

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1028 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1382 of 2023

Ex. MCAA-II (Hony S/Lt) Rajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 years 04 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1028 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 01.11.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1029 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1383 of 2023

Ex. MCELA-I (Hony /Lt) Ved Prakash Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 09 years 03 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application. 4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1029 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 01.11.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1030 of 2023

Ex. Nb Sub Raj Kumar Lawania Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar,Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Matter pertains to disability pension.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on 06.11.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1032 of 2023

Hav. Pawar Manoj Ravindra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order of the applicant. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the instant case is not maintainable. He seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection against the maintainability of the O.A. 5. List on 16.10.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1033 2023 with M.A. No. 1387 of 2023

Hav. Jadeja PruthvirajsinhJayubha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awashti, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order of the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application. 4. Delay is condoned for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1033 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant has been wrongly discharged by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 08.11.2023. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1035 of 2023

Hav/Clk (SD) Sunil Kumar Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order of the applicant.</div><div>4. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>5. Admit.</div><div>6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>7. List on 08.11.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1036 of 2023

Sub Clk (SD) Pradip Kumar Jana Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudheer Singh Raghuvanshi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudheer Singh Raghuvanshi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the instant petition is not maintainable. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the O.A. 4. List on 29.09.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

C.A. No. 2 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 412 of 2021

Ex. NK Madan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the instant petition is not maintainable. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objections with regard to maintainability of the petition.</p> <p>4. List on 15.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 300 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 93 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Sumeshwar Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate
Shri V.K. Chahar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>29.08.2023</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 19.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 93/2022.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</div><div>5. List on 10.10.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 301 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 807 of 2021

Ex. Sigmn Gajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K.Singh, Advocate
Shri V.K. Chahar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 23.03.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 807/2021.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</p> <p>5. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 302 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 589 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Varghese Job

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 08.04.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 589/2021.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks’ time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</div><div>5. List on 11.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)

Ex.A. No. 303 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 429 of 2022

ERA3 Ajay Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 03.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 429/2022. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report. 5. List on 04.10.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 1388 of 2023 T.A. No. 13 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants/Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sgt. Amrendra Kumar Prasad
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. HeardDr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondent – Uol&Ors.</p> <p>2. Through M.A No. 1388/2023 applicants (respondents in the O.A) have sought correction in judgement and order dated 15.05.2023 passed by this Tribunal in T.A No. 13 of 2023, Ex. Sgt.Amrendra Kumar Prasad and Uol.</p> <p>3. It has been submitted that in Para 9 of the judgement it has inadvertently been typed as ‘from date of discharge’ instead of ‘from next date of discharge’.</p> <p>4. Perusal of the file and judgement reveals that it is a typographical error, hence, correction application is allowed. Necessary correction has been incorporated in the judgement dated 15.05.2023.</p> <p>5. Registry is directed to provide the corrected copy of the judgement to the Id. Counsel for the parties.</p> <p>6. M.A No. 1388/2023 stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 1389 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1390 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 933 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants/ Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Maj. Ashish Shakya

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondent – Uol&Ors 2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 29.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 933/2022. 3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months 25 days in filing of application be condoned. 4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly. 5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted. 6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 15)

R.A. No. 55 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1378 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 202 of 2019

Union of India & Others

Applicants/ Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Shyam Singh Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sep. Jitendar Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondent – UoI&Ors. 2. Issue notice to the Opposite Party, who may file objection(s), if any, on or before the next date. 3. List on 16.10.2023. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 69 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 583 of 2017

Ex. Sep. Ajay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the applicant's counsel who may file objection, if any, on or before the next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. List on 26.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No 1 (Ser No 2)

