

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 380 of 2023 with M.A. No. 448 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Bikash Kumar Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra , Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P.Pandey, Shri Deepak Bhatt and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra , Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Original Application has been filed with delay of 06 years, 02 month and 27 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</p> <p>Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, as seems, delay deserves to be condoned.</p> <p>In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.</p> <p>Delay condoned.</p>

O.A. No. 380 of 2023

Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.

Admit.

Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

List this case on **12.07.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RKM

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 381 of 2023

Hav/NA Abhay Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain this petition. Let the objection be filed in this regard by the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex.A. No. 84 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 347 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Mukesh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri M.K. Srivastava , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri M.K. Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and file compliance report.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List this case on 17.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 85 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 84 of 2022

Hav (MACP-II Hav Grade) Dinesh Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and file compliance report.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex.A. No. 86 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 278 of 2022

Ex Hav/ATPC Dinesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and file compliance report.</p> <p>List this case on 13.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 87 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 859 of 2021

Ex. Nb Sub Jai Kumar Panicker Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant: Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions from the authority concerned and file compliance report.</p> <p>List this case on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 450 of 2023 with Inre No. O.A. No. 557 of 2022

Ex.Sub Mundhe Vishnu Ananda Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objections against the modification application.</p> <p>List this case on 15.05.2023 .</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 452 of 2023 with M.A. No. 453 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 702 of 2020

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sep Birendra Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant / respondents is present.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 452 of 2023</u></p> <p>This is an application for condonation of delay in moving the application under section 31(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal against the judgment and order dated 20.07.2021, passed by this Court in O.A. No. 702 of 2020.</p> <p>This application has been filed by the applicant after a period of limitation. As per office report, there is delay of 08 months & 21 days in filing the application for leave to appeal. Section 31 (2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 provides that the application for leave to appeal <u>shall</u> be made for approaching Hon'ble Supreme Court within a period of 30 days beginning with the date of decision of the Tribunal. Apart from it, we have also gone through the grounds and reasons indicated in the affidavit filed in support of the application. In our considered opinion, the grounds urged in support of the prayer for condoning the delay are general in nature and do not appear to be germane in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Office of the Chief Post Master General and others vs Living Media India Ltd and another reported in 2012 STPL (LE) 46200 SC in which the Hon'ble Supreme Court has observed as under:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“Condonation of delay is an exception and should not be used as an anticipated benefit for government departments” and since “the claim on account of impersonal machinery and inherited bureaucratic methodology of making several notes cannot be accepted in view of the modern technologies being used and available. The law of limitation undoubtedly binds everybody including the Government.”</i></p>

The Hon'ble Supreme Court further observed as under :

"Since the person(s) concerned were well aware or conversant with the issues involved including the prescribed period of limitationThey cannot claim that they have a separate period of limitation when the Department was possessed with competent persons familiar with court proceedings".

In view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid case, the application for condonation of delay cannot be entertained and it is hereby rejected.

M.A. No 453 of 2023

This is an application under section 31 (1) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act 2007 for grant of leave to appeal, having been moved by the petitioner beyond the period of 30 days. Since the application for condonation of delay in moving this application has been rejected, in consequence thereof, this application is also liable to be rejected.

Even otherwise also, we do not find any point of law of general public importance involved in the decision so as to grant leave to appeal. Accordingly, this application is also **rejected**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RKM

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 26 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 19 of 2019

Ex. Sep. Munna Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents made statement before the Court that sanction has been granted by the competent authority and the issuance of PPO is under process. He prays for and is granted four weeks time to issue PPO as well as to file compliance report.</p> <p>List on 16.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 16 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 174 of 2015

Ex. NK Jageshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Officer In Charge, The Records Signals & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objection against the compliance report filed by the respondents.</p> <p>List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A No. 51 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 108 of 2021

Ex. Sep Bharat Chand Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the cost imposed vide order dated 22.02.2023 has been deposited. He prays for further time to file compliance report which is allowed as a matter of last opportunity. It is made clear that if compliance is not made by the next date, responsible person shall be summoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 25.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 114 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 244 of 2021

Ex Hav Narendra Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file RSMB report.</p> <p>List on 15.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 317 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 455 of 2021

Ravi Shankar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Shankar Singh (In person)

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing the applicant Shri Ravi Shankar Singh (In person) and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex.A. No. 363 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 472 of 2021

