

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No 1213 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1692 of 2023**

**Ex. HFO Ashok Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Tiwari, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year 01 month and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1213 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 1215 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1694 of 2023**

**Ex.sep Rajendra Prasad Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 17 years 06 months and 16 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objections with regard to delay in filing the O.A. He seeks and is allowed two weeks time to file objections with regard to delay in filing of the O.A.</li><li>5. List on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1216 of 2023 with M.A. No 1695 of 2023**

**Uday Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri RC Dixit, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri RC Dixit, learned counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, learned counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this Original Application for enrolment in the Army. There is no delay in filing of this Original Application. Delay condonation application stands dismissed being unwarranted.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant cleared physical and medical test at Recruitment Centre on 05.02.2020. Verification of documents was carried out. Applicant cleared written test on 18.11.2020 and Call letter dated 05.12.2020 was sent to applicant by ZRO and applicant was directed to report to 1 EME Centre on 31.12.2020 but he was not dispatched for training due to false SHO Haidergarh report. Applicant has filed this Original Application with the prayer to allow him to join training at 1 EME Centre.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection and argued that the applicant passed tests but he was not enrolled in the Army. By means of this Original Application, he has prayed that he may be sent for training at 1 EME Centre. It is also submitted on behalf of the respondents that until and unless, a person is enrolled in the Army, he does</p> <p style="text-align: center;">-2-</p>

not become subject to the Army Act, therefore, the O.A. is not maintainable before this Tribunal.

5. Before proceeding further, we would like to reproduced certain provisions of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007. Section 2 of the Act deals with the applicability of the Act, which reads as under:-

*“2. **Applicability of the Act:** (1) The provisions of this Act shall apply to all persons subject to the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950).*

*(2) This Act shall also apply to retired personnel subject to the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) or the Air Force Act (45 of 1959), including their dependants, heirs and successors, in so far as it relates to their service matters.*

Section 3(O) of the Act defines service matter, which reads as under:

*(o) “service matters, in relation to the persons subject to the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950), mean all matters relating to the conditions of their service and shall include—*

*(i) remuneration (including allowances), pension and other retirement benefits;*  
*(ii) tenure, including commission, appointment, enrolment, probation, confirmation, seniority, training, promotion, reversion, premature retirement, superannuation, termination of service and penal deductions;*

*(iii) summary disposal and trials where the punishment of dismissal is awarded;*

*(iv) any other matter, whatsoever, but shall not include matters relating to—*

*(i) orders issued under section 18 of the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), sub-section (1) of section 15 of the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and section 18 of the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950); and*

*(ii) transfers and postings including the change of place or unit on posting whether individually or as a part of unit, formation or ship in relation to the persons subject to the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950), the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) and the Air Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950).*

*(iii) leave of any kind;*

*(iv) Summary Court Martial except where the punishment is of dismissal or imprisonment for more than three months; Section 14, under which the Original applications are filed before this Tribunal, is also relevant to some extent in this matter. Relevant part of Section 14 reads as under :*

**“14. Jurisdiction, powers and authority in service matters. –**

*(1) Save as otherwise expressly provided in this Act, the Tribunal shall exercise, on and from the appointed day, all the jurisdiction, powers and authority, exercisable immediately before that day by all courts (except the Supreme Court or a High Court exercising jurisdiction under article 226 and 227 of the Constitution) in relation to all service matters.*

*(2) Subject to the other provisions of this Act, a person aggrieved by an order pertaining to any service matter may make an application to the Tribunal in such form and accompanied by such documents or other evidence and on payment of such fee as may be prescribed.*

6. Thus, a joint reading of the aforesaid provisions of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act shows that only the service matters of the persons subject to Army, Navy or Air Force Act are maintainable before this Tribunal. This point has been considered by the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in the case of **Union of India vs. Kapil Kumar** (Special Appeal No. 833 of 2015) decided on 24.11.2015 presided over by Hon'ble Dr. D.Y.Chandrachud, Chief Justice (as his Lordship then was). Hon'ble Division Bench, after considering all the relevant provisions of the Armed forces Tribunal Act, 2007, has decided as under : —

