

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 967 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1284 of 2023**

**Ex. SEA I Om Shankar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</li><li>2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>3. There is a delay of 10 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 967 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Issue notice to the respondents returnable within four weeks.</li><li>9. Applicant is directed to take steps within a week.</li><li>10. Respondents may file Counter Affidavit within four weeks. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>11. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 987 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1312 of 2023**

**Col (TS) Ajit Kumar Mallik(Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</li><li>2. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 06 years, 10 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 987 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 990 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1319 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Arun Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 11 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 990 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 992 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1325 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Rajesh Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
Ms Alka Saxsena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next</li><li>2. Heard Ms. Alka Saxsena, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 06 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 992 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 998 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1329 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Dinseh Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Tatsat Shukla, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Dhiraj Kumar, Shri Tatsat Shukla and Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 02 years and 04 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 998 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>25.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 999 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1330 of 2023**

**Ex WO Sarat Kumar Swain** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. As per office there is not delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been filed which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection against the maintainability of the Original Application stating that the applicant has not filed any document in support of his residence.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that on the basis of rent agreement this Original Application has been filed. The documents in support thereof has not been filed.</li><li>6. Respondents may file objection against maintainability of the Original Application, if they desire.</li><li>7. The applicant is directed to file the rent agreement by the next date fixed.</li><li>8. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 1000 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1331 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Ram Karan Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Navneet Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Arvind Kumar Bhandari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that respondents want to file objection against the maintainability of instant Original Application stating that applicant has not filed copy of Postal Receipt regarding sending of Appeal.</li><li>4. Let objection be filed within a week.</li><li>5. List on <b>04.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No.1004 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1335 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Raj Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 02 months and 02 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1004 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1005 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1336 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Khub Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate  
Shri Saurabh Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav and Shri Saurabh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 02 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1005 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

Dairy No. 2792 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 196 of 2020

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Gdr (NR) Ram Autar Yadava** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – UoI &amp; Others.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India submits that as the certified copy of order dated 07.07.2023 in Original Application No. 196 of 2020 has been delivered to him on 14.07.2023 as such there is no delay in filing of Leave to Appeal.</li><li>3. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India we hold that there is no delay in filing of Leave to Appeal.</li><li>4. Accordingly, registry is directed to register the Misc. Application (Leave to Appeal).</li><li>5. List on <b>04.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 11)**

**Ex. A. No. 271 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 737 of 2022**

**Ex. CPO HS Munder Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 25.01.2023 in Original Application No. 737 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 12)**

**Ex. A. No. 272 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 2 of 2023**

**Ex.MC EAR II Bhushan Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 20.02.2023 in Transferred Application No. 02 of 2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 13)**

**R.A. No. 53 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 70 of 2022**

**Ex. MWO Dinesh Kumar Dwivedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dinesh Kumar Dwivedi, Advocate  
Shri Shyam Singh Somvanshi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dinesh Kumar Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and WO S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative submits that copy of Review Application has not been supplied to the respondents, hence respondents are not in a position to file objection against Review Application.</li><li>4. Applicant is directed to supply the copy of Review Application forthwith.</li><li>5. Respondents may be file objection, if any, within two weeks.</li><li>6. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**Ex. A. No. 38 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 292 of 2020**

**Ex. Sep. Jag Vijay Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that in compliance of the order PPO has been issued yesterday.</li><li>3. Respondents are directed to file affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO by the next date fixed.</li><li>4. Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate holding brief of Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that due to personal reason Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not present in Tribunal. He seeks adjournment.</li><li>5. The case is adjourned.</li><li>6. Since the PPO has already been issued in the mater, hence, there is no need for personal appearance of responsible officer.</li><li>7. Accordingly, the order for personal appearance is dispensed with.</li><li>8. List on <b>13.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 196 of 2022 Inre T.A. No. 16 of 2016**

**Ex. Rect. Ashutosh Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</li><li>2. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj states that claim for issuance of PPO has been received by the PCDA and the PPO shall be issued shortly.</li><li>3. Let affidavit of compliance be filed within two weeks.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed.</li><li>5. List on <b>14.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**Ex. A. No. 247 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 264 of 2021**

