

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 1273 of 2023

Sq Ldr Ritu (SSC) (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that he wants to file objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A as the applicant has not preferred second appeal to the respondents. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability.</p> <p>5. List on 20.12.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 1274 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1826 of 2023

Ex HFO Santosh Kumar Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 08 months and 02 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</p> <p>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><u>O.A. No. 1274 of 2023</u></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on 18.01.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 1276 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1831 of 2023

Hav / NA Kamlesh Kumar Dandhare Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that he wants to file objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability.</p> <p>4. List on 14.12.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="363 1690 797 1763" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div data-bbox="941 1690 1375 1763" style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No 1311 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1898 of 2023

Ex Sgt Paramhans Singh YadavApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate
Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that he wants to file objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection with regard to maintainability.</div><div>4. List on 07.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 1312 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1899 of 2023

Ex Sgt Padmakar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks adjournment for completing the file by endorsing certain documents. Ten day’s time is granted for the same.</p> <p>4. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 1313 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1901 of 2023

Hav (MACP NB SUB) Abhay Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months and 22 days in filing of Original Application. 4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. 5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 1313 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents. 7. Case needs adjudication. 8. Admit. 9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter. 10. List on 18.01.2024. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J) </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 1314 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1904 of 2023

Ex Sgt Shankar Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 month and 11 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><div>O.A. No. 1314 of 2023</div><div><div>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 22.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 1316 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1912 of 2023

Ex MWO Dipak Kumar Mondal

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>07.11.2023</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></div><div><u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div></div> <div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Chaturvedi Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years 06 months and 10 days in filing of Original Application.</div><div>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</div><div>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</div><div><u>O.A. No. 1316 of 2023</u></div><div>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant’s claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</div><div>7. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>8. Admit.</div><div>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>10. List on 23.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 432 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 495 of 2022

Ex MWO (HFL) Awadhesh Kumar Pandey

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Purna Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Mrs. Purna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Mrs. Purna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 29.11.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 495 of 2022.</div><div>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</div><div>5. List on 08.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 435 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 643 of 2022

EX WO Ramesh Chandra Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 16.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 643 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</p> <p>5. List on 08.01.2024.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1905 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1906 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 278 of 2021

Devanand Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next. 2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that he wants to file objection with regard to delay in filing the recall application. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objection with regard to delay. 4. List on 20.12.2023. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J) </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 1908 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1909 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 606 of 2020

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Ex Rect Heera Singh

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : -

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant Vs. Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.09.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 606/2020.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 18 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 13)

M.A. No. 1910 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1911 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 627 of 2022

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Ex Sgt Ramesh Kumar Sharma
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : -

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1910/2023</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 627/2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 07 months and 18 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 07 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1911/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

EX-A. No. 41 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 325 of 2022

Ex Sub (Hony Lt) Jahir Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh holding brief for Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before the Line Directorate. He seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on 13.12.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)

EX-A. No. 45 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 390 of 2022

Ex sub Ghewari Ramchandra Ganpati

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</div><div>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</div><div>4. List on 13.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

EX-A. No. 111 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 560 of 2022

Ex Sub Maj Nirmal Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div><div>1. Heard Shri V.P Pandey holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before the PS-4/MoD. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 14.12.2023.</div></div><div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div></div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

EX-A. No. 112 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 559 of 2022

Ex Sub Clk Sawant Shankar Pandurang

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that the matter is under process and is pending before the Line Directorate. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 10.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

EX-A. No. 241 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 139 of 2017

Smt. Sharda Subba W/o Ex L/NK Applicant
Gam Bir Subba
 By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that FIR has not been lodged and to this effect, Id. Counsel for the applicant stated that a registered post has been sent to the SSP.</p> <p>3. At the joint request of Id. Counsel for the parties, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J) </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 964 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1544 of 2023

Ex Hav Sukh Veer Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Advocate
Shri Ajit Singh Gaherwar, Advocate
Shri Satya Narayan Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shubham Sharma holding brief for Shri SN Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Pleadings have been exchanged.</p> <p>3. Amendment application filed by the applicant, is taken on record to which the respondents may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on 19.12.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. It is the 6th adjournment. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 09.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 403 of 2023