*“The above observations would indicate that before the Tribunal can exercise jurisdiction under Section 14, the person in relation to whom the dispute arises must be subject to one of the three legislations (the Army Act 1950, the Air Force Act 1950 or the Navy Act 1957) and the ingredients of the definition of the expression 'service matter' must also be fulfilled. The judgment of the learned Single Judge in Devi Saran Mishra vs. Union of India involved a situation where a direction was issued to the effect that all matters pending before this Court which were the subject matter of the Armed Forces Tribunal in terms of Section 34 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 were directed to be transferred to the Tribunal at Lucknow. Evidently, this decision of the learned Single Judge covers those cases which are within the ambit of the jurisdiction of the Tribunal having due regard to the provisions of Sections 14 and 15 of the Act. We, therefore, find merit in the appeal filed by the Union of India, challenging the decision of the learned Single Judge. In the present case, we find that the learned Single Judge has simply ordered that the proceedings be transferred under Section 34 without considering as to whether the matter was within the jurisdiction of the Tribunal under Section 14. The relief which the respondent seeks is to provide him entry into the*

*service of the Army. There is not even an averment to the effect that the respondent was enrolled as a member of the Armed Force. On the contrary, the respondent has sought to question the decision by which he was declared unfit for enrollment on the ground that he did not meet the required medical standard. Such a dispute which arose prior to the enrollment of the respondent into the Armed Forces would not fall within the definition of the expression "service matters" under Section 3(o) because ex facie, the respondent is not a person who is subject to the Army Act 1950.*

*(underlined by us)*

7. Since the point whether the pre-enrolment case is maintainable before this Tribunal has been considered by a Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad and it has been held that since the applicant was not enrolled, therefore, he was not subject to the Army Act and hence this Tribunal has no jurisdiction to entertain matters of these persons who are not subject to the Army Act, Navy Act and Air Force Act.

8. In view of the discussions, made hereinabove, O.A. No. 1216 of 2023 is not maintainable before this Tribunal and is accordingly **dismissed**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**

**Member (A)**

**Member (J)**

UKT/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1218 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1697 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Bhup Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ved Prakash and Devendra Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 10 months and 23 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1218 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 1219 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1698 of 2023**

**Ex WO Qadir Ali** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Devendra Kumar and Shri Vinay Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 25 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1219 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 1220 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1699 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Ashish Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Adovate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 23 days only in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1220 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 1221 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Kundan Singh Mehra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P.Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P.Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>4. Admit.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>6. List on <b>20.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)**

**Ex. A No. 380 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 45 of 2022**

**Nb Sub (TA) Anil Kumar Tewari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 11.07.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 45 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>07.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)**

**Ex.A. No. 381 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 521 of 2022**

**Ex NK Chakradhar Majhi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 12.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 521 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>24.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 382 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 1081 of 2022**

**Col Anand Kumar Tapadar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 03.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 1081 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>24.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 1583 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1584 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 216 of 2023**

**Union India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. MWO Prabhat Kumar Singh** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others .</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.08.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 216/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months 14 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)**

**M.A. No. 1685 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1686 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 290 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Wg. Cdr. Satyendra Kumar Chauhan** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 17.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 290/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months 15 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 1687 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1688 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 325 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Jahir Khan)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1687/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicants/Respondents -Uol&amp;Ors</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.09.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 325/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 11 months and 18 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 11 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1688/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 14)**

**M.A. No. 1690 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1691 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 24 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**JWO Satyavir Singh (Retd.)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 24/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 04 months 20 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 100px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 15)**

**M.A. No. 1710 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 926 of 2023**

**Ex. WO (HFO Raqunuddin Mansoori)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Objections against the maintainability of the O.A have been filed by the respondents. Same is taken on record.</li><li>3. This case is already fixed for hearing on 16.12.2023.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant has filed an Early listing application vide MA No. 1710/2023. Same is allowed for the reasons stated in the affidavit.</li><li>5. Accordingly, M.A No. 1710 of 2023 stands disposed of.</li><li>6. List this case on <b>11.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)**

