

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 765 of 2023 with M.A. No. 918 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Sushil Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 765 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 766 of 2023 with M.A. No. 919 of 2023**

**Gp. Capt. Rakesh Ranjan (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh Ld. Counsel for the respondents</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 03 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 766 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**  
**O.A. No. 768 of 2023 with M.A. No. 882 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Vibhishan yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant after condoning delay of 07 years, 05 months and 16 days (Total 2721 days) in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 21.03.1983 in medical category Shape-I and was discharged from service on 31.07.2013 in low medical category after rendering 32 years of service. In regard to 'Psoriasis Vulgaris' applicant was issued a PPO No. S/14035/2014 (Army) dated 03.02.2014, however, nothing has been received regarding disability element yet.</p> <p>5. It is further submitted that delay in filing of Original Application is not deliberate.</p> <p>6. Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 768 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Applicant has no grievance against respondent Nos. 1 to 3. His grievance is against respondent Nos. 4 and 5 as despite PPO being issued he is not getting disability element of pension.</p> <p>8. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>9. Admit.</p> <p>10. Issue notice to respondent Nos. 4 and 5 returnable within four weeks.</p> <p>11. Steps for notice be taken within a week.</p> <p>12. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 770 of 2023 with M.A. No. 923 of 2023**

**Ex. Sep. Rajat thapa** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nishikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumr Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 05 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 774 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Abdul Qadir** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>4. Admit.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>6. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 775 of 2023 with M.A. No. 928 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Shiv Singh Dangri** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 03 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. Name of Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate be also shown as Counsel for the applicant when the case is listed next.</li><li>11. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 776 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Ram Naresh Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 777 of 2023**

**Col. (TS) Gautam Kumar Jha** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>19.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**Ex. A. No. 178 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 995 of 2022**

**Maj. Uma Shankar (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri J.L. Joel, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri J.L. Joel, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 21.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 995 of 2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 179 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 383 of 2022**

**Ex. Sgt. Santosh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 14.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 383 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instruction regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A) <span style="margin-left: 150px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 920 of 2023 with M.A. No. 921 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 71 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Nk. Vimal Pandey**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 01.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 71 of 2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**Diary No. 1209 of 2023**

**Ex. Swr. Dinesh Chandra Bastyal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate  
Shri G.C. Joshi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</li><li>2. Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>3. Defects have not been removed.</li><li>4. Applicant must remove defects within two weeks failing which application may be dismissed for non-prosecution.</li><li>5. List on <b>10.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**Ex. A. No. 97 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 445 of 2020**

**Ex. Rfn. Harvir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj submits that applicant's claim for issuance of PPO has been received, PPO will be issued soon. Thus he has prayed a week time which is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>11.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**Ex. A. No. 252 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 291 of 2021**

**Ex. Sep. Raghuvendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shyam Sunder Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Order dated 09.11.2021 has not yet been implemented.</p> <p>3. On 16.02.2023 four weeks further time was granted to the respondents for compliance of the order subject to condition that if the order is not complied with within given time Director, PS-4, PS Directorate, AG's Branch, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi will appear in person in Tribunal to show cause why coercive measure be not taken against him. On 05.04.2023 Director, AG/PS-4 (Pension and Legal), Defence Office Complex, K.G. Marg, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi appeared in Tribunal and sought four weeks further time for compliance of the order which was allowed. Despite the same, order has not yet been complied with rather it is stated that file is still lying with the office of Director, PS-4.</p> <p>4. In the circumstances, four weeks further time is granted for making compliance of the order and it is directed that in the event of order being not complied with within given time Director, AG/PS-4 (Pension and Legal), Defence Office Complex, K.G. Marg, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi will appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed and show cause by filing his personal affidavit why contempt proceedings be not initiated against him for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>5. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</p> <p>6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**Ex. A. No. 257 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 340 of 2019**

**Ex. HFO Sureshwari Prasad Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Rakesh Kumar, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sushir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey and Shri Rakesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. On 18.05.2023 applicant had sought time to file objection against compliance report.</li><li>3. No written objection has been filed.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that it is incorrect on the part of respondents to say that PPO in regard to RSMB was immediately issued. It was issued after six months from the date of RSMB was held, therefore, respondents cannot evade their liability to the interest of delayed payment.</li><li>5. The facts remains that in compliance of the order PPO has been issued and arrears have been credited in applicant's account. Applicant is also in receipt of disability element of pension.</li><li>6. In view of above, order seems to have been complied with. Accordingly, Execution Application is <b>dismissed</b> being infructuous.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**

