

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1200 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1657 of 2023**

**Ex.Sgt. Vijai Bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 11 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1200 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1201 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1658 of 2023**

**Ex.CPO UC1 Dharam Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 07 years 08 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1201 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>06.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1202 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1659 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Ramesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 10 years 04 months and 09 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed twoweeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability as well as on delay in filing of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>24.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1203 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1660 of 2023**

**Ex.Sgt. Ashok Kumar Awasthi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 09 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1203 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)**

**Ex.A. No. 328 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 564 of 2022**

**CmdeGirideep Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 22.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 564/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</li><li>5. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)**

**Ex.A. No. 329 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 595 of 2021**

**Ex Hav. Prakash Babu** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 13.01.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 595/2021.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)**

**Ex.A. No. 330 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 748 of 2022**

**Ex.Sgt. Chandra Kant Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr.Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 31.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 748/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)**

**Ex.A. No. 369 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 645 of 2022**

**Ex.Sub Shankar Thapa** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 11.11.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 645/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)**

**Ex.A. No. 370 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 248 of 2018**

**Ex NK Shyam Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 01.09.2021 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 248/2018.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)**

**M.A. No. 1400 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1401 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 32 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. NK Prabhu Nath Tiwari**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1400/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents - Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 05.04.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 32/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 03 months and 24 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year and 03 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1401/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 1446 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1447 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 143 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Rect Uttam Kumar** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1446/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents -Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 23.05.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 143/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 01 day in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than a year and 02 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1447/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)**

**M.A. No. 1448 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1449 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. 450 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Sep /MT Dushyant Pratap Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1448/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents - Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 11.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 450/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 18 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1449/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 1452 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1129 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Col. Lokendra Singh Chauhan**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1129/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 16 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 14)**

**M.A. No. 1455 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1456 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 23 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Kamlesh Kumar Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India and Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 23/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months 17 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 15)**

**M.A. No. 1653 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1654 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 950 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Dfr Ved Prakash** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1653/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents -Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 950/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1654/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 16)**

**M.A. No. 1683 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1684 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 931 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Viswesh Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex Hav Prem Prakash** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1683/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents - Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 18.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 931/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 months and 07 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 07 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1684/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 17)**

**R.A. No. 57 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1451 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1130 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Ram Shankar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant to press the instant review petition.</p> <p>2. List on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 1)**

**EX-A. No. 97 of 2021 Inre: O.A. No. 445 of 2020**

**Ex Rfn Harvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, none present for the applicant. Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and affidavit to this effect has already been filed. He seeks further a week's time to file compliance report. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) <span style="float: right;">( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</span> Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left; font-size: small;">RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 2)**

**EX-A. No. 84 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 170 of 2019**

**Ex Sailor Sohan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Lt. Cdr Aman Chibber, MoD (Navy)/ DNL are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and seeks further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal and file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which explanation of the responsible office by way of an affidavit shall be filed.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant further submitted that cost has not been deposited. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost within a week.</p> <p>5. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1(SI. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 271 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 351 of 2021

**Ex Nk (TS) Ranjan Lal Vishwakarma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that order has been complied with and has filed compliance affidavit which is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the respondents' counsel.</p> <p>3. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 4)**

**EX-A. No. 346 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 767 of 2022**

**Ex LA (AH) Rajeev Singh Rathore** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded on 29.07.2022 and PPO has also been issued. He further stated that the account has been migrated to SPARSH, thus, fresh PPO is to be issued and seeks time for the same. Four weeks time is granted to comply with the order.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 5)**

**EX-A. No. 68 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 239 of 2022**

**Ex L/NK Sanjay Kumar Patel** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet NarayanSingh , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1(SI. No. 6)

EX-A. No. 69 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 207 of 2022

**Ex Hony Sub Maj Lal Bachan**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and is pending before the MoD. She prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No.1(SI. No. 7)

