

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 951 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1238 of 2023

Ex Hav Dileep Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ved Prakash, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 05 months in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 951 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 20.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 955 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1265 of 2023

Ex Nb Sub Mithlesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KP Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri KP Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 955 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 20.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)

M.A. No. 1257 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1258 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 110 of 2023

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Lt Col Ashok Kumar Singh (Retd)

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 31.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 110 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 07 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 945 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1252 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Sushil Kumar Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 26 years05 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks' time to file objection with regard to delay condonation application.6. List on 27.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW

Court No. 1(Sl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 946 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1253 of 2023

Ex. HFO Harish Chandra Tiwari

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 05 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised preliminary objection with regard to the maintainability of the O.A. He prays for and is allowed four weeks' time to file objection.5. List on 14.09.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 948 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1255 of 2023

Ex. JWO Phool Chandra Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 02 years 02 months and 20 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 948 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 20.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 949 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1256 of 2023

Ex. WO Krishna Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Kesahv Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 04 months and 07 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 949 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 20.10.2023. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 87 of 2021 along with R.A. No. 35 of 2022 (decided)
Inre O.A. No. 296 of 2021

Ex. Spr. Rashpal Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents informed that cost has been deposited. He seeks further time for compliance of the order.3. Perusal of the file reveals that direction was given to the respondents to decide the statutory complaint of the applicant which has not yet been decided. Last opportunity is granted to the respondents to comply with the order of this Tribunal by the next date failing which responsible officer from the Department shall remain present before the Tribunal to explain the position.4. List on 18.10.2023.5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 97 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 445 of 2020

Ex. Rfn. Harvir Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohitash Kumar Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and seeks further time to file compliance affidavit. Last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit within four weeks on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 111 of 2021 Inre O.A. No. 480 of 2017

Ex Sep Devender Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri S.S.Rajawat, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh holding brief for Shri S.S. Rajawat, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagrajare present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that applicant was directed to file objection / updated copy of the passbook of the bank. Neither objection nor copy of passbook has been filed by the applicant. Last opportunity is granted to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 01.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 25 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 47 of 2021

Ex. Sub (Clk SD) Gaikwad SayajiDadaso Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.2. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with. Compliance affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents and states that payment has been received.4. On the basis of the statement made by the Ld. Counsel for the parties, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

Ex.A. No. 86 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 303 of 2019

Rect. Beeresh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that neither the cost has been deposited nor the order with regard to reinstatement of the applicant has been complied with.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further time for compliance of the order of this Tribunal. Four weeks time is granted as a last opportunity to the respondents to comply with the order on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 25,000/- in addition to the earlier cost imposed, which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 25.10.2023.</p> <p>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 10)

Ex.A. No. 190 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 145 of 2020

Hav. Dev Prakash Shukla Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for further six weeks time to file compliance affidavit. In the interest of justice, time as prayed is allowed as a matter of last opportunity, on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p>4. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

Ex.A. No. 206 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 558 of 2019

Ex. L/NK Pramod Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K.Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.2. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant agrees with the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the respondents and stated that payment has been received.4. On the basis of submissions made by Ld. Counsel for the parties, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.12)

Ex.A. No. 241 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 308 of 2021

Ex. HFO /MWO Bal Krishna Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that compliance has been made. He placed the calculation sheet before the Tribunal. Same has been handed over to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to go through the calculation sheet and file objection, if any.4. List on 18.08.2023.5. In the meantime, respondents shall file the calculation sheet through an affidavit in the Registry. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 13)

Ex.A. No. 255 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 517 of 2017

Ex.Sep. Rahul Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Respondents are directed to file report of the Medical Board by way of an affidavit with advance copy to the applicant who may file objection, if any, within a week thereafter.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 95 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 330 of 2022

Ex. Sep. Sanoj S Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Uma Yadav, Departmental Representative, for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is informed that Leave to Appeal filed in the matter has already been rejected vide order dated 25.04.2023.</p> <p>3. It is also informed that matter is pending before the Line Directorate, IHQ MoD (Army). Respondents prayed for further time to file compliance affidavit. Four weeks time is granted on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 98 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 287 of 2020

