

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No.1)

O.A. No. 929 of 2023

Hav. Roby Kunjumon Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of appearance filed by Ms. Appoli Srivastava, advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Ld. Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri M.K Awasthi holding brief for Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order passed by the respondents.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised preliminary objection on the maintainability of the O.A stating that applicant is presently posted at Sagar, M.P. Hence, this case is not maintainable before this Tribunal. On the other hand, ld. counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned order has been passed by Army Medical Corps Records, C/o 56 APO which falls under the jurisdiction of this Tribunal. As such cause of action arises at Lucknow itself.5. Objection raised by the respondents has no substance and is hereby rejected.6. Case needs adjudication.7. Admit.8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.9. List on 18.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 931 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1229 of 2023

Col. Umendra Kumar Vaish (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 931 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 248 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 120 of 2023

Ex. HFL Vijay Shanker Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri S.K. Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non-compliance of the order dated 29.03.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 120 of 2023.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.5. List on 09.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 249 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 256 of 2022

Ex. Hav. Manoj Kumar Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief for Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non - compliance of the order dated 15.07.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 256 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.5. List on 09.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 250 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 632 of 2022

Ex. WO Vikash Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non-compliance of the order dated 02.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 632 of 2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.5. List on 09.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)

M.A. No. 1213 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1214 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 939 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex Sub Mahesh Chandra Bhatt

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India and Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 939 of 2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 16 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No.7)

M.A. No. 1215 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1216 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 721 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Lt Col Madhu Mohan Dube (Retd)

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 02.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 721/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 28 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)

M.A. No. 1219 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1220 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 674 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav (ACP- I) Hiralal Singh

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1219/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 674/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 08 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1220/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)

M.A. No. 1221 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1222 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 944 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex Nk Dilip Kumar

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 944/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 23 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)

M.A. No. 1225 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1226 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 239 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Ex L/NK Sanjay Kumar Patel

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1225/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 239/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 11 months and 18 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1226/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)

M.A. No. 1227 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1228 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 784 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Hav. (MACP-III) Inder Singh Negi

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A No. 1227/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 20.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 784/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected. <p><u>M.A No. 1228/2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J) RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)

M.A. No. 1230 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1231 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 907 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Nb. Sub. Manesh Shanker NS

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & Others.2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 06.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 907/2022.3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months and 27 days in filing of application be condoned.4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 868 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1111 of 2023

Ex. MWO (HFO) Harendra Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 years 09 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the appeal against the impugned order filed by the applicant is still pending and the stipulated period to decide the same has not expired. The instant petition is premature and is liable to be dismissed.5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A with liberty to file afresh after statutory period.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised no objection.7. Accordingly, O.A is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file afresh after statutory period as per law. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)

O.A. No. 870 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1113 of 2023

Ex. MWO Dipak Kumar Mondal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 years 03 months and 28 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that the appeal against the impugned order filed by the applicant is still pending and the stipulated period to decide the same has not been expired. The instant petition is premature and is liable to be dismissed.5. Ld. Counsel for the applicant seeks permission of this Tribunal to withdraw the O.A with liberty to file afresh after statutory period.6. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised no objection.7. Accordingly, O.A is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to file afresh after statutory period as per law. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 920 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1204 of 2023

Ex. LME Vivek Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey holding brief for Shri Devendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 920 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 18.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 6 of 2019 Inre O.A. No. 290 of 2016

Ex. Sep. Harendra Vikram Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded and matter is under process. He seeks further two weeks' time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

Ex. No. 2 of 2020 Inre O.A. No. 269 of 2015

Ex. Nb Sub. Radhey Shyam Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up in the revised list for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Account Officer, PCDA are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the order sheet reveals that after filing of compliance affidavit as well as objection/ reply to it, respondents as per direction of this Tribunal has filed a detailed supplementary affidavit on which Ld. Counsel for the applicant opposed and states that he wants to file reply/ say over it.</p> <p>3. Considering the above position, last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file his reply/ say over the supplementary affidavit filed by the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost imposed vide earlier order of this Tribunal on or before the next date.</p> <p>5. List on 06.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

Ex.A. No. 81 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 183 of 2018

Ex. NK Arun Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. At the outset, Id. Counsel for the applicant very fairly states that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with and nothing remains in the matter to adjudicate.3. Compliance affidavit has already been filed by the Id. Counsel for the respondents.4. In view of above, the instant execution application stands disposed of as the order of this Tribunal has been complied with in full satisfaction. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 350 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 77 of 2022

Ex. NK. Chandra Mohan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that neither the cost has been deposited nor the responsible officer from the respondents' side is present before the Court as per previous order to this Tribunal to explain the position/status.</p> <p>3. It is informed that because of the fact that government sanction has been accorded that is why previous order dated 22.05.2023 by which responsible officer was summoned, has not been complied with. Departmental representative is directed to file an affidavit to this effect so that this execution application be finally disposed of.</p> <p>4. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 26 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 274 of 2021

Hav. Vikas Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and seeks adjournment to file compliance affidavit. Last opportunity is granted to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

Ex-A. No. 41 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 325 of 2022

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Jahir Khan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and is pending with Line Directorate. He seeks further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

Ex. A. No. 44 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 326 of 2022

Ex. Ris Satendra Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Deevesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Satendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is informed that Leave to Appeal is pending and no stay has been granted in the matter.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a last opportunity of four weeks to file compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 104 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 362 of 2021

Ex. Sep. Raju J Akkatangerhal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Om Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Om Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that RSMB has been carried out and compliance has been made. He seeks further time to file compliance affidavit to this effect. Two weeks time is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

O.A. No. 1082 of 2022

Sqd. Ldr. Mahendra Pal Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar , Advocate
Shri Ajit SinghGaherwar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri S.N. Singh Gaherwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the application within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

O.A. No. 205 of 2023

Ex. Nb. Sub. Suresh Chand Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation. He prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 14)

O.A. No. 206 of 2023

Col. Satyaroop (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Anupam Mishra holding brief for Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 25.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 15)

O.A. No. 207 of 2023

Col. Pushkar Saluja (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate
Shri Anand Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shir Anupam Mishra holding brief for Shri S.G. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Capt. Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 26.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 304 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Arun Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 26.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 307 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ravjit Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. A.K. Srivastava (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Amarendu Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col.A.K. Srivastava (Retd.) and, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 01.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 346 of 2023

Ex. POCOM (EW) Gavendra Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing in the revised list none present for the applicant. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.3. List on 27.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 347 of 2023

Ex. POELP Pankaj Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that para-wise comments have been received. He prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 27.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No.390 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sanjay Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation. She prays for and is granted further ten days time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 17.08.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 491 of 2023

Ex. NC (E) Satyavir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Chaturbhuj Dwivedi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 512 of 2023

Ex. Sub. /Gnr. Surendra Kumar Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.3. List on 25.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 23)

T.A. No. 10 of 2023

Ex. Cpl. Krishna Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 675 of 2020

Ex. Hav. Surendra Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Vakalatnama filed by Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to print his name as counsel of the applicant in the future cause list.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that preliminary objection with regard to the maintainability is to be argued in this case.4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant sought adjournment to prepare the case.5. Adjourned.6. List on 04.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 188 of 2023

Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.)Gajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R.C.Jain (Veteran), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>08.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Col. R.C. Jain (Veteran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Pleadings have been exchanged.3. Original documents are required to adjudicate the matter.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.5. List on 15.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>