

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 965 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1174 of 2022

Ex. Nk. (MACP-I) Kaushlendra Mishra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">There is a delay of 02 months and 44 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 965 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 966 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1175 of 2022

Ex. JWO Jainendra Kumar Verma
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 02 Years, 10 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 966 of 2022</u></p> <p>Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that after the Six Central Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July, as the date of increment for all Government Employees, thereafter, the applicant being enrolled on 30.08.1977 and discharged on 30.06.2017 is entitled for grant of last increment due on 01.07.2017 as per decision of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of <i>P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others</i> (W.P. No. 15732 of 2017, decided on 15.09.2017) and this Tribunal judgment in OA 366 of 2020, <i>Ex HFL Sarvesh Kumar vs. Union of India & Ors</i>, decided on 12.08.2021.</p> <p>On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents contended that the applicant had served for complete one year from the date of his last annual increment, but he had not been granted annual increment as on the date of his</p>

discharge i.e. 30.06.2017 as per policy in vogue since the date of annual increment falls on the following day i.e. 01.07.2017. Therefore, benefit of the Hon'ble Madras High Court order being *in personam* cannot be extended to the applicant and hence, Original Application is liable to be dismissed.

The law on notional increment has already been settled by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of ***P. Ayamperumal Versus the Registrar, Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench and Others*** (Supra). Against the said Judgment the Union of India had preferred Special Leave Petition (Civil) Diary No.22282 of 2018 which was dismissed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated 23.07.2018. The relevant portion of the Judgment passed by the Hon'ble Madras Court is excerpted below:-

“5. The petitioner retired as Additional Director General, Chennai on 30.06.2013 on attaining the age of superannuation. After the Sixth Pay Commission, the Central Government fixed 1st July as the date of increment for all employees by amending Rule 10 of the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008. In view of the said amendment, the petitioner was denied the last increment, though he completed a full one year in service, i.e., from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013. Hence, the petitioner filed the original application in O.A.No.310/00917/2015 before the Central Administrative Tribunal, Madras Bench, and the same was rejected on the ground that an incumbent is only entitled to increment on 1st July if he continued in service on that day.

6. In the case on hand, the petitioner got retired on 30.06.2013. As per the Central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2008, the increment has to be given only on 01.07.2013, but he had been superannuated on 30.06.2013 itself. The judgment referred to by the petitioner in State of Tamil Nadu, rep. by its Secretary to Government, Finance Department and others v. M. Balasubramaniam, reported in CDJ 2012 MHC 6525, was passed under similar circumstances on 20.09.2012, wherein this Court confirmed the order passed in W.P.No.8440 of 2011 allowing the writ petition filed by the employee, by observing that the employee had completed one full year of service from 01.04.2002 to 31.03.2003, which entitled him to the benefit of increment which accrued to him during that period.

7. The petitioner herein had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2013, but the increment fell due on 01.07.2013, on which date he was not in service. In view of the above judgment of this Court, naturally he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service, though the date of increment falls on the next day of his retirement. Applying the said judgment to the present case, the writ petition is allowed and the impugned order passed by the first respondent-Tribunal dated 21.03.2017 is quashed. The petitioner shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.2012 to 30.06.2013, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2013, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. No costs.”

In view of law laid down by the Hon'ble Madras High Court, upheld by

the Hon'ble Apex Court, we are of the view that since the applicant had completed one full year service as on 30.06.2017, but the increment fell due on the next day of his retirement 01.07.2017, on which date he was not in service, he has to be treated as having completed one full year of service.

In view of the above, the Original Application is allowed. The impugned order, if any, is set aside. The applicant shall be given one notional increment for the period from 01.07.20156 to 30.06.2017, as he has completed one full year of service, though his increment fell on 01.07.2017, for the purpose of pensionary benefits and not for any other purpose. The respondents are directed to issue fresh Corrigendum P.P.O. accordingly. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

Let a copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 967 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1176 of 2022

Ex. POR (TEL) Sunit Agrahari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 04 Years, 03 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 967 of 2022</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 979 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1189 of 2022

Ex. AG PO EL (P) Suraj Kumar Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ved Prakash, Shri Devendra Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>There is a delay of 02 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 979 of 2022</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 980 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1190 of 2022

Ex. MWO Rajive Kumar Saxena
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ved Prakash, Shri Devendra Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension. There is a delay of 02 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 980 of 2022</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Case needs adjudication. Admit. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 981 of 2022

