

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 526 of 2023**

**Nk Mohit Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. List revised.</li><li>2. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>3. Heard Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file objections against the maintainability of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>13.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 527 of 2023 with M.A. No. 638 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Jaya Prakash Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 02 Years 07month and 09 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 527 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li></ol>

9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

10. List on **21.08.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (A) Member (J)**

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 528 of 2023 with M.A. No. 640 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Sagir Ahmed** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
Ms. Alka Saxena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Ms. Alka Saxena, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 Year 07 month and 05 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 528 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li></ol>

9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

10. List on **21.08.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (J)**

RK

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 529 of 2023 with M.A. No. 641 of 2023**

**Ex Sub Ashok Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of ten months only. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application for condonation of delay and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being delay of more than six months in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.</li><li>5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.</li><li>6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed and delay is condoned.</li></ol>

**O.A. No. 529 of 2023**

7. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.
8. Admit.
9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.
10. List on **21.08.2023**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (A) Member (J)**

RK/-







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 7)**

**EX-A. No. 113 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 558 of 2022**

**Ex Lance Hav Pawar Satish Popat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to seek instructions from the concerned authority and to file compliance report.</li><li>4. List on <b>04.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No. 9)**

**EX- A. No. 132 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 234 of 2020**

**Ex Sgt Pradyumn Pathak** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. No one present on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>2. Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for two weeks' more time to comply the order. As prayed, two weeks' further time is granted to file compliance report subject to payment of the cost imposed vide previous order. It is clarified that if the order of the Tribunal is not complied with by the next date fixed, responsible officer shall remain present in the Court to clarify his position.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(Sl. No. 10)**

**EX-A. No. 341 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 330 of 2021**

**Nb Sub Dharmendra Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record to which the applicant may file objection, if any, within two weeks.</li><li>3. List on <b>04.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p><small>RK/-</small></p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(Sl. No. 11)**

**EX-A. No. 15 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 237 of 2022**

**Ex Nk Vijendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Santosh Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Santosh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>18.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(SI. No.12)**

**EX-A. No. 22 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 830 of 2021**

**Ex Nb Ris Pradeep Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri SN Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri SN Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>25.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(Sl. No. 13)**

**EX-A. No. 30 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 11 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Dushyant Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>25.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>                      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>    <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(Sl. No. 15)**

**EX-A. No. 32 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 865 of 2021**

**Col (TS) Ezajullah Ansari (Retd)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri SG Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance report. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance report. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the compliance affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</li><li>3. List on <b>26.07.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>RK/-</p>







**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1(Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 972 of 2022**

**Ex Hav Shiv Raj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</li><li>3. Briefly stated facts of the case are that the applicant was enrolled in Army on 13.05.1963. He sustained "<b>Blast Injury both Hands</b>" during service on 31.12.1978 and he was invalidated out from service on 20.11.1983 on completion of 20 years and 91 days of service. The medical board opined 'Injury sustained on duty' and considered as attributable to military duty. However, Medical Advisor PCDA(P) Allahabad rejected claim of the applicant for grant of disability element stating that applicant does not fulfil conditions for grant of disability element. Being aggrieved, applicant has filed instant O.A. for grant of disability element.</li><li>4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant suffered Blast Injury Both Hands and his disability was considered as attributable to military service and applicant was invalidated out from service before completion of terms of engagement. Medical Advisor at PCDA (P), Allahabad without examination of the individual rejected his claim for grant of disability element. Learned counsel for the applicant pleaded that since</li></ol>

applicant suffered Blast Injury Both Hands on duty and his injury was considered as attributable to military service, he is entitled for grant of disability element.

5. On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that after getting injury, applicant was invalidated out from service in low medical category and he was granted service pension on retirement. His claim for grant of disability element was processed by CMP Records. Medical Advisor at PCDA (P), Allahabad rejected his claim vide letter dated 31.01.1984 stating that individual does not fulfil the conditions for grant of disability element. He further submitted that as per rules disability element may be granted to an individual who is invalidated out of service on account of disability which is attributable to or aggravated by military service and is assessed at 20% or more.
6. In the instant case applicant sustained injury while he was on duty and he was placed in low medical category. His disability was considered as attributable to military service. Perusal of the Invaliding Medical Board reveals that the Medical Board has not indicated the percentage of disability as well as duration which is mandatory to adjudicate the matter for grant of disability element.
7. Accordingly, respondents are directed to intimate date for holding a fresh Re-assessment Medical Board of the applicant within two months from today to assess present medical condition of the applicant indicating disability percentage for grant of disability element. On receipt of date, applicant shall appear before the medical board for his medical examination on the date fixed. Respondents are also directed to consider and decide the claim of the applicant for grant of disability element on the basis of fresh Re-assessment Medical Board report as per rules.

8. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed off finally with liberty to the applicant to approach this Tribunal, if dissatisfied with the decision of the respondents.
9. Copy of this order be provided to respondents free of cost for processing the case for further action.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
**Member (A)**

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
**Member (J)**

ukt/-









**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 48 of 2023**

**Ex WO Vinod Kumar Chaurasia** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. O.A is allowed.</li><li>4. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.1 (SI. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 90 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Chandrama Ram**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further ten days time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity ten days time is granted to the respondents to file the same.</li><li>3. List on <b>22.05.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 92 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Ved Prakash**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further ten days time to file counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed counter affidavit. As a last opportunity ten days time is granted to the respondents to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on <b>29.05.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**

**Court No.1 (SI. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 141 of 2012**

**Smt. Rekha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nishant Verma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.05.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P Pandey holding brief for Shri Nishant Verma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment.</li><li>3. Adjourned as a last opportunity.</li><li>4. Respondents are directed to retain the original documents pertaining to the case and produce the same on the next date for perusal of the Court.</li><li>5. List on <b>11.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>