**Ex. A. No. 73 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 588 of 2022**

**Ex.Hav.Cik (SD) Lalit Narayan Thakur** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra , Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4/MoD since 16.08.2023. He seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/ clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</p> <p>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on <b>03.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 2)**

**Ex. A. No. 80 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 498of 2021**

**Ex.NK Liyakat Ansari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N.Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4/MoD. He seeks further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed. It is made clear that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date, explanation/clarification of the responsible officer for not implementing the order shall be filed by way of an affidavit.</li><li>3. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost imposed vide previous order(s) of this Tribunal.</li><li>4. List on <b>16.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)**

**Ex. A. No. 106 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 396 of 2022**

**Ex.NK Jai Prakash** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>16.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

**Ex.A. No. 116 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 504 of 2021**

**Ex.Hav. Hari Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4. He prays for further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed compliance affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the same on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>16.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 129 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 59 of 2021**

**Ex.Sgt. Sudish Prasad Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Viswesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Vishwesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that PPO has been issued but the payment has not yet been made by the respondents.</li><li>3. Objections against the compliance affidavit, filed by the applicant is taken on record to which the respondents may file their reply/ say within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>09.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)**

**Ex. A. No. 132 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 345 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub. (Hony. Lt.) Ati Raj Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Objection against the execution application filed by the respondents is taken on record. Applicant may file his reply/ say over the objections within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)**

**Ex. A. No. 200 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 631 of 2022**

**Ex.Sub. Umesh Singh Kushwaha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R. K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and is pending before PS-4/MoD since 01.07.2023. He seeks further four weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed as a last opportunity.</li><li>3. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)**

**Ex. A. No. 241 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 139 of 2017**

**Smt. Sharda Subba W/o Ex. L/NK Gam Bir Subba** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. None present on behalf of respondent No. 5. Notice has already been issued. 30 days have elapsed, notice has not been received back served or unserved. Hence, notice deemed to be sufficient.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Count of Inquiry can be done as per rules if the person found to be absent for more than 30 days. He prays for and granted two weeks time to seek instructions in this regard.</p> <p>4. List on <b>07.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 244 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 735 of 2021**

**Ex. Nb. Ris. Ram Babu** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dharma Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S. N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that government sanction has been accorded in the matter. He prays for and is granted two weeks time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>((Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 248 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 120 of 2023**

**Ex. HFL Vijay Shanker Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri S.K. Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Abhishek Singh holding brief for Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Supplementary affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. Last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)**

**Ex.A. No. 249 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 256 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Manoj Kumar Sharma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Veer Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that parawise comments have been received and seeks further two weeks time to file compliance affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 12)**

**Ex.A. No. 250 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 632 of 2022**

**Ex.WO Vikash Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that PPO has been issued and seeks further time to file compliance affidavit. Two weeks time is granted for the same.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 913 of 2022 with M.A. No. 914 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 45 of 2016**

**Ex. Sep. Yogesh Giri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>11. Heard Shri D.S. Kauntae, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>12. Vide order dated 19.09.2022 this Tribunal has directed the Ld. Counsel for the applicant to explain as to how delay condonation application has been filed prior to filing of recall application and why the application be not dismissed on this reason. On 01.11.2022 on the request of Ld. Counsel for the applicant three weeks time was granted to file supplementary affidavit after furnishing its copy to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>13. In compliance of order dated 19.09.2022 and 01.11.2022 supplementary/additional affidavit and reply thereto have been filed by the applicant and respondents respectively, which have already been taken on record.</p> <p>14. In the supplementary affidavit dated 26.11.2022 it has been stated that the case of the applicant was dismissed in default on 05.10.2021 due to non-appearance of the applicant which came to the knowledge of the applicant on 09.11.2021 when he received a certified copy of the said order. After that the applicant approached his Counsel for filing necessary restoration application on or before 04.11.2021 but the same has been filed on 25.03.2022 resulting 142 days delay and not 106 days which is attributed to the reasons of suffering brain stroke by the Counsel for the applicant. The applicant has filed the delay application and its supporting affidavit showing dates as 11.11.2021 due to an inadvertent mistake and oversight and a fresh amended application for condonation of delay has been filed afresh.</p> <p>15. In reply, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that when the applicant has failed to explain as to how delay condonation application dated 11.11.2021 has been filed prior to filing of recall application dated 25.03.2022,</p>

the supplementary affidavit is not legal and justified in the circumstances, neither any amendment can be made to this delay condonation application. The applicant has failed to explain this anomaly and in the circumstances the applications are liable to be rejected.