Ex. A. No 84 of 2022 Inre O.A. No 170 of 2019

Ex Sailor Sohan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023.</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that O.A. No 170 of 2019 was decided by this Tribunal vide order dated 22.03.2021. Operative portion of the order is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“10. As a result of foregoing discussion, the O.A. is allowed. The impugned order dated 22.11.1973 is set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by military service. Since applicant's disability was assessed for two years from the date of discharge, he is eligible for disability pension for that period only. The respondents are directed to hold applicant's Re-survey Medical Board (RSMB) afresh for re-assessing his present medical condition within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Further entitlement of disability element of pension shall be subject to outcome of RSMB.”</i></p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant also submitted that in R.S.M.B. held under the order of this Tribunal, disability of the applicant has been assessed @ 40% for life. Respondents have restricted the arrears for a period of three years prior to filing of Original Application, which is incorrect.</p> <p>4. In Order Sheet dated 23.01.2023 it has been mentioned that applicant has been granted disability pension @ 40% for life from the date of discharge, however arrears have been restricted to three years prior to filing of the Original Application i.e. 01.09.2015. Further respondents have been</p>

	<p>directed to issue a Corrigendum PPO entitling applicant to draw arrears from the date of discharge and not from three years prior to date of filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. There is variation between the arguments of learned counsel of both the parties which needs to be heard in the Court.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, learned counsel of both the parties are directed to explain their position on the next date fixed.</p> <p>7. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>Ukt/-</p>
--	--

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 323 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 25 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Aditya Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process, government sanction has been accorded. He seeks and is allowed further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. It is noted that cost imposed has not been deposited. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost on or before the next date.</p> <p>4. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Ex. A. No. 361 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 32 of 2022

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the applicant's counsel who may file objection, if any, on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 383 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 637 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Virender Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As per order dated 07.07.2023, Capt. Arun Singh Manhas, DSC Records, Kannur, Kerala is also present. He is directed to appraise the status of the case through an affidavit during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit on or before the next date otherwise coercive action shall be taken against the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 385 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 452 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Shrawan Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R K S Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri R K S Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. It has been informed that RSMB has been done and matter is pending before the PS-4, MoD for issuance of fresh government sanction.</p> <p>3. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex. A. No 04 of 2023 Inre T.A. No 295 of 2010

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023.</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra 1`Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj Gen Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, learned counsel for the respondents on Execution Application.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that T.A. No 1295 of 2010 was allowed vide this Tribunal dated 25.10.2017. Operative portion of the order is as under:-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>“26. The result of discussions made hereinabove is that the T.A. deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. Impugned order dated 15.06.2004 discharging the petitioner from Army service with effect from 31.10.2004 and order dated 14.02.2006 rejecting statutory complaint of the petitioner are set aside with all consequential benefit. The petitioner shall be deemed to be continuing in service for the purpose of other service benefits till end of his tenure in the rank he was holding at the time of discharge. He will be entitled to back wages for the remaining period of service and pension for the full length of service. Let consequential benefits be provided to the petitioner in terms of the present order expeditiously say within four months from the date of presentation of a certified copy of this order.”</i></p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that order of the Tribunal has not been fully complied with since the applicant was not granted two years extension of service and he was not considered for promotion to the rank of Sub Maj.</p>

	<p>4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that this is third Execution Application filed by the applicant for implementation of order dated 25.01.2017 passed in T.A. No 1295 of 2010. First Execution Application No 193 of 2017 was disposed off finally vide order dated 07.02.2018 and second execution application No 33 of 2021 was finally decided vide order dated 29.04.2022. The date of discharge of the applicant was revised from 31.05.2008 to 31.05.2009 upto completion of 28 years of service in compliance of the order of this Tribunal without consideration for extension of service and corrigendum PPO dated 28.02.2022 was issued. Applicant has been paid outstanding wages and nothing is due. Instant Execution Application has no substance and is liable to be dismissed.</p> <p>5. We have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the documents available on record.</p> <p>6. In pursuance to order of this Tribunal, respondents reconsidered the applicant's case and date of discharge of the applicant was revised from 31.05.2008 to 31.09.2009 and applicant was discharged from service on completion of terms of engagement. Corrigendum PPO dated 28.02.2022 was issued and applicant was paid Rs. 8,45,265/- towards arrears of pay and allowances. He was in permanent low medical category, hence extension for two years was not granted to him in public interest in terms of Additional Directorate General Pers Services Adjutant General's Branch letter No B/33098/AG/PS2/(c) dated 21 Sep 1998. In order of the Tribunal dated 25.01.2017 it was directed that <i>"the applicant shall be deemed to be continue in service for the purpose of other service benefits till end of his tenure in the rank he was holding at the time of discharge and shall be granted back wages for the remaining period of service and pension for the full length of service"</i>. The rank individual was holding at the time of discharge was of Sub for which he has been given the benefit of legal service. Having reason that benefit of the order of this Tribunal. The applicant has filed yet another additional Execution Application for promotion to Sub Maj. Applicant was not given benefit to continue in service for the purpose of other consequential</p>
--	---

