

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1399 of 2023

Sub. Hari Prasad Kashyap (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 14.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1403 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Bhuri Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate
Ms. Supriya Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 14.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1404 of 2023

Ex. Hav. (DVR) Shailendra Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S. N. Gaherwar, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 12.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1406 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Munna Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that applicant is not ordinarily resident of Lucknow as mentioned in the O.A. He prays for and is allowed two weeks' time to file objection with regard to maintainability.5. List on 24.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1407 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2037 of 2023

Gp Capt. Siddhartha Srivastava (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raghuvveer Singh, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raghuvveer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is allowed two weeks time to seek instructions regarding disposal of the second appeal filed by the applicant.4. List on 02.01.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex-A. No. 462 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 328 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Chaman Prakash Singh Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.3. This is a fresh execution application filed for implementation of order dated 14.09.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 328 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 08.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex-A. No. 463 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 405 of 2022

Ex. NK. (DSC GD) Gambhir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This fresh execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 06.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 405 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 05.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex-A. No. 464 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 443 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Abhiram Goldar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 05.01.2023 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 443 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 05.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 465 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 426 of 2022

Ex. NK. (DSC) Suman Prakash Singh Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferecning.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 02.11.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 426 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 08.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Anil Kumar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex-A. No. 466 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 327 of 2022

Ex. NK. Ashok Kumar Badola Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.09.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 327 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 05.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex-A. No. 467 of 2023 Inre: O.A. 674 of 2022

Ex. Hav(ACP-I) Hiralal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This execution application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.12.2022 of this Tribunal passed in Original Application No. 674 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 08.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 2028 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2029 of 2023
Inre : O.A. No. O.A. No. 274 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Versus

Hav. Vikas Prasad

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 2028/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 01.08.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 274/2021.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 28 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 2029/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 2030 of 2023 with M.A. No. 2031 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 497 of 2021

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex. L/NK Ganga Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 2030/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 497/2021.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 03 months and 17 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 2031/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 2036 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1082 of 2022

Sqd. Ldr. Mahendra Pal Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S. N. Singh Gaherwar , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Through M.A No. 2036/2023 applicant has sought correction in judgement and order dated 27.09.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 1082 of 2022, Sqn Ldr Mahendra Pal Singh Vs. UoI.4. It has been submitted that in the above judgement the O.A number has inadvertently been typed as 'Original Application No. 1082 of 2023' instead of 'Original Application No. 1082 of 2022'.5. Perusal of the file and judgement reveals that it is a typographical error, hence, correction application is allowed. Necessary correction has been incorporated in the judgement dated 27.09.2023.6. Registry is directed to provide the corrected copy of the judgement to the Id. Counsel for the parties.7. M.A No. 2036/2023 stands disposed of accordingly. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

Ex-A. No. 84 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 170 of 2019

Ex. Sailor Sohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Affidavit of compliance has not been filed.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that a writ petition has been filed before the Hon'ble High Court and matter is sub-judice before the Hon'ble High Court. There is no stay order against the order passed by this Tribunal.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit.5. List on 07.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

Ex-A. No. 109 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 545 of 2022

Ex. PO ELR Pankaj Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Perusal of the file reveals that respondents were directed to file compliance affidavit failing which explanation of responsible officer by way of an affidavit was to be filed but neither the order has been complied with nor any affidavit has been filed. However, Cdr Gul Nawaz Naqvi, NHQ appears on behalf of the respondents and explain the position/status with regard to the case.3. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order of this Tribunal and bring the explanation on record through an affidavit.4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost due against them on or before the next date, which shall be remitted to the applicant.5. List on 06.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

Ex-A. No. 303 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 429 of 2022

ERA3 Ajay Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sarvesh Kumar Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.2. Compliance affidavit has not been filed.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit.4. List on 06.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

Ex-A. No. 398 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. Ex-A. No. 138 of 2017
Inre T.A. No. 83 of 2012

Ex. (SWA/APP) Jyoti Prakash Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to file reply/ objection to the second execution application filed by the applicant within four weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 06.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

M.A. No. 1125 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 38 of 2023

Santosh Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Vijay Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that he wants to file some case law in this matter. Permitted accordingly.</p> <p>3. List on 30.01.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 950 of 2023

Ex. AG PO (RP) MD Ali Akhtar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that order passed by the respondents rejecting the claim of the applicant has not been challenged in the Original application.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.4. List on 08.02.2024. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 965 of 2023

Ex. (A A) Sonveer Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharma Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>05.12.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kumar , Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit has not been filed.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are awaited. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 09.02.2024.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Anil Kumar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>