**O.A. No. 306 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 612 of 2021**

**Ex. MWO Suresh Chandra Dwivedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant produced a copy of statement of pension account of the applicant in Tribunal for perusal of the Bench. On perusal of statement of account it appears that disability element is being not paid by the concerned Bank, who is not party in the Execution Application.</p> <p>3. Applicant is, therefore, directed to amend the Execution Application by impleading concerned Bank as party in the Execution Application.</p> <p>4. Steps for impleadment be taken within two weeks.</p> <p>5. List on <b>14.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**Ex. A. No. 328 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 815 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. Mohan Lal Pal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Order under execution has not been implemented yet nor the cost has been deposited.</li><li>3. Four weeks further time has been sought by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents which is allowed subject to condition that in the event of order being not complied with Director, AG/PS-4 (Pension and Legal), Defence Office Complex, K.G. Marg, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi will appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reasons of non-compliance.</li><li>4. Respondents are further directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed.</li><li>5. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</li><li>6. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**Ex. A. No. 28 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 183 of 2022**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Gajadhar Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Order under execution has not been implemented yet.</li><li>3. Four weeks further time has been sought by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents which is allowed subject to condition that in the event of order being not complied with Director, AG/PS-4 (Pension and Legal), Defence Office Complex, K.G. Marg, Integrated Headquarters of Ministry of Defence (Army), New Delhi will appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reasons of non-compliance.</li><li>4. List on <b>12.09.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**Ex. A. No. 73 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 588 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Cik. (SD) Lalit Narayan Thakur** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that after Leave to Appeal being dismissed on 15.03.2023 file of the applicant was forwarded to the AG/PS-4 for implementation of the order. The AG/PS-4 has requested to IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi to examine the case and issue suitable direction/decision in regard to implementation of the order. Thus, four weeks further time has been sought which is allowed. It is directed that in the event of order being not complied with within given time respondents will pay Rs.5,000/- as cost to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**Ex. A. No. 74 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 589 of 2022**

**Ex. WO Shibi Prosad Bandyopadhyay** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to make compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**Ex. A. No. 75 of 2023 Inre : T.A. No. 11 of 2022**

**MWO Tripti Kumar Mishra (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to make compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. List on <b>24.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**M.A. No. 607 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 744 of 2022**

**Col. Arun Suryavanshy (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 607 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey and Shri R.K. Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in affidavit filed in support of correction application, application is <b>partly allowed</b>. The order dated 15.02.2023 in Original Application No. 744 of 2023 be corrected to the following effects :-</p> <p>(i) The name of applicant be corrected as '<b>Suryavanshy</b>' in place of 'Suryanvanshy'.</p> <p>(ii) At page 8 (in para 15) third line rounded off to '50%' be corrected as "<b>75%</b>".</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)**

**O.A. No. 77 of 2016**

**Brig. S.K. Sharma (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.S. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri S.S. Rajawat, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. Outcome of the Appeal is still awaited.</li><li>3. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 819 of 2022**

**Lt. Col. Yashendra Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 1042 of 2022**

**Ex. Sub. Babban Prasad**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 1109 of 2022**

**Ex. MWO Akhilesh Kumar Tiwari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate  
Shri Abhishek Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh and Shri Abhishek Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 343 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. (ACP Nb/Sub) More Sandip Ramchandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 345 of 2023**

**Ex. GDSM Birendra Upadhyay** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>09.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)**

**O.A. No. 607 of 2023 with M.A. No. 744 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Vanama Srinivas Rao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file objection against delay condonation application.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 228 of 2021**

**Dfr. Shiv Chand Singh Chauhan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prabhat Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>dismissed on merit.</b></li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)**

**O.A. No. 107 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Kanhaiya Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate  
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi and Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 780 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Rajesh Kumar Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Pramod Kumar Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 781 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Ghuge Kodiba Govindrao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 784 of 2023 with M.A. No. 933 of 2023**

**MWO (HFL) Shyam Lal Pandey (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.K. Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri V.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 02 years and 23 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 784 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 786 of 2023 with M.A. No. 935 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Babu Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.), Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 786 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 5)**  
**O.A. No. 787 of 2023 with M.A. No. 936 of 2023**

**MWO (HFO) Rajendra Kumar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Shri R.K. Singh and Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 08 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 787 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 789 of 2023 with M.A. No. 938 of 2023

**Ex. Sub. Maj. Lalmani Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 09 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 789 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. SI. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 790 of 2023 with M.A. No. 939 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Ashrfi Lal** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 30.10.1969 and in Shape-I medical category and was invalided out from service on 30.10.1991 after rendering 22 years of service. For disability suffered by the applicant while in service a PPO was issued, however, nothing was paid to the applicant towards disability element. In the year 2022 the applicant's account was migrated to SPARSH but nothing is being paid even after it.</li><li>5. As regards delay of filing of Original Application a delay condonation application has been filed and it is submitted that delay of 9635 days in filing of Original Application is not deliberate. It is further submitted that pensionary benefits cannot be denied on the ground of delay.</li><li>6. Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 790 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Issue notice to respondent No. 4 returnable within four weeks.</li><li>10. Steps for notice be taken within a week.</li><li>11. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET  
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 791 of 2023 with M.A. No. 940 of 2023

**MWO (Hony. FD) Rama Shankar Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>11.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 years, 07 months and 18 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 791 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>18.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>