

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 906 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1176 of 2023

Col. Ravindra Singh (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri K.K.Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>6. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 907 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1176 of 2023

Ex. Sub. K.P.Vijayan

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Misra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri K.K. Misra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</div><div>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>6. List on 25.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

O.A. No. 908 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1178 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.) , Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 08 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 908 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 909 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Sushil Kumar Lawania Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 910 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1181 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Ranjit Kumar Das Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate
Shri Karunanidhi Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>6. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 912 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1183 of 2023

Ex. WO Satyendra Narayan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed four weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>6. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 913 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Raghuvir Chand

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</div><div>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>5. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>6. Admit.</div><div>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>8. List on 18.10.2023</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 244 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 735 of 2021

Ex. Nb Ris Ram Babu

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri S.N Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri S.N. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla holding brief for Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 09.03.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 735 of 2021.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</div><div>5. List on 09.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 184 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 733 of 2020

Ex. Rect/NA Hari Om Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file objections against the compliance report filed by the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 271 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 351 of 2021

Ex.NK(TS) Ranjan Lal Vishwakarma

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA, Prayagrajare present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been issued and the matter is under process. He seeks further time to file compliance report.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div></div><div><div>4.</div><div>List on 04.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

Ex - A. No. 346 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 767 of 2022

Ex - LA (AH) Rajeev Singh Rathore Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant made statement before the Tribunal that application with regard to impleadment of Bank is concerned, he is not pressing the same. He submits that account of the applicant has been migrated to SPARSH w.e.f 01.04.2023 but the payment has not been made.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that he has already filed PPO vide affidavit dated 10.10.2022. Account has been migrated to SPARSH and a fresh PPO is to be issued. He prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>4. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 05.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

Ex - A. No. 113 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 558 of 2022

Ex. Lance Hav. Pawar Satish Popat

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K.Singh Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1.</div><div>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P Pandey holding brief for Shri K.K.Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div></div><div><div>2.</div><div>Ld. Counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</div></div><div><div>3.</div><div>List on 29.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

Ex-A. No. 138 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 922 of 2022

Ex.Hav. Arvind Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

Ex-A. No. 139 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 906 of 2022

Ex.Hav. Tej Bahadur Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

Ex-A. No. 140 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 329 of 2022

Ex. W/o Satyendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

M.A. No. 728 of 2023 with M.A. No. 729 of 2023 Inre M.A. No. 1518 of 2018
Inre O.A. No. (Nil) of 2018

Ex. Rect. Mahendra Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vishal Bhatnagar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents– UoI and Others. None present for the applicant.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 728/2023</u></p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 25.05.2019 of this Tribunal in (<i>Original Application No. Nil</i>) Misc. Application No. 1518/2018.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 11 months and 01 day in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 01 year and 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 729/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general pubic importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 542 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Dev Nath Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate
Shri Nitin Khana, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey and Shri R.K.Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Shri D.C. Lohumi and Shri Nitin Khana Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that in compliance of the order of this Tribunal dated 31.05.2023 copy of the pass book for the period 19.07.2003 to 12.04.2005 has been submitted by the applicant and the case is under process. Adjournment has been sought.</p> <p>3. It is further observed that in compliance of the order dated 31.05.2023 passbook for the relevant period was required from the applicant. Applicant is directed that if any document prior of 2003 with regard to payment of pension is available with him, he shall file the same on or before next date.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed that as per passbook payment for the relevant period shall be completed within stipulated period of time.</p> <p>5. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 847 of 2022

Ris Sheo Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 15.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1123 of 2022

Ex. HFO Vijay Shanker Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 81 of 2023

Ex. Nk Rajiv Giri

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. After hearing the parties, respondents are directed to file CA in this case and it shall be the last opportunity failing which cost shall be imposed. Applicant is directed that as and when the response with regard to his statutory complaint comes from the respondents, he will file the same before the Tribunal.</div><div>3. List on 29.09.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex. Hav. MD Saleem Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. 3. O.A is allowed. 4. Detailed order on separate sheets. 5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 164 of 2023

