

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**R.A. No. 8 of 2023**

**Inre : Ex. A. No. 51 of 2018 with M.A. No. 303 of 2020 & O.A. No. 169 of 2011**

**Lt. Col. (Now Col) Lokesh Kandpal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This review application has been filed against order dated 25.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Execution Application No. 58 of 2018 with following reliefs:-</p> <p><i>“(1) To award Petitioner with Gallantry Award as per his qualifying courageous act from Statement of Witnesses in Fresh C of I and as per precedence, towards rescue operation during ambush incident dated 19.09.2005 resulting in saving lives of 13 Soldiers (including 06 guns shot Wounded, 01 Splinter Injured and 06 unhurt ambush survivors) along with associated consequential benefits wef date of Judgment Order dated 06.10.2017 with penal interest as applicable.</i></p> <p><i>(2) Direct Respondents to correct Petitioner's overall merit and to provide him with all benefit of marks/weightage and consequential benefits as given to higher command course qualified officer.</i></p> <p><i>(3) Issue directions to Respondent 1 to order fresh investigation and fix responsibility with follow up action wrt the complicity of Respondents 1 to 7 and col Neeraj Srivastava, adjutant during these 17 years.</i></p> <p><i>(4) Issue directions to quash complete Directions of Convening Authority of Fresh C of I dated 18.08.2019 and reissue Directions based on Fresh Investigation involving all Respondents 1 to 7 (including, Col. Neeraj Srivastava (Adjutant).</i></p> <p><i>(5) Pass such other order and/or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”</i></p> <p>Against order dated 25.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Execution Application No. 58 of 2018 dismissing the application in full satisfaction</p>

applicant had filed Civil Appeal Diary No. 19181 of 2022 in the Hon'ble Supreme Court with same prayers which he later got dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file a review on the basis that Tribunal has not considered his contention that the consequential benefits contemplated in the original order dated 06.10.2017 has not been given to him. The Original Application No. 169 of 2011 had been filed for the following reliefs:-

- “(a) Issue an order or direction calling for the records of the case and quashing the entire contents of Interim Confidential Report of the applicant including open and hidden portion of the pen picture and box grading as per MS related statutory complaint for the period from 23 Jul 2005 to 18 Mar 2006.*
- (b) Issue an order or direction calling for the records of the case and quashing the Show Cause Notice and Performance Counselling issued to the applicant, Annexure nos 1 and 3 to this Original Application.*
- (c) Issue an order or direction commanding the respondents to grant due recognition to the applicant for the courageous and successful rescue operation carried out by him and his team.*
- (d) Issue any other and further orders or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case.*
- (e) To award cost of this application in favour of the applicant.”*

The final order dated 06.10.2017 in the Original Application reads as under:-

*“In view of above, the OA is allowed. The Court of Inquiry and the findings recorded thereon are set aside and consequential benefits. The impugned orders dated 07.06.2011 and 10.06.2011 rejecting the statutory complaint of the applicant as well as the order dated 06.02.2005 for performance counselling are also hereby set aside with all consequential benefits. However, it shall be appropriate for the respondents, keeping in view the gravity of incident to order for a fresh COI to be convened in accordance to rules to fix accountability with follow-up action.*

*The respondents are further directed to consider afresh the applicant's claim with regard to ICR/pen-picture for the period from period 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 and record in accordance to rules therein the courageous act done by the applicant during rescue operation in the fateful night of 19/20.09.2005 whereby he had saved the lives of army persons of the ROP. We make it open to the respondents to look into the whole episode afresh keeping in view the material on record as placed in this petition as well as collecting the material otherwise keeping in view the observations made in the present order and take appropriate corrective measures to tone up the unit and higher level administration of the Army.*

*Cost is quantified to Rs.1,00,000/-, which shall be deposited by the respondents within four months in the Registry of the Tribunal and*

*the same shall be released to the applicant through cheque as soon as the same is received from the respondents.*

*Let this order be complied with by the respondents within four months from today.”*

