

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 234 of 2023 with M.A. No. 242 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Devendra Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 242 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 39 years, 08 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant on the ground that disability claim of the applicant has been wrongly denied.</p> <p>In affidavit filed in support of application it is pleaded that applicant suffered injury during service due to being shot by some miscreants while he was availing leave. For the said reason the applicant was discharged in low medical category. Further, at the time of discharge he was not made aware about his right being granted disability element of pension to him for the disability suffered. With the result, the Original Application for the grant of disability element of pension could not be filed on time. It is further pleaded that delay, if any, in filing the Original application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer for condonation of delay inter alia on the ground that no plausible explanation has been offered by the applicant. There is a delay of more than 39 years in filing of Original Application which has not been properly and satisfactorily explained rather evasive explanation has been given which is not liable to be accepted, more so, when the applicant is required to offer explanation on day to day basis.</p>

We have gone through the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and we find that there is a long delay of more than 39 years which has not been properly and satisfactorily explained. It is settled in law that if a time limit is given in a statute to file application and it not filed in the same time, the applicant is under obligation to offer explanation of delay on day to day basis which the applicant has miserably failed in the application. With the result, delay condonation application is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, delay condonation application is **dismissed**.

Original Application is also **dismissed** being barred by time.

(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)  
Member (A)

(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 235 of 2023**

**Ex. Ris Bishambhar Singh Nai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 242 of 2023**

**Maj. Gen. Arup Kumar Sasmal AVSM (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava and Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 243 of 2023**

**Air Cmde Sanjay Kumar Sharma (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
GP Capt. Y. Venugopal (Retd.)

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Amit Asthana and Gp. Cap. Y. Venugopal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 244 of 2023 with M.A. No. 259 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Rakesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 07 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 244 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 245 of 2023 with M.A. No. 260 of 2023**

**Ex. CHEL (P) Rahul Bhagour**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 02 years, 03 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 245 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 248 of 2023 with M.A. No. 262 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Kailash Chand**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Praksash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Ved Prakash, Shri Devendra Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 16 years, 06 months and 17 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 248 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 249 of 2023 with M.A. No. 263 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Sarvesh Kumar Bajpai**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Ved Prakash, Shri Devendra Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">There is a delay of 05 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 249 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Ajeet Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Case needs adjudication.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**O.A. No. 253 of 2023 with M.A. No. 266 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Anil Kumar Sharma**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Heard Shri R.Chandra and Shri Rahul Chaurasiya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 266 of 2023</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: justify;">This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 25 years, 03 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in EME Corps of Indian Army on 28.09.1977 and was discharged from service in low medical category on 31.05.1995 with disability 'Primary Hypertension' which was assessed @30% for life, however, disability claim of the applicant was denied on the ground that disability was not connected with military service. It is submitted that that disability is connected with military service.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">It is further submitted that delay in filing the Original Application is not deliberate and being a pensionary matter law of limitation is not applicable.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is a long delay of more than 25 years in filing the Original Application and the same has not been properly and satisfactorily explained, therefore, delay should not be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case we are of the view that cause shown is sufficient.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">Accordingly delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands</p>

disposed off.

**O.A. No. 253 of 2023**

Case needs adjudication.

Admit.

Issue notice.

Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived. He may file Counter Affidavit within four weeks.

List on **17.05.2023**.

**(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**O.A. No. 258 of 2023 with M.A. No. 274 of 2023**

**Ex. ACP Nb Sub Chanchal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K. P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 02 years and 02 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 258 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 12)**

**O.A. No. 259 of 2023 with M.A. No. 274 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep Jagat Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 06 months and 13 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 259 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. NO. 264 of 2023**

**Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Sarvesh Kumar Yadava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K. P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 265 of 2023 with M.A. No. 278 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Ajay Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 10 months and 14 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 265 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 267 of 2023 with M.A. No. 280 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Anil Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K.S. Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 280 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>This application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 04 years, 10 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 21.10.1997 and was invalided out from service on 08.03.1998 on account of being in low medical category for the disabilities "Hydrocele (RT) ICD No. 603" and "Undescended Testes (RT) ICD No. 725.5". Applicant had filed Civil Misc. Writ Petition No. 20411 of 19098 in the Hon'ble Allahabad High Court against his order of invalidation which was later on transferred to this Tribunal and registered as Transferred Application (T.A.) No. 1331 of 2010. The Transferred Application was decided by this Tribunal vide order dated 27.10.2015 holding that order of discharge passed by the respondents does not suffer any impropriety or illegality. However, with regard to grant of disability pension, applicant was directed to prefer Statutory Complaint to the competent authority to be decided within three months. Thereafter, applicant preferred a Statutory Complaint to the competent authority vide representation dated 14.11.2015 and 24.08.2020 which are still pending. Thus, it is submitted that delay, if any, in filing the Original Application is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that there is a long delay of more than 24 years and the</p>

same has not been properly and satisfactorily explained, therefore, delay should not be condoned.

In view of submission made by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant and also considering the facts and circumstances of the case we are of the view that delay in filing the Original Application is liable to be condoned.

Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 267 of 2023**

Case needs adjudication.

Admit.

Issue notice to the respondents.

Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents, hence service of notice is waived. He is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.

List on **18.05.2023**.

**(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 270 of 2023**

**Maj. Preeti Mishra (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 271 of 2023 with M.A. No. 285 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Sudhakar Shukla**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 07 years, 01 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 271 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**Ex. A. No. 41 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 325 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub (Hony Lt) Jahir Khan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 325 of 2022 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element @30% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of discharge within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.</p> <p>The order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>19.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**Ex. A. No. 44 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 326 of 2022**

**Ex. Ris Satendra Singh Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 326 of 2022 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element @40% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life from the next date of discharge within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.</p> <p>The order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>19.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**Ex. A. No. 45 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 390 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Ghewari Ramchandra Ganpati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar , Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 13.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 390 of 2022 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element @40% for life which would be rounded off to 50% for life w.e.f. three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.</p> <p>The order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on <b>19.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**M.A. No. 243 of 2023 with M.A. No. 244 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 588 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. Ck (SD) Lalit Narayan Thakur** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others and Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the respondent herein.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 02.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 588 of 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months and 21 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for both sides, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**M.A. No. 247 of 2023 with M.A. No. 248 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 504 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. Nahar Singh**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the applicants –Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 504 of 2022.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 10 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 23)

M.A. No. 279 of 2023 Inre R.A. No. 8 of 2023 Inre Ex. A. No. 51 of 2018  
with M.A. No. 303 of 2020 & O.A. No. 169 of 2011

**Lt. Col. (Now Col.) Lokesh Kandpal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is an application for leave to appeal under Section 30 read with Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 06.10.2017 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 169 of 2011. After perusing the orders impugned, we find that no much or less point of law of general public importance involved for grant leave to appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**R.A. No. 15 of 2023 with M.A. No. 258 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 527 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Rajveer Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Indra Prasad Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Central Government Counsel appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record.</p> <p>No one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 326 days in filing the application for reviewing the order dated 09.12.2021 in Original Application No.527 of 2021 by which the Original Application filed for the grant of pensionary benefits to the applicant after setting aside his order of discharge has been dismissed.</p> <p>Applicant has pleaded that order passed in the Original Application suffers with error apparent on the face of record. It is further pleaded that delay in filing the application is not intentional, but due to COVID Pandemic, flood, poverty and financial problems of the applicant, therefore, the same be condoned and Review Application be admitted for hearing.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer on the ground that order passed in Original Application does not suffer from any error apparent on the face of record. In fact applicant wants this Tribunal to revisit the order passed on merit after hearing at length Ld. Counsel of both sides in the garb of review which is not permissible in law. He further submits that if applicant feels aggrieved with the order, he has remedy to file Appeal against the same under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007, review can only be sought if there is any error in the order apparent on the face of record.</p> <p>As regards delay, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that applicant has assigned so many reasons for not filing the Review Application on time, however, none of them is correct. Only a period of 30 days has been provided in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for filing the Review Application as against which applicant has filed this application after 326 days for which no plausible explanation has been offered by him on day to day basis wherefore delay not be condoned.</p> <p>We have gone through the grounds taken in the affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application and we find that they are not sufficient to condone a long delay of 326 days in filing the Review Application. There being 30 days time</p>