Ex. Nk. (DSC) Anil Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court
O.A. No. 982 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1193 of 2022

Ex. CPO Radio (Tel) Mahendra Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p>28.11.2022 <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Shri Rang Nath Pandey and Shri Rahul Pandey, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed for quashing recovery of Rs.13,56,192/- from the pension of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that respondents are making recovery of Rs.13,56,192/- by making monthly deduction @Rs.10,595/- from pension of the applicant. No show cause notice was served to the applicant before making the recovery. The recovery is being made against excess payment made to the applicant in which applicant had no role.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Issue notice.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Respondents may file Counter Affidavit within four weeks. Thereafter, applicant will have two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Meanwhile respondents are restrained from making further recovery, if any, from the pension of the applicant towards excess payment.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 983 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Ashish Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

**Notes of
the
Registry**

Orders of the Tribunal

28.11.2022

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)
Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)

Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.

Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.

This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension.

It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant has been discharged from service in low medical category before completing the terms of engagement. The applicant's disability claim has been rejected by the respondents on the premise of disability being neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.

Case needs adjudication.

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List on **20.03.2023.**

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 984 of 2022

Hav. (DMT) Chauhan Dharmendra
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:justify">Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.) and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Applicant has filed this Original Application for promotion to the rank of Naib Subedar stating that he has been denied promotion on the wrong premise that he has no English qualification in the High School.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Case needs adjudication. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align:justify">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 20.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

Ex. A. No. 357 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 648 of 2021

Smt. Archana Rai W/o Late Sep. Satya Prakash Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 23.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 648 of 2021.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on 20.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

M.A. No. 1179 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1180 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 846 of 2021

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Nk. (MACP Hav.) Somveer Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 03.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 846 of 2021 by which applicants herein have been directed to grant disability element of disability pension to the applicant @20% for life which would be rounded off to 50% from life from the next date of his discharge within a period of four months.</p> <p>There is a delay of 07 months and 13 days in filing of leave to application.</p> <p>Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that applicants being Government functionaries and due to involvement of various agencies of the Government and perhaps lack of time management the delay has occurred. After the Department of Law opined that it is a fit case for filing leave to appeal application the papers have been sent to the Counsel for the Central Government for drafting application. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p>Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave</p>

to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case.

In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.

Accordingly, delay condonation application is **dismissed**.

Leave to Appeal being time barred is also **dismissed**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

M.A. No. 1192 of 2022 Inre : R.A. No. 83 of 2022 with M.A. No. 962 of 2022
Inre : O.A. No. 331 of 2021

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Cpl. Dharm Raj
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>This application has been filed to recall the order dated 20.09.2022 in Review Application No. 83 of 2022. By means of this order Review Application has been dismissed being barred by time.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein submits that Review Application has been disposed off without hearing the applicants herein, therefore, order be recalled and opportunity be provided to the applicants herein to make their submission on condonation of delay as well as Review Application.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondent herein returnable within four weeks. Steps for notice be taken within a week. List on 23.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 44 of 2022

Ex. Cpl. S.P. Dubey
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This case has been received by transfer from Armed Forces Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Issue notice to the applicant returnable within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>AKD/-</small></p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 46 of 2022

Ex. Hav./MT Shiv Mangal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This case has been received by transfer from Allahabad High Court. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Issue notice to the applicant returnable within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 47 of 2022

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shyam Babu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This case has been received by transfer from Allahabad High Court. No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Issue notice to the applicant returnable within four weeks.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 48 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Santosh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">This case has been received by transfer from Allahabad High Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vakalatnama filed by Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Retd.), Advocate on behalf of the applicant and Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents are taken on record. Their names be shown as Counsel for the applicant and respondents respectively when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has no paper-book of the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Applicant is directed to provide the copy of paper-book to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within a week.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 15.12.2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 49 of 2022

Smt. Nirmla Singh W/o Shri Harendra Nath Singh
(M/o Late Spr. Ashutosh Singh) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>This case has been received by transfer from Allahabad High Court.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Issue notice to the applicant returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 25.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

T.A. No. 51 of 2022

L/Nk. Deepak Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anagh Mishra, Ld. Counsel appeared on behalf of the applicant and submits that he will file Vakalatnama during the course of the day.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 is present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the respondent No. 3.</p> <p>Issue notice to the respondent No. 3 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p>List on 01.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

