

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 126 of 2023 with M.A. No. 122 of 2023

Ex. WO Manoj Kumar Sah Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 04 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 126 of 2023</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 23.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 123 of 2023

Ex. WO Vinod Kumar Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 04 months and 29 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 127 of 2023</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 23.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 130 of 2023 with M.A. No. 129 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Wakeel Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>There is a delay of 04 years, 10 months and 15 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 130 of 2023</u></p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 134 of 2023

Ex. Spr. Abhishek Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 135 of 2023 with M.A. No. 132 of 2023

Ex. L/Nk. Ambreesh Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>As per office note, there is no in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been filed which not required is dismissed.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>List on 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 25 of 203 Inre : O.A. No. 218 of 2021

Ex. Hav. Arvinda Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant has filed this application for implementation of order dated 09.02.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 218 of 2021 by which respondents have been directed to grant disability element of pension to the applicant @30% for life to be rounded off to 50% for life from three years preceding the date of filing of Original Application within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order. The order has not yet been complied with.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>List on 03.04.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

M.A. No. 127 of 2023 with M.A. No. 128 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 648 of 2021

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri ASHsih Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Smt. Archana Rai W/o Late Sep. Satya Prakash Rai Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants herein – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 23.03.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 648 of 2021.</p> <p>There is a delay of 278 days in filing of leave to application.</p> <p>Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is not deliberate. His further submission is that applicants being the Government functionaries and due to involvement of various agencies of the Government and perhaps lack of time management the delay has occurred. After sending the paper before the authorities, the authorities after going through the order passed by the Tribunal thought of filing leave to appeal application. Thus, his submission is that delay is not deliberate, but for the reasons stated above.</p> <p>Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case. We further find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</p> <p>Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.</p> <p>Leave to Appeal is also dismissed on the ground of limitation as well as on merit also.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 24 of 2019 Inre : O.A. No. 266 of 2015

Ex. L/Nk. Hari Bahadur Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that since in compliance of the order Corrigendum PPO has been issued and arrears have been credited in applicant's account and applicant is also being regularly paid service pension @17,130/- since November, 2022, the Execution Application has rendered infructuous and be dismissed as such.</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 301 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 792 of 2021

Ex. Nk. Hukam Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that Government Sanction in the matter is still awaited, the case file of the applicant is pending with the Office of Principal Integrated Financial Advisor, Army Headquarters, New Delhi for her financial concurrence since 24.11.2022.</p> <p>Affidavit of compliance annexing therewith copy of PPO be filed within four weeks from today failing which Financial Advisor, Army Headquarters, New Delhi shall appear in person before the Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain why coercive action be not taken against her for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>List on 23.03.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 315 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 634 of 2021

Ex. WO Swet Ban Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance. It is directed that in the event of order being not complied within in the given time the respondents shall be liable to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 28.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 323 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 25 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Aditya Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance. It is directed that in the event of order being not complied within in the given time the respondents shall be liable to pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>List on 28.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 646 of 2022

Lt. Col. Krishna Murari (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that Counter Affidavit is ready and will be filed in registry during the course of the day.</p> <p>List tomorrow i.e. on 08.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 764 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Sandeep Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 819 of 2022

Lt. Col. Yashendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>List on 28.02.2023.</p> <p>Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 868 of 2022

Cdr. Satish Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Veer Raghav Chaubey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 870 of 2022

Ex. Ris. Dilip Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Alok Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Alok Kumar Singh and Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 876 of 2022

Ex. Nk. (TS) Bishram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 01.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1045 of 2022

Col. Arun C. Karadi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 16.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)

O.A. No. 1046 of 2022

HFO Ram Prakash Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 02.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 1048 of 2022

Gp. Capt. Samarendra Ghosh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Sarah Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 02.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)

O.A. No. 1050 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Satyendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ms. Upasna Mishra and Shri Kapil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)

O.A. No. 1051 of 2022

Col. (TS) Alok Kumar Jain (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Major Vinay Sharma (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Major Vinay Sharma (Retd.) and Shri Kapil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 02.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 1086 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1294 of 2022

Smt. Rajeshwari W/o Inder Pal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Objection to the delay condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><u>M.A. No. 1294 of 2022</u></p> <p>This application has been filed for condoning the delay of 13 years, 02 months and 29 days in filing the Original Application for the grant of benefit of rounding of the disability pension from 20% to 50% for the period from 01.04.2007 to 31.12.2015.</p> <p>It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that law of limitation is not applicable in pensionary matter. It is further that delay in filing the Original Application is not intentional.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer and has submitted that delay has not been properly and satisfactorily explained.</p> <p>Considering that pensionary benefits cannot be denied on the ground of delay, delay if any, in filing of Original Application is condoned. Delay condonation application stands decided accordingly.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1086 of 2022</u></p> <p>Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>Admit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>List on 21.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 1132 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1354 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Manmadhan Nair

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rjesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file a better affidavit regarding present residence of the applicant.</p> <p>List on 28.02.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 66 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Rakesh Kumar Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Mohd. Zafar Khan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Mohd. Zafar Khan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>On the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant two weeks further time is granted to file a better affidavit regarding present residence of the applicant.</p> <p>List on 07.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 262 of 2017

Sub. Sanjay Kumar Kushwaha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Arguments concluded. Judgment reserved. Ld. Counsel for the parties may file Written Synopsis within ten days.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

T.A.. No. 02 of 2019

Ex. L Nk. R.A. Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh, Advocate
Shri Vivekanand, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shivendra Pratap Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>The case is wrongly listed today before this Bench.</p> <p>As per roster the case is to be listed in Court No. 2.</p> <p>Registry is directed to list this case on 15.02.2023 before the appropriate Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Mention matter)

Ex. A. No. 112 of 2022 with M.A. No. 45 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 253 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Sunder Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.02.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>Case is taken up on the basis of mention made by Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant, applicant is permitted to make impleadment of respondent Nos. 5 and 6 today itself.</p> <p>After impleadment notices be issued to the newly made respondents returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>List on the date fixed i.e. 15.03.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>