EX-A. No. 72 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 608 of 2021

**Lt Cdr Vineet Walia (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that a letter dated 22.08.2022 was written to the applicant for submitting certain documents and proposing name of the hospital convenient to him for conducting RSMB.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that documents required have already been submitted to the respondents.</p> <p>4. In view of above, this Tribunal directs the applicant to furnish the copy of the relevant/ required documents which are mentioned in the letter within a week to the respondents and thereafter the respondents shall fix the date of RSMB under intimation to the applicant well before 15 days.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>





Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 9)**

EX-A. No. 157 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 600 of 2021

**Ex Sub Jawahar Lal Mandal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that PPO has been issued and sought short date to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant admitted that PPO has been received but stated that interest component has not been granted.</p> <p>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b></p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 10)**

**EX-A. No. 159 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 386 of 2022**

**Sgt Brajesh Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1(SI. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 231 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 623 of 2022

**Ex - MCAA-II (Hony Sub Lt) Ram Naresh Maurya** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 12)**

**EX-A. No. 246 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 620 of 2022**

**Ex L/NK Gupta Bahadur Malla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VP Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>



Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 14)**

**EX-A. No. 303 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 429 of 2022**

**ERA3 Ajay Kumar (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.12.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 15)**

O.A. No. 834 of 2021

**Ex Cpl Ram Kripal Pandey**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri VK Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents sought adjournment to comply with the previous order.</p> <p>3. Perusal of the previous order reveals that a specific order has been passed on 09.05.2023 and 02.08.2023. Reasonable opportunity has already been given to comply with the orders but there is inaction on part of the respondents. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to comply with the specific directions given vide order dated 09.05.2023 and 02.08.2023 on or before the next date.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be provided to the Id. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>







Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 19)**

O.A. No. 456 of 2023

**Ex MCMECH (P)-II Nitendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Last opportunity is granted to file the same failing which the opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed and matter shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b></p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 470 of 2023**

**EX ERA3 Ashish Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Last opportunity is granted to file the same failing which the opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed and matter shall be decided on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p>( Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) ( Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 499 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Ram Bhajan Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg Cdr Ajeet Kakkar (Retd) holding brief for Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that counter affidavit has not been filed by the respondents. Last opportunity is granted to file the same failing which the opportunity to file counter affidavit shall stand closed and matter shall be decided according to law prevailing as on date.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.24 )**

**O.A. No. 581 of 2023**

**Ex CHMECH Bimal Kumar Roy** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 595 of 2023**

**Ex.Sgt. Vijay Kumar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed by tomorrow.</p> <p>3. List on <b>05.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 652 of 2023**

**Lt. Cdr L Sai Visawanath (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : GP Capt Y Venugopal (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under vetting and shall be filed shortly.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 672 of 2023 with M.A. No. 815 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Bom Bahadur Thapa** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. During course of hearing, it transpires that in order to explain the delay, sufficient cause and sufficient reasons to the satisfaction of the Tribunal is required. Before passing the order, applicant is granted an opportunity to substantiate it by way of document, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.29 )**

**O.A. No. 705 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Suresh Kumar Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed shortly.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (SI No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 906 of 2023 with M.A. No 1176 of 2023**

**Col Ravindra Singh (Retd)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this Original Application for grant of disability pension. Applicant has filed application for condonation of delay but there is no delay in filing of Original Application. Accordingly, delay condonation application is disposed off.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was commissioned in Indian Army on 24.07.1992 and was retired from service on 31.12.2022 in low medical category for the disabilities (i) Cervical Spondylosis and (ii), Inflammatory Bowel Disease. His composite disability was assessed @ 44% for life and considered as aggravated by service. Claim of the applicant for grant of disability element was rejected vide order dated 23.11.2022. His appeal for grant of disability element was also rejected vide order dated 12.04.2023. Applicant has filed this Original Application for grant of disability element.</p> <p>4. A Preliminary Objection has been raised by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents stating that applicant is resident of Distt- North Goa, State- Goa, which does not fall within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal, hence the application is not maintainable before this Tribunal.</p>