When final order in the Original Application was not implemented by the respondents within the stipulated period of four months execution application was filed for issuing directions to the respondents to implement the order. During course of hearing in execution application Dossier of the applicant was produced for perusal of the Bench. On perusal of the Dossier courageous act done by the applicant in the fateful night of 19/20.09.2005 was found to be recorded in ICR/Pen Picture for the period 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 of the applicant as directed in the order. A fresh court of inquiry was held in respect of the incident in which certain adverse observations were said to be made against the applicant about which we have clarified in the order that after courageous act being recorded in ACR/Pen Picture they will not have any bearing when applicant is considered for promotion to the higher rank. A sum of Rs.100,000/= has also been paid as directed in the order. Thus, taking the aforesaid acts done in compliance of the order, the execution application was dismissed being infructuous.

It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that final order has not been fully implemented by the respondents. The consequential benefits, as directed in the order, are not limited to recording courageous act done by the applicant in the fateful night in ACR/Pen Picture of the applicant but includes grant of Gallantry Award as per qualifying courageous act and correct applicant's overall merit and to provide him benefits of marks/weightage and consequential benefits as given to higher command course qualified officer also. A fresh investigation is required to be done to fix responsibility with follow up action with regard to the complicity of respondents No. 1 to 7 and Col. Neeraj Srivastava after quashing C o I report dated 18.08.2019.

From the prayers made in the application it appears that applicant wants this court to pass order for the grant of a relief in execution proceedings which was neither claimed nor granted in the final order passed in the Original Application. It is settled in law that a court in execution jurisdiction can only ensure implementation of the final order, it can not pass a fresh order which is not contemplated in the final order. Consequential benefits can't be extended to a fresh relief. Applicant's expectations in the garb of consequential benefits requiring this court for issuing directions to the respondents to grant him Gallantry Award for the courageous act done in the fateful night of 19/20.09.2005 in ambush incident, correcting his over all merit and ordering fresh investigation against the respondents for fixing their responsibility with regard to their role in the ambush incident being not part and parcel of the final order can not be permitted.

Applicant is expecting very high from the Court without realising that a decree can be executed as it exists, nothing more nor less. Something which is not encrypted can not be extracted from the order. The Original Application in which final order has been passed, for which complete compliance this application has been filed, does not talk about grant of Gallantry Award. It was filed in respect of ACR/Pen Picture of the applicant for the period 23.07.2005 to 18.03.2006 only. The final order also does not speak for the grant, it is not even remotely related. It seems to be based on some imaginative thoughts that courageous act once recorded in ACR/Pen Picture may be culminated into Gallantry Award which is not correct. The basic rule of the law of execution is that nothing can be implemented which is not enshrined in the order to be executed. There is nothing in the order for issuing directions to the respondents to grant Gallantry Award to the applicant or carrying investigation against the respondents in the garb of consequential benefits as stated in the order. Therefore, Review Application deserves to be summarily dismissed.

Accordingly, Review Application is **dismissed**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 113 of 2023 with M.A. No. 109 of 2023**

**Ex. L/Nk. Rewadhar Chandola** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Major S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate holding brief of Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 09 years and 13 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 113 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 116 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb. Sub./Clk. (SD) Dinesh Singh Parihar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. NO. 117 of 2023 with M.A. No. 114 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Bal Chand Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 10 years, 08 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 117 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 118 of 2023 with M.A. No. 115 of 2023**

**Cdr. Himant Hothi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 120 of 2023 with M.A. No. 117 of 2023**

**Ex. HFL Vijay Shanker Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.K. Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri S.K. Mishra and Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 09 years, 06 months and 24 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 120 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on <b>29.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 136 of 2017 Inre : O.A. No. 264 of 2014**

**Col. Mahesh Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : None

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</p> <p>Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>Matter is still sub-judice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>List on <b>25.04.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**Ex. A. No. 44 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 493 of 2019**