	<p>benefits, such as promotion to the rank of Sub Maj. Rank held by the applicant was Sub and he was discharged on completion of terms of engagement in the rank he was holding.</p> <p>7. This execution application has been moved without any substance. There is no order to grant him extension for two years and to promote him to the rank of Sub Maj, therefore, the grievance of the applicant has no substance. Taking a note of the order passed by this Tribunal, we find that applicant was purely allowed for notional retention in service. It does not give any right to him for extension of service for two years when in permanent low medical category which is not allowed as per rules and to promote him to the rank of Sub Maj Hony Lt/Capt and get salary and other perks of this rank.</p> <p>8. The order of the Tribunal has been fully complied with and nothing is due. Applicant's claim being based on imagination is not maintainable and Execution Application is liable to be dismissed.</p> <p>9. Accordingly, this Execution Application being devoid of merit is hereby dismissed.</p> <div><div><p>(Maj Gen Sanjay Singh) Member (A)</p><p>Ukt/-</p></div><div><p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p></div></div>
--	---

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 162 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 693 of 2022

Ex. Hav.(SHT) Ashok Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri D. K. Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V P Pandey,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey holding brief for Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 163 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 899 of 2022

Col.Arun Kumar (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Vikram holding brief for Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction is still awaited. He seeks and is granted two weeks further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. During course of hearing, it is observed that in previous order dated 06.07.2023 rounding off percentage is erroneously shown as "from 30% to 75%" which is to be read as "from 30% to 50%".</p> <p>4. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 166 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 501 of 2022

Ex. MWO Surendra Nath Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 13.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 167 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 489 of 2022

Ex. JWO Bhagwan Singh Sengar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 13.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

O.A. No. 150 of 2023

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Wg. Cdr. S. N. Dwivedi (Retd.) , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that para-wise comments have not been received. She prays for and is granted last opportunity of two week's time to file CA. It is made clear that if CA is not filed by the next date, opportunity to file the same shall stand closed and the case shall be decided on the basis of material available on record.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 261 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Sanjay Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. HeardWg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. O.A is allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 270 of 2023

Maj. Preeti Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that amendment application was allowed and the applicant has amended the petition. He seeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. It is noticed that already three adjournments have been granted to the respondents. Last opportunity is granted to him to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 15.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 438 of 2023

Ex. Gnr. Praveen KumarApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms.Priyanka Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Ms.Priyanka Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under vetting. He prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 15.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 593 of 2023

Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dyani (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh holding brief for Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under vetting. He prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 15.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 594 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sumit Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate,
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. During hearing it transpires that disability record by the RMB is under challenge. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that he wants to file supplementary CA alongwith certain medical documents. Allowed as prayed.</p> <p>4. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 595 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Vijay Kumar Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>29.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 04.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 596 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. 3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit. 4. O.A is partly allowed. 5. Detailed order on separate sheets. 6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

O.A. No. 701 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 12.09.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 226 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Ramu SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>29.08.2023 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. During hearing, it transpires that CA has not been filed in this fresh O.A. 3. So far as contention of the Id. Counsel for the respondents that it has already been filed, that is not to be considered in this O.A, therefore, this Tribunal directs the respondents to file counter affidavit alongwith other documents as required by this Tribunal vide order dated 02.11.2022 on or before the next date. 4. List on 06.10.2023. 5. Copy of the order be provided to the parties as per rules.</div> <div>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 397 of 2021

Rect/Dressar Adarsh Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>29.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Amended petition has been filed by the applicant and the copy of the same has been furnished to the Id. Counsel for the respondents who may file supplementary counter affidavit on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 16.10.2023.</p> <p>(Maj. Gen. Sanjay Singh) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>