Form No. 4 {See rule 11(1)} ORDER SHEET ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

<u>Court No. 1</u> (SI. No 27)

O.A. No. 788 of 2023 with M.A. No 937 of 2023

Smt Neelam Singh, W/o Late Ex Ptr Byas Singh Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocates

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal

Notes of the	Orders of the Tribunal
Registry	
	03.11.2023
	<u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)
	1. Reply against the objection on delay condonation application
	filed by the applicant is taken on record.
	2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that her husband
	was enrolled in Army on 23.12.1996. He was treated in Military
	Hospital for the disability "Disseminated to HIV Positivity with
	Tuberculosis". He was Invalided out from service on 04.03.2004 after
	rendering about 07 years and 73 days of service. He died on
	03.11.2005. Applicant represented her case for grant of Ex Gratia
	compensation and also sent reminder vide letter dated 26.06.2023
	which has not yet been replied by the respondents. This Original
	Application has been filed with the prayer to grant ex gratia lump
	sum compensation.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case. He submitted that applicant has not annexed copy of the letters which she wrote to respondents for grant of Ex Gratia compensation. Applicant has only endorsed copy of reminder letter dated 26.06.2023. He submitted that letter dated 26.06.2023 is barred by the limitation as mandated under Section 22 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007. The letter dated 26.06.2023 is yet to be responded by the respondents but the applicant has filed instant O.A. without waiting for disposal of his alleged reminder letter in the prescribed limitation period of six months, hence the same deserves to be rejected.

4. We have heard, Ld. Counsel of both the parties and perused the documents available on record.

5. In view of discussion made above, we direct the respondents to decide the representation of the applicant dated 26.06.2023 by a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law, rules and policy within the stipulated period of six months and communicate the decision to the applicant.

6. With the aforesaid directions without entering into the merit of the case, we **dispose of** this Original Application finally.

10. No order as to costs.

11. All pending Misc Applications are also disposed off.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)