Ex Rfn amit Kumar Bajpai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further two weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity two weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</div><div>3. List on 29.11.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 411 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Bijaypal Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 28.11.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 412 of 2023

Ex Sep Nirbhay Bux Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under preparation and prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 14.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 440 of 2023

Ex Ris Sukhvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate
Shri DK Dixit, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 15.12.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 12)

O.A. No. 562 of 2023

Lt Col Rupesh Sharma (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra holding brief for Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 15.12.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 572 of 2023

Ex L/NK Ranvir Singh

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present through video conferencing.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 22.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.14)

O.A. No. 640 of 2023

Ex Sub Munna Lal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 05.01.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.15)

O.A. No. 653 of 2023

Ex Sigmn Ashutosh Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. O.A is allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.16)

O.A. No. 709 of 2023

Ex Ris Rakesh Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Maj SM Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 24.11.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div><div>RK/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)

O.A. No. 712 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Anil Singh Kushwaha
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</div><div>3. List on 30.11.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.18)

O.A. No. 740 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Mool ChandApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents who is present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that counter affidavit is under vetting and prays for further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>3. List on 11.12.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.19)

O.A. No. 741 of 2023

Ex. DFR Yogender Singh Solanki Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri A.K. Choudhary, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. O.A is partly allowed.</p> <p>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</p> <p>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.20)

O.A. No.792 of 2023

Ex.Hav. Pramod Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Gupta, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Virendra Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheeh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that comments are awaited from the Department. He prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 15.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.21)

O.A. No.793 of 2023

Ex Sub Bhim SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauah,Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present through video conferencing.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 09.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.22)

O.A. No. 794 of 2023

Ex Sep Neeraj Kumar Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is under vetting and prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 29.11.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

O.A. No. 848 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Sankar C

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 24.11.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.24)

O.A. No. 860 of 2023

Ex Sub Huidrom Bishorjit Meitei
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Shiv Kumar Saroj, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</div><div>4. O.A is partly allowed.</div><div>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</div><div>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.25)

O.A. No. 862 of 2023

Ex L/NK Mahesh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Applicant
Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing earlier opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. In the interest of justice, a last opportunity of four weeks is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 08.01.2024.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.26)

O.A. No. 1008 of 2023

Ex Sub Harihar Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present through video conferencing and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present before the Court.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 17.01.2024.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>RK/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.27)

O.A. No. 1022 of 2023

Ex sub (Clk /SD) Jaswant Singh Bhandrai
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Ld. Counsel for the respondents is directed to serve a copy of the same to the applicant’s counsel.</div><div>3. List on 23.11.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div></div><div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.28)

O.A. No. 1185 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1641 of 2023

Ex. NK Bharat Singh Rawat

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on 06.12.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J) </p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.29)

T.A. No. 8 of 2022

Ex Rect Surendra Kumar

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J N Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div><div>07.11.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div></div><div><div>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that PPO has been issued, regular pension is being given but the arrears and interest has not been granted.</div><div>3. Ld. Counsel for the official respondents (R- 1 to 4) stated that compliance has been made and nothing is pending on part of the official respondents. Further direction may be given to the to the Bank i.e Respondent No. 5 and 6 who have not made the payment as per PPO issued.</div><div>4. Perusal of the file reveals that notices issued to the respondent No. 5 and 6, were deemed sufficient but none was present on behalf of the Bank on the previous date. It was directed that ex-parte order against the respondent No. 5 and 6 may be passed. Today also none appears on behalf of the Bank.</div><div>5. In view of above, Registry is directed to issue notice to the Manager of the concerned bank to appear in person before the Court on the next date fixed to clarify/ explain his position with regard to the case.</div><div>6. List on 19.12.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div></div>
	RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.30)

T.A. No. 6 of 2013

Zamir Ahmad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate
Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>07.11.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Written submissions filed by the respondents are taken on record.</p> <p>3. List on 05.01.2024.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</div></div> <p>RK/-</p>