Ex HFO Love Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>At the outset, Id. Counsel for the respondents states that the Compliance has been made and an affidavit in this regard has been filed, which is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Id. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having become infructuous as the order of this Tribunal has been complied with in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

Ex.A. No. 364 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1208 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 343 of 2021

Ex. JWO Vinod Kumar Chowbey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>Copy of the order be provided to the respondents' counsel.</p> <p>List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

Ex.A. No. 365 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 60 of 2021

Ex. JWO Shashi Bhushan Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey , Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance report on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 30.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

Ex. A. No. 367 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 471 of 2021

Ex. W.O. Rajiv Bhatia Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Shri Amit Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>At the outset, Id. Counsel for the respondents states that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Id. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of having become infructuous as the order of this Tribunal has been complied with in full satisfaction.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 831 of 2022

Ex. Sgt. Dev Raj Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.K.Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Maurya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.K.Singh and Shri Vikas Maurya, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks time to file counter affidavit (CA). On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity two weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if CA is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 02.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 900 of 2022

Sgt. Satish Kumar Tiwari (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was enrolled in the IAF on 29.07.1993 and during service he was diagnosed as Diabetes Mellitus Type II in the year 2011. Applicant was also suffering from Cervical spondylitis and was discharged on 31.07.2013 after expiry of 20 years of regular engagement term. He further submits that the applicant is entitled to get disability element if disability manifests within 10 years after retirement. Accordingly, he claimed the same vide his representation dated 03.10.2018 which was rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant was found fit to be released from service in medical classification A4G1. He had neither claimed nor detected any disability at the time of discharge. His representation dated 03.10.2018 was replied suitably vide letter dated 06.02.2019. Claim of the applicant has rightly been denied.</p> <p>Ld. counsel for the applicant urged that applicant would be satisfied and end of justice would be met if a direction is given to the respondents to conduct Re-survey/review medical board to assess the disablement of the applicant's disability.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel of the respondents vehemently opposed the submissions of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>In view of above, as the prayer made by Ld. Counsel for the applicant is innocuous in nature, respondents/ competent authority is directed to conduct</p>

Re-survey/review medical board to assess the disablement of the applicant's disability within a period of three months from today under intimation to the applicant.

With the aforesaid direction, the instant O.A stands disposed of finally.

Misc. Application(s) if any, also stands disposed of.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RKM

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 912 of 2022

Ex. Sep Lalit Mohan Kothyari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.)

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra and Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit (CA). On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed CA. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the CA on the condition that if compliance report is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 12.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 925 of 2022

Ex. Sub Maha Ram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Notice issued to respondent No. 5 has not been received back served/unserved. 30 days time has elapsed. Hence, deemed to be served.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter reply.</p> <p>List on 25.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1011 of 2022

Maj. (Retd.) Avinash Belwal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time as a last opportunity to file counter reply.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1081 of 2022

Col. Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Despite last opportunity granted on the last date, counter reply has not been filed by the respondents. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for an opportunity to file the same. In the interest of justice, another last opportunity of one week is granted to the respondents to file their reply failing which respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 19.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter reply.</p> <p>List on 18.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 167 of 2023

Col. Rajiv Bhardwaj (Retd.)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 18.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 170 of 2023

Ex. MWO Ramesh Chandra Prajapati

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter reply.</p> <p>List on 13.04.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)

O.A. No. 171 of 2023

Ex L/NK Jeewan Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Shri Deepak Bhatt, Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file counter reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 24.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)

Dairy No. 627 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 330 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Sep Sanoj S

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant / respondents. Ld. Counsel for the applicant sought adjournment to remove the defects. One week's time is granted as a last opportunity to remove the defects raised by the Registry.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 17.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p>
	RKM

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 240 of 2017

Sinhasan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, list this case on 10.04.2023 as Part Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 264 of 2017 Along with T.A. No. 82 of 2016 (Decided)

Devendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mukesh Kumar, Advocate
Shri S S Rajawat, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for time to file written submissions. Let the written submissions be filed by both the parties within two weeks.</p> <p>List on 11.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

T.A. No. 1061 of 2010 with .A. No. 674 of 2021 along T.A. No. 1065 of 2010

R.N. Mishra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner: Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Chief of Air Staff & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.05.2023 at 2.00 PM.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

T.A. No. 1065 of 2010

R.N. Mishra Petitioner
By Legal Practitioner for the Petitioner : Shri Bhaskar Prasad Dubey, Advocate
Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.04.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Nisha Pandey holding brief for Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 23.05.2023 at 2.00 PM.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>