**Ex. Sep. Pradip Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Tarun V. Pillai, Departmental Representative of the respondents.</p> <p>An affidavit of compliance has been filed today on behalf of Brigadier Jagdeep Singh Budhwar, DSC Directorate which is taken on record.</p> <p>In para 4 of the affidavit of compliance it has been stated that competent authority i.e. ADG PS-4 (Pension/Legal) has agreed to consider the case for the grant of conditional sanction to implement the AFT order dated 16.02.2021 and case for grant of conditional sanction has also been forwarded to the AG/PS-4 (Pension/Legal) by DSC Directorate on 31.01.2023. A prayer has also been made to exempt personal appearance of Brigadier DSC.</p> <p>Keeping in view that case for the grant of conditional sanction has been forwarded by DSC Directorate to the competent authority i.e. AG/PS-4 (Pension/Legal) on 31.01.2023 and some time may be required in the grant of sanction, four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO.</p> <p>In the meanwhile personal appearance of Brigadier DSC shall remain exempted.</p> <p>List on <b>20.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 10 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 259 of 2015**

**Ex. Spr. Jaivir Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>Corrigendum Government Sanction is still awaited, three weeks further time is prayed to bring the same on record which is allowed.</p> <p>List on <b>22.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 88 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 195 of 2019**

**Ex. Rfn. Hakim Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that PPO has been generated, Execution Application may be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant admits receipts of the PPO.</p> <p>In view of the above, order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**Ex. A. No. 209 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 279 of 2020**

**Ex. JWO Ajit Kumar Shrivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Shrivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>An affidavit of compliance has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>It is submitted that in compliance of the order PPO has been generated. A copy of PPO has been annexed along with affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>Order seems to have been complied with.</p> <p>Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**Ex. A. No. 296 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 682 of 2021**

**Ex. (HFO) Man bahadur Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted that in compliance of the order Government Sanction has been accorded, PPO is yet to be issued.</p> <p>Let affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>28.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**Ex. A. No. 345 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 650 of 2020**

**Ex. Sep. Sachida Nand Shukla** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>A prayer has been made by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant to grant further time to make compliance of the order which is allowed subject to the condition that if the order is not complied with by the next date, respondents will be liable to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance may be filed within four weeks.</p> <p>List on <b>17.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**Ex. A. No. 346 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 767 of 2022**

**Ex. LA (AH) Rajeev Singh Rathore** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to make compliance of the order ad file affidavit of compliance within four weeks or else they shall be liable to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>List on <b>13.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**Ex. A. No. 361 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 32 of 2022**

**Ex. Nk. Prabhu Nath Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents.</p> <p>An affidavit has been filed today by the respondents stating therein the reasons for non-compliance of the order and also for dismissal of Execution Application.</p> <p>We have gone through the facts stated in the affidavit and we find that all the plea taken in the affidavit had been taken in the Counter Affidavit filed in the Original Application as well as in the Modification Application and after due consideration the Original Application has been allowed and Modification Application has been rejected. Therefore, there is no need to reconsider the case. Moreover, order cannot be reversed/modified/cancelled in execution proceedings. Prayer to reject the Execution Application is therefore rejected.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to make compliance of the order within four weeks from today failing which they shall be liable to pay Rs.10,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on <b>16.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 376 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Kirshan Chand Tyagi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record. Heard Shri Pushpendra Kumar Dhaka, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed for the first and second disability</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 429 of 2022**

**ERA3 Ajay Kumar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Sarvesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**O.A. No. 681 of 2022**

**Ex. Sep. Ashok Kumar Nishad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dhruv Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Dhruv Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 792 of 2022**

**Gp. Capt. (Dr.) Anindya Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 793 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Khem Raj Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate  
Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Prakhar Kankan and Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 899 of 2022**

**Col. Arun Kumar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 1023 of 2022**

**MWO (HFO) Chandra Bhusan Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Neel Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Shri Neel Kant Upadhyay and Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>14.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 1025 of 2022**

**Maj. Anil Kumar Chaturvedi (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on <b>22.03.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 776 of 2020**

**Sub. (OFC) Bhupendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>Heard Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Original Application is <b>dismissed on merit</b>.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 359 of 2021**

**Smt. Bitan Devi W/o Ex. L/Nk. Ramesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>Heard Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**M.A. No. 131 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 300 of 2022**

**Mr. Kamlesh Singh, MO Late Lt. Akash Chaudhary** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate  
Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>03.02.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 131 of 2023</u></b> No early hearing is possible, hence early hearing application is rejected.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 300 of 2022</u></b> List on the date fixed i.e. <b>20.02.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>