16. Upon hearing submissions of Ld. Counsel for both the parties we find that applicant has explained the reasons stating that due to an inadvertent mistake, oversight and in a state of inadvertent absence of mind the aforesaid anomaly occurred. The cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, the supplementary/additional affidavit is treated in support of delay condonation application.

17. List on **11.12.2023** for hearing on delay condonation application as well as on recall application.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)**  
Member (A)

**(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (J)

AKD/-



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.14 )**

**O.A. No. 398 of 2018**

**Lt. Col. C.N. Tembe (Retd.) & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arun Kumar, Advocate  
Col. R.A. Pandey (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Arun Kumar and Col. R.A. Pandey (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.15 )**

**O.A. No. 779 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Md. Mumtaj Alam**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Deepak Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vishal Saxena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri Deepak Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant is taken on record.</p> <p>3. List for final hearing on <b>28.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.16 )**

**O.A. No. 1017 of 2022**

**Prahlad Ram Meena** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.S. Kauntae, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents, is taken on record. Rejoinder affidavit, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)**

**O.A. No. 180 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Surendra Kumar Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant stated that rejoinder affidavit is under preparation and seeks further two week's time to file the same. Two week's time is allowed to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.18 )**

**O.A. No. 211 of 2023**

**Ex. L/Nk. Deepak yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that CA is ready and the same shall be filed within a week. Ten days time is granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit failing which opportunity to file the same shall stand closed and the matter shall be decided according to law on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>3. Respondents are also directed to deposit the cost imposed vide order dated 26.09.2023.</p> <p>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.19 )**

**O.A. No. 250 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Ajeet Kumar Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.20 )**

**O.A. No. 296 of 2023**

**Hony. Nb. Sub. Anil Yadav**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 421 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Sandeep Bhatnagar (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyan (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>





**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.23 )**

**O.A. No. 529 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Ashok Kumar Tripathi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>partly allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.24 )**

**O.A. No. 547 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Ram Bhawan Dubey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.G. Singh, Advocate  
Shri Anand Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time as a last opportunity to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 559 of 2023**

**Ex. POEL (R) Deepak Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.26 )**

**O.A. No. 584 of 2023**

**Sgt. Birendra Kumar Patel (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks time to file counter affidavit as a matter of last opportunity.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 705 of 2023**

**Ex. JWO Suresh Kumar Verma** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. O.A is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>5. Detailed order on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;">Member (J)</span></b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 804 of 2023 with M.A. No. 956 of 2023**

**Ex. MWO Shiv Darshan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra holding brief for Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objection against the delay has been filed by the respondents. Same is taken on record. Applicant may file his reply/ say over the objections raised by the respondents within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.29 )**

**O.A. No. 855 of 2023**

**Gp. Capt. Sanjeev Kumar Singh Gosain (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record to which the applicant may file rejoinder affidavit, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>20.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.30 )**

**O.A. No. 962 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1280 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Vishweshwar Kumar Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted further two weeks time to file reply to the objections raised by the respondents towards maintainability of the O.A.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.32 )**

**O.A. No. 821 of 2022**

**Ex. Swr. Mithilesh Kumar Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Pandey holding brief for Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Departmental Representative, are present.</li><li>2. Departmental representative informed that the arguing counsel is not present and prays for adjournment.</li><li>3. Accordingly, matter is adjourned.</li><li>4. List on <b>06.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)**

**T.A. No. 19 of 2017 with M.A. No. 799 of 20217**

**Sepoy Vinod Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Kauntae, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>09.10.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.S. Kauntae, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the respondents, matter is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>21.12.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>