5. In regard to maintainability, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that before retirement from service and even till date the applicant is not settled permanently anywhere. At the time of retirement, in his service documents, he had given the address of his brother, who is permanently settled in Goa. He further submitted that while in service, the applicant had applied for re-employment in Army and wef 01.01.2023, the applicant was granted re-employment in the army as Zila Sainik Kalyan Adhikari, Fatehgarh, Distt-Farrukhabad (U.P.) The applicant is presently residing at House No -1, Sainik Kalyan Avam Punarvas Complex, Fatehgarh in the Govt accommodation allotted to him, which is his present address and the same is given in Original Application. For proof of address, a certificate issued by Directorate Sainik Kalyan Avam Punarvas, Kariyappa Bhavan Kesarbagh, Lucknow, Headquarters of Directorate Sainik Kalyan Avam Punarvas is attached with reply to objection on maintainability. Cause of action arose at Fatehgarh which is within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal, therefore, this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain this application.

6. In view of the submissions made above, objection raised by the respondents is rejected. We are of the view that this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain this Original Application and Original Application is maintainable before this Tribunal.

**O.A. No. 906 of 2023**

1. Case needs adjudication.
2. Admit.
3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
4. List on **11.12.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (SI No. 31)**

**O.A. No. 907 of 2023 with M.A. No 1177 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub KP Vijayan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KK Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri KK Misra, learned counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this Original Application for grant of disability pension after condoning delay of 10 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 25.04.1990 and was discharged from service on 30.04.2020 in low medical category for the disabilities (i) Primary Hypertension and (ii), Diabetes Mellitus Type- II. His disability Primary Hypertension was assessed as aggravated due to stress and strain of military service vide medical board dated 25.06.2015. In Dec 2019, Review Medical Board of the applicant was held at MH Sikandarabad. His (i) disability was assessed @ 30% and (ii) disability was assessed @ 20% and composite disability was assessed @ 40% for life and the disability Primary Hypertension was regarded as not aggravated due to stress and strain of military service which is wrong. Claim of the applicant for grant of disability element was rejected vide order dated 31.05.2020. His appeal for grant of disability element was also rejected vide order dated 21.06.2022. Applicant has filed this Original Application for grant of disability element.</p>

4. A Preliminary Objection has been raised by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents stating that applicant is resident of Annex-A, Nandanam Her, PO-Thamarayur, District- Guruvayur, which is situated in State of Kerala, wherefore this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application.

5. In regard to maintainability , Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Army Medical Corps and its Record Office is located at Lucknow. Cause of action arose at Lucknow which is within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. therefore, this Tribunal has jurisdiction. He further submitted that as per Rule 6 (i) (ii) of AFT (Procedure) Rule 2008, "Where the cause of action, wholly or in part, has arisen" an application can be filed.

6. In view of the submissions made above, we are of the view that since the Army Medical Corps Record Office, Lucknow has rejected the applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension vide letter dated 31.05.2020, as such the cause of action arose at Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, this Tribunal has jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application.

7. As regards delay, cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 907 of 2023**

1. Case needs adjudication.

2. Admit.

3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

4. List on **11.12.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.32 )**

**O.A. No. 1075 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1524 of 2016**

**Sunil Dutt** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar and, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file objection against the impleadment application filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 33)**

**O.A. No. 521 of 2021**

**Ex AC (U/T) Aditya Kashyap** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri J B S Rathour, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Arguments concluded.</li><li>3. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.34 )**

**O.A. No. 380 of 2022**

**Ex Rect Brijesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Arguments concluded.</li><li>3. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.35 )**

**O.A. No. 660 of 2022**

**Vijay Kumar Shukla, MCERA I (Hon SLT) (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>04.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Mrs. Navjot Puri, Section Officer, Dte. of Personnel Services alongwith Ld. Cdr Aman Chibber, MoD(Navy).</li><li>2. Arguments concluded.</li><li>3. <b>Order reserved.</b></li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>