provided in the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for filing Review Application. Applicant is under obligation to file the Review Application within the said time and if it is not done for any reason the same needs to be explained on cogent reasons on day to day basis. Since no cogent reason has been assigned rather evasive explanation has been offered for not filing the Review Application on time we find that delay is not liable to be condoned. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.

We have gone through the order sought to be reviewed and are of the view that no apparent error in it, therefore, Review Application is **dismissed** on both on the ground of delay and on merit.

**(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**R.A. No. 16 of 2023 Inre T.A. No. 12 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Pawan Kumar Kharwar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>As prayed, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>List on <b>21.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**Ex. A. No. 84 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 170 of 2019**

**Ex. Sailor Sohan Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>Corrigendum PPO is yet to be issued.</p> <p>It must be issued within four weeks failing which respondents will be liable to pay cost.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents within two days for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**Ex. A. No. 112 of 2022 with M.A.45 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 253 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Sunder Lal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Pricnipal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>An application has been made on behalf of Shri Saket Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 praying to adjourn the case today.</p> <p>In compliance to order PPO has been issued and received in the concerned Bank, however, payment is not being made due to descriptive roll being not received along with PPO.</p> <p>After PPO being received payment cannot be withheld for want of descriptive roll. Respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are, therefore, directed to release payment henceforth and file affidavit of compliance within four weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>27.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 29)**

**Ex. A. No. 140 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 420 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. Rajiv Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents: Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Pricnipal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that case file of the applicant for compliance of the order is pending with the office of Principal Integrated Financial Advisor, Army Headquarters, New Delhi since 09.12.2022.</p> <p>Oder must be complied with within four weeks from today failing which officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain physically present in Tribunal on the next date fixed to show cause why necessary action be not taken against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>Cost, if any, due against the respondents be deposited by the next date fixed.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 30)**

**Ex. A. No. 358 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 5 of 2022**

**Lt. Col. Amar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that since case file of the applicant has been processed after dismissal of Leave to Appeal in January, 2023, four weeks further time be granted, which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 31)

Ex. A. No. 360 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 747 of 2021

**Ex. MWO Krishna Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that some more time is required in compliance of the order, case be adjourned, which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 32)**

**Ex. A. No. 382 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 482 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. Pawan Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Government Sanction is still awaited.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>List on <b>18.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 33)**

**M.A. No. 1263 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 882 of 2022**

**Tanuja Kumari Pandey, W/o late Gdsm Abhishek Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri A.K.Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 1263 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri A.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This application has been filed to recall the order dated 11.11.2022 in Original Application No. 882 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1091 of 2022 by which the Original Application was dismissed in default.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that he was out of station on the date fixed i.e. 11.11.2022 due to APO examination of his wife scheduled in Patna, Bihar from 12.11.2022 to 15.11.2022 as result of which he was not available in the Court when the case was called out and it was dismissed in default.</p> <p>Grounds being sufficient, recall application is <b>allowed</b> and order dated 11.11.2022 is <b>recalled</b>.</p> <p>Let Original Application along with Misc. Application be restored to its number.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 882 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1091 of 2022</u></b></p> <p>Objection may be filed within two weeks. List on <b>28.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 34)**

