

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1142 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1590 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Gajendra Singh Rawat Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 07 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application.5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1142 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1143 of 2023

Sub. Parhlad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.C. Jain (Vetran) , Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Divya Jain, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh Vats, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.5. Case needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1206 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1674 of 2023

Ex.Hav. (MACP NB Sub) Patil Chandrakant Abhiman Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 10 months and 19 days in filing of Original Application.5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1206 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 1209 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1678 of 2023

Ex L/NK Chandra Bhan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kesahav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 17 years, 04 months and 14 days in filing of Original Application.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submit that respondents want to file objection against delay condonation application.6. Let objection against delay condonation application be filed within two weeks.7. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1210 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1679 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Manish Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 03 months in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1210 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 19.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1211 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1680 of 2023

Ex HFO Ram Lakhan Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma and Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 05 years, 05 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application.5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1211 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 19.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 371 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 680 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Indramani Pandey (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Rama Kant and Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 20.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 680 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No.372 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 44 of 2022

Ex MWO (HFL) Lakshmi Narain Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 11.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 44 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 373 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 677 of 2022

MWO (HFO) Arvind Kumar Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rama Kant and Shri Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 30.11.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 677 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 374 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 170 of 2023

Ex.MWO Ramesh Chandra Prajapati Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 170 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 375 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 127 of 2023

Ex.JWO Vinod Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 05.04.4023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 127 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 12)

Ex. A. No. 376 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1116 of 2022

Ex. JWO Dinesh Chandra Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1116 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 379 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 126 of 2023

Ex. WO Manoj Kumar Sah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 05.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 126 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.5. List on 29.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 14)

M.A. No. 1587 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1588 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 219 of 2023

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Narendra Singh Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 219 of 2023.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 06 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 15)

M.A. No. 1667 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1668 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 227 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arvind Kuamr Pandey Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. Prabhaker Singh

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 227 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 13 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 16)

M.A. No. 1671 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1672 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 971 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Ex. JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 971 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 11 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 290 of 2016

Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that revised PPO has not been issued by the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the order has been partially complied with as Government Sanction has been issued and PAO (OR) has credited the amount in the applicant's account on 04.10.2023 and issuance of revised PPO is pending with the Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.4. Cost imposed upon the respondents has not been deposited.5. Let cost be deposited by the next date fixed.6. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted for complete compliance of the order dated 26.10.2021.7. List on 21.11.2023.8. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

Ex. A. No. 343 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 76 of 2022

Ex. Hav (ACP Nb. Sub.) Girish Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the matter is pending with PS-4, New Delhi for implementation. He prays for further time for compliance of the order.3. Cost has been deposited.4. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is seventh adjournment.5. In the above scenario, issue show cause notice to the respondents why attachment proceedings be not initiated against the respondents' property.6. List on 21.11.2023.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 360 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 747 of 2021

Ex. MWO Krishna Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for respondents submits that order has been complied with.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant admits compliance of the order.4. In view of the above, Execution Application is discharged in full satisfaction. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 19 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 508 of 2018

Ex. Sub. Jitender Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with the Ministry of Defence and prays for further time to file affidavit of compliance.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is fourth adjournment.4. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to comply with the order failing which respondents will have to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.5. List on 21.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 31 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 760 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Anil Kumar Gautam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Government Sanction has been issued and letter for issuance of PPO has been issued on 15.09.2023.3. Keeping the statement given by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents, four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit.4. Cost has been deposited.5. List on 22.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 59 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 107 of 2022

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Arvind Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.4. Cost has not been deposited by the respondents.5. Keeping all the situations, four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which responsible officer of AG PS-4, New Delhi shall file his personal affidavit as to why order has not been complied with.6. List on 22.11.2023.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 60 2023 Inre O.A. No. 869 of 2021

Ex. Hav. (MACP Nb. Sub.) Paramatma Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.</p> <p>3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.</p> <p>4. Cost has not been deposited by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Keeping all the situations, four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which responsible officer of AG PS-4, New Delhi shall file his personal affidavit as to why order has not been complied with.</p> <p>6. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 71 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 291 of 2022

Ex Sub. Jamaluddin Ansari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity failing which respondents will have to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.5. List on 22.11.2023.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 91 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Madhusudan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity failing which respondents will have to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.5. List on 23.11.2023.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 96 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Seo Sagar Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.4. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity failing which respondents will have to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.5. List on 23.11.2023.6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 114 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 402 of 2022

Ex. Hav. (ACP-I) Rajveer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is pending with AG PS-4, New Delhi.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.4. Cost has not been deposited by the respondents.5. Keeping all the situations, four weeks further time is granted as a last opportunity to file compliance affidavit failing which responsible officer of AG PS-4, New Delhi shall file his personal affidavit as to why order has not been complied with.6. List on 23.11.2023.7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

Ex. A. No. 126 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 41 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Chandra Bali Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that although the PPO has been issued but the calculation sheet has not been provided in order to verify the payment.3. Under these circumstances, respondents are directed to file the calculation sheet on or before the next date fixed.4. List on 20.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 128 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 206 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Maj. Laxman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the matter is pending with the PS-4, New Delhi and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is second adjournment.4. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file compliance as a last chance.5. List on 20.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14)

Ex. A. No. 133 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 485 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Suresh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that matter is pending with the PS-4, new Delhi and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is second adjournment.4. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents as a last chance.5. List on 28.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15)

Ex. A. No. 142 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 524 of 2022

Col. Jai Prakash Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that matter is pending with the PS-4, New Delhi and prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. Perusal of order-sheet reveals that it is third adjournment.</p> <p>4. Four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit failing which respondents will have to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>5. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16)

