382 of 2017**

**Col Dilip Singh Shekhawat**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Indra Sen Singh and Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj Tarun Pillai, Departmental Representative.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 590 of 2017 with M.A. No. 1868 of 2018**

<b>Sqn Ldr DH Prasad (Retd) &amp; Others</b>	Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants	
<b>Versus</b>	
<b>Union of India &amp; Others</b>	Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents	

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b>  <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>11.05.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, Joint Director CDA (Air Force), New Delhi shall remain present in the court to assist the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b>  <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b> </p> <p>SB</p>

**Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 599 of 2017**

**Maj Rajendra Singh & 23 Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents alongwith Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, AO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Supplementary affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>11.05.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the date fixed, Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, AO, PCDA (P) Prayagraj shall remain present to assist the court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p>

SB



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

O.A. No. 861 of 2021

**Ex. Hony Nb Sub Anil Kumar Dubey**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>25.03.2022</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request made by learned counsel for the respondents, 03 weeks time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>09.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (A)    Member (J)</p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 10 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep Vais Dependra Bahadur Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request made by learned counsel for the respondents, 03 weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>09.05.2022</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 11 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav Dushyant Kumar Singh**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request made by learned counsel for the respondents, 03 weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>05.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 13 of 2022**

**L/Nk Viresh Kumar**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request made by learned counsel for the respondents, 03 weeks further time is granted to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>12.05.2022</b>.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**M.A. No. 281 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 315 of 2019**

**Col. Balraj Sharma SC**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Lt Col Suchithra C, AMS (Legal) from IHQ of MoD (Army), Military Secretary's Branch is present with records and she submits that record pertaining to approval accorded by MoD to the policy on bifurcation of vacancies in general cadre could not be brought due to concerned officer being busy in selection process.</p> <p>Heard Col Balraj Sharma, SC in person, and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>List on <b>05.04.2022</b> for final hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce records as per order dated 26.08.2021.</p> <p>Let this order be supplied to learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 129 of 2013 with M.A. No. 593 of 2019**

**Rajendra Singh**

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><u><b>25.03.2022</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</b></u> <u><b>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</b></u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri RP Shukla and Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>05.07.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p>
	<p><small>rathore</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 307 of 2016**

**Jagirman Rai**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on <b>19.05.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>      <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1**

**O.A. No. 308 of 2017**

**Col. V.K. Tiwari**  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>25.03.2022</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shashank Shekar Shukla and Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondents that original documents pertaining to the case being not available today hearing be adjourned.</p> <p>Accordingly, hearing is adjourned as prayed.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2022</b> for hearing.</p> <p>On the date fixed, learned counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align:center"><b>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)</b>     <b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>     <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>rathore</p>