**O.A. No. 113 of 2022**

**Hav Cik/SD Brijesh Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate  
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Shri Amarendu Srivastava and Ms. Hemlata, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 7 are present.</p> <p>Despite notice being held sufficient upon respondent Nos. 3, 4 and 5 they are not present to contest the case.</p> <p>No Counter Affidavit has been filed by respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 7 as well.</p> <p>Counter Affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 7 may be filed within four weeks positively.</p> <p>List on <b>10.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 35)**

**O.A. No. 167 of 2022**

**Hony. Lt. Mahendra Nath Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Supplementary Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Facts regarding rejection of Statutory Complaint have not been brought on record yet.</p> <p>It may be brought on record within two weeks failing which opportunity will be closed.</p> <p>List on <b>03.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 36)**

**O.A. No. 914 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Amar Niwas Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 37)**

**O.A. No. 948 of 2022**

**Ex. JWO Raghbir Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>23.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 38)**

**O.A. No. 998 of 2022**

**Sgt. Mahendra Kumar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 39)**

**O.A. No. 1131 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Govind Singh Gautam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>26.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 40)**

**O.A. No. 1133 of 2022**

**Ex. WO Vivek Vardhan Dubey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>12.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 41)**

**O.A. No. 1135 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Shushil Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>13.04.2023</b>.</p> <p>Name of Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate be not shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 42)**

**O.A. No. 20 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub Ram Pal Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Gaharwar, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh, Advocate  
Shri Dinesh Kumar Upadhyay, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Gaharwar, Shri Ajit Singh and Shri Dinesh Kumar Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>27.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 43)**

**O.A. No. 21 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Dubey Achay Radheshyam** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upsana Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awashti, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upsana Mishra and Shri Kapil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awashti, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>13.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 45)**

**O.A. No. 23 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Kamlesh Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey , Advocate  
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>13.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 46)**

**R.A. No. 49 of 2022 with M.A. No. 493 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 51 of 2017**

**Ex. Hav. Rajeshwar Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Adjournment has been sought by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant on the ground of his ill health, which is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>03.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4  
{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No.1 (Sl. No. 47)

T.A. No. 42 Of 2022

**Ashutosh Tiwari**  
**S/o Late Hav Chandrika Prasad Tiwari** Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file objection against maintainability of the Original Application.</p> <p>List on <b>23.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 48)**

**O.A. No. 276 of 2020**

**Ex Sigm. Pramesh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant Ex. Sigm. Pramesh is present in person.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is also present.</p> <p>The applicant submits that since his Counsel Shri Om Prakash Kushwaha is not well wherefore he has not engage a new Counsel, time be granted for the same.</p> <p>Three weeks time is granted to engage a new Counsel in the case.</p> <p>List on <b>27.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 200px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 49)**

**O.A. (A) No. 39 of 2017 with M.A. 1237 of 2018**

**Smt Sunita Singh**  
**W/o Abhishek Kumar Singh (In Custody)** Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate  
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Jitendar Saksena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashish Asthana, Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Jitendar Saksena Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed, list on <b>21.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 50)**

**O.A. (A) No. 689 of 2021**

**Ex L/Nk Surendra Kumar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>28.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Respondents are directed to produce original documents pertaining to the case on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 51)**

**T.A. No. 4 of 2019**

**Virendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nand Kishore Vuttsya, Advocate  
Shri Hemendra Pratap Singh, Advocate  
Shri Deepak Vuttsya, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Deepak Vuttsya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Transferred Application is <b>allowed</b> for the grant of disability pension.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 290 of 2023 with M.A. No. 320 of 2023**

**Wg. Cdr. Satyendra Kumar Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar and Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 06 months and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 290 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>17.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)**

**M.A. No. 318 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 484 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. Inder Pal Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.03.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, correction application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">In the order dated 14.11.2022 in Original Application No. 484 of 2022 the name of the applicant be corrected as “<b>Ex. Hav. Indrapal Singh</b>” in place of “Ex. Hav. Inder Pal Singh”.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The aforesaid correction be also made in the Original Application also.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>