Ex. A. No. 239 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 01 of 2023

Ex. JWO (AUP) Ram Deo Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. An application for adjournment supported with affidavit has been filed by the respondents which is taken on record.3. The case is adjourned. Adjournemnt application is disposed off accordingly.4. As prayed, four weeks further time is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit.5. List on 28.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

Ex. A. No. 240 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 720 of 2022

Ex. Nb. Sub. Munna Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

Ex. A. No. 268 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 446 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Ramagya Singh Kushwaha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 542 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Dev Nath Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate
Shri Nitin Khanna, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Nitin Khanna, Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 6 states that the copy of Pass-Book with effect from 19.07.2003 till 12.04.2005 which has been provided to him, as has been mentioned in para 5 of the order dated 31.05.2023, has been sent to the Bank which is still in the process.</p> <p>3. In regard to compliance of para No. 6 of the order dated 31.05.2023, by which this Tribunal has directed that the Bank will try to find out ways to reach correct position regarding payment of disability element to the applicant at earliest, preferably within a period of six weeks, Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that matter is under active consideration for compliance.</p> <p>4. In the above facts, four weeks further time is granted to comply with the order dated 31.05.2023.</p> <p>5. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No. 543 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Jai Narayan Rajput Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder Affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 26.10.2023 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21)

O.A. No. 1095 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Bolke Vijay Motiram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nand Kishore, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nand Kishore, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 02.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 117 of 2023

Ex. WO Bal Chand Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 17.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.23)

O.A. No. 380 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Bikash Kumar Gurung

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The instant Original Application has been filed under Section 14 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 for issuing direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board and to assess ascertain the disability, if any, he incur, and if yes, to process his case for the grant of disability pension together with benefit of rounding off.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the applicant's Re-Survey Medical Board was carried out at 12 Air Force Hospital on 18.06.2013, wherein composite assessment was 50% for three years. Accordingly, Disability Element was granted from 18.06.2013 to 17.06.2016 vide Corrigendum PPO No. DE/Corr/0511/2014 (DE rounded off to 75%). She further submits that the relief sought by the applicant through this Original Application is infructuous as the applicant had filed this Original Application after a lapse of more than seven years from the last date of Disability Element of Disability Pension was fixed by Re-Survey medical Board i.e. 17.06.2016. Subsequently, the applicant did not report to Medical Authority/Record Office to carry out his Re-Survey Medical Board as such his Re-Survey Medical Board was not done.5. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 21.08.1990 and invalided out from service on 31.08.2012 after completion of 22 years and 11 days of service under Rule 13(1)(a) (1) due to non availability of Shelter Appointment. At the time of invalidation from Release Medical Board assessed the applicant's disabilities (i) 'DISSEMINATED TUBERCULOSIS (PULMONARY + PLEURAL + ABDOMINAL)' @100% for

one year as attributable to military service and (ii) 'CNS (INV) GENERALIZED SEIZURE' @20% for life as aggravated by military service. The Re-Survey Medical Board carried out at 12 Air Force Hospital on 18.06.2023 wherein composite assessment was 50% for three years. Accordingly, the Disability Element was granted for three years from 18.06.2013 to 17.06.2016.

6. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we **dispose of** the Original Application finally with the direction to the respondents to conduct Re-Survey Medical Board (RSMB) of the applicant to assess his disability for deciding entitlement of disability element of disability pension. Respondents are further directed to give effect to the order within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment

7. Let copy of this order be provided to the learned Counsel for the parties.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 426 of 2023

Ex. Nk. Pankaj Kumar Trivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 20.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 437 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Ashish Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Courtner Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 502 of 2023

Ex. JWO Janardan Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is dismissed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 611 of 2023

Ex. Gnr. Baljeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Ashish Asthana, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Counter Affidavit has been prepared and it has been sent to the respondents for vetting. He prays for andi sgranted a week time to file Conter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 20.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 693 of 2023 with M.A. No. 839 of 2023

Smt. Asha Rawat W/o Late Jeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Objection against delay filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file reply to the objection.4. List on 09.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29)

O.A. No. 702 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Jeetendra Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

O.A. No. 753 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Arjun Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Namit Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Namit Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.4. List on 08.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.31)

O.A. No. 843 of 2023

Ex. WO Ravi Shankar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.32)

O.A. No. 874 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Manmohan Ray Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 23.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

O.A. No. 945 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1252 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sushil Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Objection against delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 26 years, 05 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that that delay in filing the Original Application is neither intentional nor deliberate. His further submission is that pension is a recurring cause of action and law of limitation is not applicable in it. He further submits that due to ill deteriorated health condition of the applicant he did not able to approach the Tribunal in time.6. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that there is inordinate delay of more than 26 years which has not been properly and satisfactorily explained by the respondents. The delay ought to have been explained on day-to-day basis which applicant has utterly failed to do so. He prays for dismissal of the delay condonation application.7. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel of both sides and considering that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter. Further, we find that cause shown for delay is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 945 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.9. Case needs adjudication.

10. Admit.

11. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

12. List on **19.12.2023**.

(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

AKD/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.34)

O.A. No. 459 of 2020

Sub. Raeesul Hasan (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 03.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.35)

O.A. No. 226 of 2021

Ex. Rect. Ramu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajapi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajapi , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that she has received fresh comments for filing fresh Counter Affidavit. She prays for a short date for filing fresh Counter Affidavit.3. Let fresh Counter Affidavit be filed within two weeks.4. List on 02.11.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.36)

O.A. No. 799 of 2021 along with Ex. A. No. 103 of 2022

Ex. Nk. Satyendra Narayan Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate
Ms. Hemlata, Advocate
Shri Amrendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>06.10.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Lt. Gen. Anil Puri, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Lt. Gen. Anil Puri) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>