**Ex. Sgt. Ashutosh Misra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</li><li>2. Shri Rajeev Kumar Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj submitted that order been complied with and arrears have been paid to the applicant. He further submitted that interest part has already been paid.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that Supplementary Affidavit of Compliance will be filed by the next date fixed, which is allowed.</li><li>4. The applicant may file objection, if he desires.</li><li>5. List on <b>15.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**Ex. A. No. 334 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 871 of 2022**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Arvind Bhushan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Col. Jagjot Singh, Director, PS-4, AG's Branch/PS Directorate, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, 'A' Block, KG Marg, New Delhi is present in person and he has filed his personal affidavit which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. In the affidavit Col. Jagjot Singh, Director, PS-4, AG's Branch/PS Directorate, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, 'A' Block, KG Marg, New Delhi has stated on 01.07.2022 the file was received from Line Directorate by the PS Directorate. On 14.10.2022 the file was submitted by PS Directorate to Financial Advisor for absolute sanction. On 14.11.2022 the file was received from Financial Advisor by PS Directorate with observation. On 09.12.2022 the file was again submitted to Financial Advisor. On 21.04.2023 the file was received from Financial Advisor with observation. On 07.07.2023 file was submitted by PS Directorate to Ministry of Defence to link with case of Col. Raghunath Swain. On 21.07.2023 the file was received from Ministry of Defence by PS Directorate. Ministry of Defence has directed to file Writ Petition in Hon'ble High Courts in all the cases linked with Col. Swain case. On 08.08.2023 the file was re-submitted by PS Directorate to Ministry of Defence for Conditional Sanction to honour the AFT judgment pending the filing of Appeal. He further stated that that presently the matter is beyond the powers of PS Directorate and rests with higher authority in the Ministry of Defence D (Pension)/Legal.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, personal appearance of Director, PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi is dispensed with.</p> <p>5. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed.</p> <p>6. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 345 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 650 of 2020**

**Ex. Sep. Sachida Nand Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Col. Yogendra Moha, Additional Officer, DSC Directorate (Army Headquarters), West Block-III, R.K. Puram, New Delhi is present in person and he has filed his personal affidavit.</li><li>3. In the personal affidavit Col. Yogendra Moha, Additional Officer, DSC Directorate (Army Headquarters), West Block-III, R.K. Puram, New Delhi wherein the details of moving of the applicant's file have been mentioned. It is also stated that on 10.08.2023 the case file has been submitted to MoD/D (Pension/Legal) for issue of conditional Government Sanction on 01.08.2023. On 11.08.2023 AG/PS-4 (Pension/Legal) vide letter dated 11.08.2023 intimated that JS (ESW) directed to file an Appeal/Writ Petition in concerned High Court through concerned Legal Cell. Accordingly, DSC Directorate vide letter dated 16.08.2023 directed Legal Cell HQ Madhya UP sub Area and DSC Records to file Appeal/Writ Petition on top priority. The case file of the applicant is now pending with HQ, MUPSA (Legal Cell), Lucknow for filing Appeal/Writ Petition in High Court. It is also stated that DSC Directorate is only an intermediately Headquarters between Sanctioning Authority (ADG (PS)/MoD] (Pension/Legal) and implementation Authority (OIC Records and PCDA (P), Prayagraj.</li><li>4. In view of the above, personal appearance of the Director, DSC Directorate (Army Headquarters), New Delhi is dispensed with.</li><li>5. List on <b>20.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                              <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**Ex. A. No. 13 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 537 of 2022**

**Ex. Rect. Ram Moorat Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. The respondents have already been granted three opportunities to make compliance of the order.</li><li>3. However, on the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance as a last opportunity failing which responsible officer shall appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reasons for non-compliance of the order.</li><li>4. List on <b>03.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 73 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 588 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Ck. (SD) Lalit Narayan Thakur** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that applicant's file is pending with the office of Director, PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.</li><li>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to make compliance of the order on payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed.</li><li>5. List on <b>09.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex. A. No. 80 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 498 of 2021**

**Ex. NK. Liyakat Ansari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that applicant's file is pending with the office of Director, PS-4, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to make compliance of the order failing which respondents shall pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>09.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 89 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 494 of 2022**

**Ex. Nk. Laxman Saini** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj. Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Maj. Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 150 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 269 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Manzoor Ali** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that file is pending with the Line Directorate.</p> <p>3. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex. A. No. 151 of 2023 In Ref. Inre : O.A. No. 798 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. Rajendra Prasad Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Matri Datt Tripathi , Advocate  
Shri Lal Mani, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>2. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that case file of the applicant is pending with the Line Directorate.</li><li>4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents six weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**Ex. A. No.156 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 233 of 2021**