MCERA –I (Hony. SLT) Paras Nath Pandey (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma holding brief for Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 180 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Surendra Kumar Rai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the application within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 09.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 264 of 2023

Ex. ACP Nb. Sub. Sarvesh Kumar Yadava
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. O.A is allowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Sub. Maj. (Hony. Capt) Surender Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K.Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks to file counter reply.</p> <p>3. List on 11.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 515 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sanjay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Advocate
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 563 of 2023 with M.A. No. 697 of 2023

Capt. Tarun Kumar Mukhopadhyay (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra holding brief for Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 29 years 01 month and 26 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>3. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div></div> <div><u>O.A. No. 563 of 2023</u><div><div>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 13.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 571 of 2023 with M.A. No. 703 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Karan Bahadur Basnet
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharmesh Sinha, Advocate
Shri Krishna Kumar Seth, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharmesh Sinha and Shri Krishna Kumar Seth, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Two weeks time is granted to the applicant to file his say/ reply to the objections raised by the respondents with regard to delay.</div><div>4. List on 27.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 29)

Dairy No. 1434 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Ajay Kumar Upadhyay
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Umar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, holding brief for Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to remove the defects raised by the Registry on or before the next date.</div><div>3. List on 30.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 30)

O.A. No. 658 of 2021

Rect. Sonveer Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh and Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment to prepare the case.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 10.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 911 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1182 of 2023

Vishnu Dayal Mall

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed against the dismissal of the applicant. There is a delay of 02 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that delay is neither deliberate nor intentional.</div><div>5. Delay is condoned for the reasons stated in the affidavit filed in support of the delay condonation application.</div><div>6. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><div>O.A. No. 911 of 2023</div><div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 12.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 914 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1190 of 2023

Lt Col (MNS) Chandra Kanta (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years and 03 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 914 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 11.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

EX-A. No. 242 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 568 of 2022

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 10.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 568/2022. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report. 5. List on 27.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

M.A. No. 1184 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1185 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 25 of 2023

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Sep Manoj Yadav

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>04.08.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India and Others.</div><div>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 26.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 25/2023</div><div>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 months 05 days in filing of application be condoned.</div><div>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</div><div>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</div><div>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

M.A. No. 1186 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1187 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 762 of 2021

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Sub Maj (Hony Capt) Gaje Singh Rawat

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India and Others.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1186/2023</u></p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 31.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 762/2021.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year and 04 months in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than one year which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1187/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1191 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1192 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 423 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Maj Nigam Singh

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India and Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 18.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 423/2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 06 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 1194 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1195 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 692 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Sub Bachina Srinivasa Rao
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 04.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 692/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months 27 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1198 of 2023 Ex-A No. 23 of 2022
Inre: O.A. No. 702 of 2020

Ex Sep Birendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that this Tribunal had passed an order on 19.04.2023 to list this case before the Circuit Bench at Nainital on 26.05.2023. Accordingly, the case was listed but there was no Bench at Nainital.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant, through this instant misc. application requested for listing the case before this Regional Bench at Lucknow.</p> <p>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised no objection.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, MA No. 1198/2023 is allowed.</p> <p>7. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 390 of 2022
Inre: O.A. No. 846 of 2021

Ex Nk (MACP HAV) Somveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>04.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. Perusal of the order sheet reveals that on the previous date it was directed that if compliance is not made by the next date, the officer responsible for implementation of the order shall remain present in the Court to explain the status/position. Major I.B Majumdar (SRO), Kumaon Records, Ranikhet is present before the Court and informed that matter is under process and pending with IHQ MoD, PS-4. It is observed that matter is pending at the level of PS-4 and Kumaon Records has no role to play at this stage. Accordingly, respondents are directed to comply the order of this Tribunal on or before the next date failing which Officer from IHQ MoD, PS-4 shall remain present in the Court to explain the status of the case. List on 26.09.2023. Copy of the order be given to the respondents. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>