Diary No. 1119 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Ashok Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.P. Sherawat, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 (Bank) are present.</p> <p>Shri S.P. Sherawat, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 submits that pension data of the pensioner Hav. Ashok Kumar was received to the Office of State Bank of India, CPPC, Chandani Chowk, New Delhi on 30.06.2022 through migration from State Bank of India, Chharra Branch, Aligarh and at that time no PPO regarding disability element was received as a result of which disability element of the disability pension could not be paid to the applicant. He further submits that the Office of State Bank of India, CPPC, Chandani Chowk, New Delhi has received a letter dated 04.04.2022 from the Office of the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj after which a duplicate PPO has been issued and received by the State Bank of India, CPPC, Chandani Chowk, New Delhi and, in compliance thereof, disability element of disability pension with effect from 09.12.2019 till June, 2020 amounting to Rs.6,30,630/- has been credited in applicant's account on 29.07.2022. He also submits that applicant is continuously receiving the disability element of disability pension @50% till</p>

date. Thus, he submits that there is no fault on the part of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 in implementation of the order and delay, if any, in compliance is due to non-receipt of the PPO and not for any other reason.

An affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO, Loss Certificate as well as due and drawn statement has been filed which is taken on record.

On going through the affidavit filed today by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 it appears that order has been complied with.

Accordingly, Execution Application is **dismissed** being rendered infructuous.

The physical presence of Branch Manager, State Bank of India, CPPC, Chandani Chowk, New Delhi and Branch manager, ADB, Chharra Branch, Aligarh is dispensed with.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

Ex. A. No. 202 of 2017 Inre : T.A. No. 15 of 2014 and Inre : T.A. No. 1368 of 2010

Maj. Kunwar Ambreshwar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the respondent No. 4.</p> <p>On the last date i.e. 10.10.2022 notice was directed to be issued to Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Defence Banking Branch, Sadar Bazar, Cantt., Lucknow to appear in person on the next date fixed i.e. today to file reply.</p> <p>It appears that notice has been issued on 16.11.2022 which has not been received back unserved/undelivered.</p> <p>Wait.</p> <p>List on 04.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court**

Ex. A. No. 195 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 352 of 2017

Hony. Nb. Sub. Suresh Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj submits that in compliance of the order arrears of pension amounting to Rs.83,196/- has been credited in applicant's account in June, 2020. Compliance has been in view of Circular No. 631 dated 05.03.2020.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant, on the other hand, submits that in view of order dated 22.11.2021 in Original Application No. 352 of 2017 respondents have to calculate arrears of pension of regular Naib Subedar, as directed in the order, and not of Honorary Naib Subedar. He also submits that case be listed in physical hearing along with other connected cases.</p> <p>List on 05.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p>

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court
Ex. A. No. 205 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 478 of 2020

Ex. Sgt. Rajesh Srivastava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Ajesh Anand, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 (Bank of Baroda) are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 submits that there being no recommendation for payment of interest in the PPO, the same has not been paid to the applicant.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, three weeks time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 submits that copy of order dated 22.03.2021 in Original Application No. 478 of 2020 was received on 05.04.2021 and PPO was generated on 29.07.2021 i.e. within four months from the date of receipt of order. Thus, he submits that there is no delay on the part of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in compliance of the order.</p> <p>Let this facts be brought on record within four weeks.</p> <p>List on 06.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

Ex. A. No. 231 of 2022 Inre : T.A. No. 6 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Somdatt Awasthi By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant	Versus	Applicant
Union of India & Others By Legal Practitioner for Respondents		Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, three weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>List on 09.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 20 of 2021

Lt. Col. Kapil Khandelwal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinayh Pandey and Shri Chandra Bhan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>No Rejoinder Affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 448 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (ACP Nb. Sub.) Sujay Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align:center">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align:center">No Rejoinder Affidavit has been filed.</p> <p style="text-align:center">Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">List on 12.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align:center">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align:left">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 388 of 2022

Sub. (Lab. Tech.) Mohd. Tariq Siddiqui (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>No Rejoinder Affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 17.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 98 of 2021

Cpl. Sudhir Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant submits that after the filing of this Original Application the grievance raised in the Original Application has been partially redressed by the respondents and POR has been published and the case has been forwarded to Air Force aurhoties.</p> <p>In view of the above, list on 10.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

O.A. No. 970 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1181 of 022

Rambriksh Ram S/o Late Shri Shivshankar Ram
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>List on 14.12.2022 for hearing maintainability of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court
O.A. No. 971 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1182 of 2022