**Ex. Rect. Nikil Singh Tomar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**O.A. No. 204 of 2023**

**Nk. Akhil George** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**O.A. No. 368 of 2023**

**Ex. Gnr. Sahadev Das** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>15.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**O.A. No. 369 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Pradeep Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh and Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>partly allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 416 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Vijay Kumar Singh Rahtore** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R K S Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments has been received and the Counter Affidavit is under preparation. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>27.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 418 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Rajendra Prasad Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>partly allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 426 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Pankaj Kumar Triwedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file Rejoinder Affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 440 of 2023**

**Ex. Ris. Sukhvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey and Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity failing which opportunity shall be closed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 464 of 2023**

**Ex. ACP NK Ram Pal Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 466 of 2023 with M.A. No. 559 of 2023**

**Ex. NK Rajendra Bhagat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate  
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate  
Dr. S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Supplementary Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised objection against the maintainability of the Original Application before this Tribunal. He submitted that the applicant during service applicant was prosecuted on the complaint of his wife, namely, Smt. Lalita Kumari lodged F.I.R. NO. 204/2014 at PS Sisai under Section 498A, 323, 417, 419, 34 IPC against the entire family of the applicant, District Gumla, Jharkhand. The learned trial Court convicted the applicant under Section 498A IPC to undergo simple imprisonment of 3 years. Thereafter, dismissal order has been passed by the Brig. Commander, Brig. Of Guards Regiment Centre under the provisions of Regulation 423 of the Regulations for the Army, 1987, Section 20(3) of the Army Act, 1950 read in conjunction with Rule 17 of the Army Rules, 1954 and Army Order 28/2001/DV with effect from 14.07.2020 for living found guilty by Session Court, Gumla, Jharkhand and sentenced to three year under Section 498A IPC. The applicant was posted Guards Regiment at District Gumla, Jharkhand, as such he had no relation to either with State of U.P. or with Lucknow. He further, submitted that this Tribunal has no territorial jurisdiction to entertain the Original Application and it comes with the territorial jurisdiction of AFT, RB, Kolkata. He further submitted that applicant has not enclosed any documents showing him the residence of Chinhat, District – Lucknow.</li><li>4. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant, who has ceased to be in service by reason of dismissal from service and want of earning bread and butter for himself and family, is ordinarily residing on rent at</li></ol>

C/o Captain Rajesh Singh, House No. 304, Anand Lok Colony, Shahpur, Chinhat, Lucknow, UP. In support of his submission applicant has filed copy of Rent Agreement.

5. Rule 6(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure), Rules, 2008 reads as under :-

*“Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-rule (1), a person who has ceased to be in service by reason of his retirement, dismissal, discharge, cashiering, release, removal, resignation or termination of service may, at his option, file an application with the Registrar of the bench within whose jurisdiction such person is ordinarily residing at the time of filing of the application.”*

6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both sides and going through the records we find that after dismissal the applicant is ordinarily residing at C/o Captain Rajesh Singh, House No. 304, Anand Lok Colony, Shahpur, Chinhat, Lucknow, UP as such in view of Rule 6(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 2008 this Tribunal has territorial jurisdiction to adjudicate the instant Original Application.

7. Accordingly, we hold that the Original Application is **maintainable**.

8. There is a delay of 07 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.

9. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the parties we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is **condoned**. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.

**O.A. No. 466 of 2023**

10. Case needs adjudication.

11. Admit.

12. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

13. List on **25.10.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)  
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 470 of 2023**

**Ex ERA3 Ashish Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on <b>04.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. (A) No. 292 of 2016**

**Shaik Shabber** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri G.K. Gaur, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri G K Gaur, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>26.09.2023 before the appropriate Bench.</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 310 of 2020**

**Nityanand Mondal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyan Singh Chauhan , Advocate  
Shri K.B. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Ashish Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 459 of 2020**

**Sub Raeesul Hasan (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</li><li>3. List on <b>06.10.2023</b>.</li><li>4. Original documents be retained with AFT Legal Cell to produce on the next date fixed for perusal of the Bench.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 449 of 2021 with M.A. No. 556 of 2023**

**Sep. Deepak Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>22.08.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Rohit Kumar, Advocate holding brief of Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>