Ex. NK Bhawan Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C.Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri R.K. Tiwari, Sr. Account Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagrajare present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and payment has also been made.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant confirmed that payment has been made.</p> <p>4. In view of statements made by Id. Counsel for the parties, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 779 of 2022

Ex.Sgt.MD Mumtaj Alam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Deepak Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vishal Saxena, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra holding brief for Shri Deepak Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks to file rejoinder affidavit failing which the opportunity to file RA shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 74 of 2023

Ex. NK. Subhas Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. CA filed by the respondents is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the applicant's counsel who sought adjournment to file rejoinder affidavit. Two weeks time is granted to the applicant to file RA.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 102 of 2023

Col. Anil Kumar Mishra (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Chet Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that comments have not yet been received and seeks further time for filing of the counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. It is noticed that three opportunities have already been given to the respondents to file CA. Last opportunity of two weeks time is granted for the same. It is made clear that if CA if not filed by the next date, opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.</p> <p>4. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.) , Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time as a last opportunity to file CA on the condition that if Counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, the right to file the same shall stand forfeited and the matter shall be heard on the basis of the material available on record.</p> <p>3. List on 04.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 250 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Ajeet Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that counter affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed shortly. He seeks further two weeks time to file the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 254 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Yogesh Pal Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 373 of 2023

Sub. Maj. (Hony. Capt) Surender Singh (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K.Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. List has been revised.1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Counter affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised certain preliminary objections with regard to the maintainability of the O.A and submitted that all facts have been stated in the Counter affidavit.4. Rejoinder affidavit has been filed but certain paragraphs of the CA have not been replied in RA for which Id. Counsel for the applicant sought time to file reply by way of supplementary RA. Two weeks time is allowed.5. List on 04.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 380 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Bikash Kumar Gurung Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatt, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that comments have been received and requested for two weeks further time to file counter affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed on the condition that if CA is not filed by the next date, opportunity to file CA shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List on 06.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 418 of 2023

Ex. JWO Rajendra Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that CA is under preparation and seeks further one weeks time to file the same. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 22.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 425 of 2023

Ex. HFO Balwant Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he is not inclined to file rejoinder affidavit.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 559 of 2023

Ex. POEL (R) Deepak Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manish Kumar Rai, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manish Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 27)

O.A. No. 561 of 2023

Ex. AC (U/T) Jai Kant Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav , Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Pal holding brief for Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that comments have been received in the matter and seeks further two weeks time to file Counter affidavit. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 28)

O.A. No. 587 of 2023

Cdr. Preeti Tomar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA is under preparation and seeks further time to file the same. In the interest of justice, two weeks time is granted to the respondents to file counter affidavit on the condition that if compliance affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 5,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 15.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 29)

O.A. No. 693 of 2023 with M.A. No. 839 of 2023

Smt. Asha Rawat W/o Late Jeet Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri N.K. Mishra, Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Amendment application filed by the applicant is allowed for the reasons stated in the application. Applicant is directed to incorporate necessary amendments within a weeks.3. List on 14.09.2023.4. In the meantime, objections, if any, against the delay may also be filed. <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 30)

O.A. No. 695 of 2023 with M.A. No. 841 of 2023

Ex. L/NK Sahadev Thapa Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Narendra Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi holding brief for Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. There is delay of more than 37 years in filing the O.A.3. Objection against the delay filed by the respondents is taken on record to which the reply/ say be filed by the applicant within two weeks.4. List on 29.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

Dairy No.1580 of 2023

Lt. Col. Shashi Bala (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N. Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.K. Mishra holding brief for Shri Aditya Singh Puar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N. Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the basis of the statement made by the counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant that he is brief holder and is not in a position to remove the defects raised by the Registry, another last opportunity is granted to the applicant to remove the defects by the next date. It is made clear that if defects are not removed, the matter shall be disposed of as per law.</p> <p>3. List on 29.09.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 32)

O.A. No. 558 of 2018

NK (Amb. Asst.) Ram Prasad Ahirwar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>11.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment on personal ground.3. Adjourned.4. Original documents are available with the Ld. Counsel for the respondents. Respondents are directed to retain the same for perusal of the Bench on the next date.5. List on 06.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>