

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 1)

Ex-A. No. 269 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 404 of 2020

Ex. MWO (Hony. FgOffr) Ajay Kumar Sachan

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present on behalf of the applicant. Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri RK Tiwari Account Officer, (PCDA) Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that payment has been made. Compliance affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed in the Registry during course of the day after serving a copy of the same to the applicant. Objection if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks' thereafter.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

Ex - A. No. 395 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 359 of 2020

Ex. Rect. Munesh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Second Appellate Committee on Pension (SACP),
New Delhi & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondentsassisted by Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer (PCDA) Prayagrajare present.</p> <p>2. Shri RK Tiwari, Account Officer (PCDA) Prayagraj has informed that PPO has been issued.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed in the Registry during course of the day after serving a copy of the same to the applicant. Objection if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks’ thereafter.</p> <p>4. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 40 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. No. 505 of 2019

Ex. L NK. Mithlesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh and Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and is pending with IHQ MoD (Army), PS-4. He prays for four weeks’ further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be given to the respondents.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 122 of 2023 Inre :O.A. No. 241 of 2022

Ex. Rfn. Kapil Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms.Preeti Mala, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for four weeks further time to file compliance affidavit. As a matter of last opportunity four weeks further time is granted to respondents to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 481 of 2021 with M.A. No. 502 of 2021

Abhishek Badoni (Army Cadet) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. HeardShri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Objectionsfiled by the respondents with regard to maintainability of O.A is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>5. Order reserved.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 687 of 2022

Ex. Sigm. Brahm Prakash Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. List revised.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments are still awaited. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file supplementary counter affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 18.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 7)

O.A.No. 1087 of 2022

Ex. Cfn.Kuldeep Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed within a week.</div><div>3. List on 18.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1090 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Nand Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation. He prays for four weeks further time to file counter affidavit. As a matter of last opportunity four weeks further time is granted to respondents to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

O.A. No. 1095 of 2022

Ex. Rect. Bolke Vijay Motiram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nand Kishore, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Nand Kishore, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate holding brief for Ms. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that arguing counsel is not available today and prays for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned accordingly.</p> <p>4. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p>5. In the mean time respondents are directed to file their counter affidavit.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 1118 of 2022

Ex. MWO Aditya Narain Verma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh,Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. O.A is allowed.</p> <p>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 1132 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1354 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Manmadhan Nair

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation. He prays for two weeks further time to file counter affidavit. As a matter of last opportunity two weeks further time is granted to respondents to file the same.</div><div>3. List on 14.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 68 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Rajveer SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.08.2023 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for further two weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 01.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A)Member (J)</div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 201 of 2023

Ex. Rect. Santosh Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Veteran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav holding brief for Col. H.M. Maheshwari (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that arguing counsel is out of station and seeks adjournment.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents informed that para-wise comments have been received and seeks time to file counter affidavit. Two weeks time is granted as a matter of last opportunity.</div><div>4. List on 26.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 202 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Chander Prakash
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He prays for and is granted a week’s time to file the same.</div><div>3. List on 14.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 15)

O.A. No. 314 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Murare Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. O.A is allowed.</div><div>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 16)

O.A. No. 597 of 2023 with M.A. No. 727 of 2023

Ex. NK. Ramesh Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week’s time to file objection against the delay condonation application.</div><div>3. List on 18.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)

T.A. No. 14 of 2023

Rect. Rinku Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Devendra Kumar on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to print the names of Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Devendra Kumar as the counsel for the applicant in the future cause list.</p> <p>3. List on 10.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 18)

T.A. No. 15 of 2023

Ex. MWO (HFO) Satyendra Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shir Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Devendra Kumar on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to print the names of Shri Vinay Pandey and Shri Devendra Kumar as the counsel for the applicant in the future cause list.</p> <p>3. List on 09.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

O.A. No. 469 of 2019

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 919 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1203 of 2023

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 24 days in filing of Original Application. 4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 919 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 17.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 921 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1205 of 2023

Ex. WO Kripa Shankar MishraApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months and 13 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div>O.A. No. 921 of 2023</div><div><div>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 17.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 924 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1209 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Shinde Ramchandra Sitaram Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 09 months and 01 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>5. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 926 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1224 of 2023

Ex. WO (HFO) Ruqunuddin Mansoori Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. 4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed twoweeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A. 5. List on 26.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 927 of 2023

Ex. Sub. (NA) Arun Kumar RaiApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed twoweeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.</div><div>5. List on 27.09.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A)Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 934 of 2023

Ex. Nk. (MACP) Hav. Anil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kripa Shankar, Advocate
Wg. Cdr. Ashok Kumar Chauhan (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Kripa Shankar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>5. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>6. Admit.</p> <p>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>8. List on 27.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 246 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 620 of 2022

Ex. L/Nk. Gupta Bahadur Malla

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 20.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 620 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 247 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 998 of 2022

Sgt. Mahendra Kumar Singh (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.08.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 15.03.2023of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 998 of 2022.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</div><div>5. List on 04.10.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (A) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1201 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1202 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 612 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Applicants

Versus

Ex. Ris. Santosh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Respondent

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.08.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1201/2023</u></p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 612 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 17 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1202/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general pubic importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>