Ex. JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension with an application for condonation of delay of 01year and 04 days in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that delay is not deliberate.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 971 of 2022</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Issue notice.</p>

Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List on **20.03.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court
O.A. No. 972 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1183 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Shiv Raj Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1183 of 2022</u></p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning delay of 36 Years, 06 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that being a pensionary matter law of limitation is not applicable. It is further submitted that delay in filing the Original Application is not deliberate.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer.</p> <p>Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 972 of 2022</u></p> <p>This Original Application has been has been filed for the grant of disability element of disability pension.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's disability claim has been wrongly denied by the respondents on the premise of disability being neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p>

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List on **20.03.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court

Ex. A. No. 359 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 559 of 2021

Ex. MWO (HFO) Surendra Prasad Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

**Notes of
the
Registry**

Orders of the Tribunal

28.11.2022

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)

Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)

Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.

On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.

This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 09.05.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 559 of 2021 by which respondents have directed to grant disability element to the applicant @30% for life which would stand rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application within a period of four months.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that order has not yet been complied with.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.

List on **20.01.2023.**

(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve)
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

M.A. No. 1156 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 32 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Applicants

Versus

Ex Nk Prabhu Nath Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri K.P. Datta, learned counsel for the respondent is present.</p> <p>Heard learned counsel for the parties on the application for modification of order dated 05.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 32 of 2022.</p> <p>Order is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align:right">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 398 of 2018

Lt. Col C.N. Tembe (Retd) & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar and Col RA Pandey (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 314 of 2019

Col Harish Khangarot (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>On request of Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate, two weeks further time is granted to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 348 of 2019

Ex Cadet Rajeev R U Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri SSL Srivastava Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List in physical hearing when the Bench is next available on 18.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 466 of 2020

Ex L Nk Rishipal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Supplementary counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the applicant needs time to file supplementary rejoinder affidavit which is allowed.</p> <p>Supplementary rejoinder affidavit may be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 19.01.2023 in physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 303 of 2021

CHM Kishan Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Lalit Kumar and Dr. Ashish Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Pleadings have been exchanged between the parties and the case is ripe for hearing.</p> <p>List on 16.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p>
	SB

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 249 of 2022

Col. Vikas Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Case needs physical hearing.</p> <p>List on 12.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 304 of 2022

Ex. Gnr Ram Tej Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the respondents nor counter affidavit has been filed.</p> <p>Shri Ganesh Dutt Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit must be filed within three weeks failing which opportunity will be closed without any reference.</p> <p>List on 17.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 329 of 2022

Ex. W/O Satyendra Pratap Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On request of learned counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file counter affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>List on 02.12.2022.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 344 of 2022

Ex. Nk Shyam Datt
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard arguments of Wg. Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Hearing concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Judgment is reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 355 of 2022

Anurudd S/o Kaptan Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>In counter affidavit, the respondents have stated in Para 4 that applicant was subjected to Review Medical Board wherein he was declared 'Unfit' by Classified Specialist (Psychiatrist) of Command Hospital, Central Command, Lucknow for ' Multiple Horizontal Tentative Cuts on Left Fore Arm'.</p> <p>Previous medical report has not been filed alongwith counter affidavit. Let it be filed within three weeks.</p> <p>List thereafter on 11.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

Ex. A. No. 53 of 2019 Inre T.A. No. 17 of 2017

Sqn. Ldr RK Kashyap (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed, list on 30.01.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 684 of 2017

Col. Mukul Singhal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mohan Kumar and R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 487 of 2018

Col. Rajendra Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. HM Maheshwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 27.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 546 of 2018

Lt. Col. Raja Singh Parihar (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. AK Singh (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 15.12.2022 for physical hearing.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 9 of 2019

Maj. Anshuman Singh Chandel
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.S.L. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 13.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 393 of 2019

Col. Dinesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veerendra Mohan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 13.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 500 of 2019

Ex. Sep Baljinder Singh

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Ashish Asthana and Shri Lalit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 16.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A No. 190 of 2021

Lt. Col. Harish Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Veerandra Mohan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>List on 13.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
E-Court No.1

O.A. No. 389 of 2021

Ex. Gnr Kaleshwar Sah
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant

Applicant

Versus

Chief of Army Staff & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>28.11.2022</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 09.01.2023 for physical hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Abhay Raghunath Karve) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>SB</p>

