

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 810 of 2023 with M.A. No. 963 of 2023

Ex. Sub. Maj. Krishna Chandra Dubey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)
O.A. No. 811 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Sharda Prasad Tiwari
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rahul Pal, Advocate

Applicant

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Rahul Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. Applicant has filed this Original Application for the grant of anti-dated seniority in the rank of Havildar w.e.f. 11.10.2015.</div><div>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that on being considered for promotion to the rank of Havildar applicant could not be promoted along with his batch-mates due to lacking pre-requisite qualifications. After clearing the pre-requisite qualifications applicant was promoted to the rank of Havildar after his juniors.</div><div>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents, on the other hand, submits that applicant has been promoted to the rank of Havildar after clearing the requisite qualification and nothing wrong has been done with him while promoting his juniors before him.</div><div>6. Case needs adjudication.</div><div>7. Admit.</div><div>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</div><div>9. List on 21.09.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 814 of 2023 with MJ.A. No. 967 of 2023

Ex. WO Ram Prasad Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <div><div><p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p></div><div><p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p></div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 815 of 2023 with M.A. No. 968 of 2023

Ex. MWO Bhagwati PrasadApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rama Kant, Advocate
Shri Shiv Narain Kaushal, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</div><div>2. Heard Shri Rama Kant, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</div><div>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</div><div>4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

M.A. No. 965 of 2023 with M.A. No. 966 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 396 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicants
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Versus

Ex. Nk. Jai Prakash Respondent
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 12.10.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 396 of 2022.</p> <p>3. There is a delay of 07 months and 27 days in filing of leave to application.</p> <p>4. Submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & Others is that delay in filing leave to appeal is due to documentation i.e. neither deliberate nor intentional. Thus, his submission is that delay is liable to be condoned and leave to appeal may be allowed to be heard on merit.</p> <p>5. Having heard the submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, we find that explanation offered by the applicants for delay in filing Leave to Appeal is not sufficient. It is settled in law that if time limit is given for filing of any application and the same is not filed within that time limit, delay should be explained on day to day basis which applicant has utterly failed in the present case. Further, we also find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. In the result, we find that delay is not condonable.</p> <p>7. Accordingly, delay condonation application is dismissed.</p> <p>8. Leave to Appeal is also dismissed being time barred as well as on merit.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 26 of 2018 Inre : T.A. No. 178 of 2009

Sriram Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate
Shri Sachindra Pratap Singh, Advocate

Versus

Defence Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Govt. of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
Shri D.C. Lohumi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 prays for four weeks further time for the deposit of cost which is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 23.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

Ex. A. No. 170 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 64 of 2019

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S. Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri D.S. Tiwari, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Warrant Officer S.K. Mishra, Departmental Representatives for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that after the receipt of certified copy of the corrected order the case file of the applicant has been processed for implementation of the order. Thus, he has prayed four weeks further time for implementation of order which is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div data-bbox="389 1658 815 1720" style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </div> <div data-bbox="946 1658 1453 1720" style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 10px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

Ex. A. No. 290 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 283 of 2021

Ex. Sub. Bhrajendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that case file of the applicant for the grant of conditional sanction has already been processed. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

Ex. A. No. 352 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 523 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub/SKT Ramanand Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</div><div>2. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj states that in regard to grant of disability element for the period 01.04.1989 to 12.07.2022 @100% PPO has been issued on 02.05.2023.</div><div>3. Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents states that RSMB of the applicant was held on 13.07.2022 wherein disability being assessed @10% for life no further action is required.</div><div>4. Copy of RSMB be brought on record by way of affidavit within two weeks after serving its copy to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant who will have next two weeks time to file objection, if any.</div><div>5. List on 31.08.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 384 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 641 of 2021

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

Versus

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that in regard to compliance of the order the case file of the applicant was submitted to PS Directorate by the Line Directorate on 25.03.2022. On 27.09.2022 PS Directorate submitted the file to the office of Principal Integrated Financial Advisor (PIFA) for issue of financial concurrence which was received back in the office of PS Directorate on 07.12.2022 with observations. The file was re-submitted to the office of PIFA on 09.12.2022 and was again received back with observations on 29.05.2023. Now the file has been submitted to Ministry of Defence on 06.07.2023 for issuing directions. Thus, four weeks further time has been sought for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, four weeks further time is granted, as prayed. It is directed that if the order is not complied with in given time the officer responsible for implementation of the order will appear in person in Tribunal on the next date fixed to explain the reasons of non-compliance and also why necessary action be not taken against him for non-compliance.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to deposit the cost due against them by the next date fixed.</p> <p>5. List on 28.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

Ex. A. No. 385 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 452 of 201

Ex. Sep. Shrawan Kumar Tripathi
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents is present and he submitted that after the conduct of the RSMB case file of the applicant has been processed for issue of Government Sanction. Four weeks further time has been sought for implementation of the order which is allowed.</p> <p>2. List on 29.08.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

Ex. A. No. 85 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 84 of 2022

Hav. (MACP II Hav. Grade) Dinesh Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Applicant

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to file affidavit of compliance.</div><div>3. List on 25.08.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

Ex. A. No. 86 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 278 of 2022

Ex. Hav./ATPC Dinesh Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Affidavit of compliance filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents states that case file of the applicant is pending in Infantry Directorate for issue of Government Sanction. He prays for and is granted four weeks further time for filing affidavit of compliance.</p> <p>4. List on 25.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

Ex. A. No. 96 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 772 of 2021

Ex. Nb. Sub. Sheo Sagar Singh Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Government Sanction in the matter is still awaited.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that the case file of the applicant is pending with the office of AG/PS-4, IHQ of MoD (Army), New Delhi. Four weeks further has been sought for filing affidavit of compliance which is allowed.</p> <p>4. List on 04.09.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)

Ex. A. No. 97 of 2023 Inre : O.A. NO. 654 of 2021

MWO Kamlesh Kumar Tiwari Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the res and Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>2. An affidavit of compliance has been filed has been filed on behalf of the respondents which is taken on record.</p> <p>3. It is submitted that in compliance of the order PPO has been issued and arrears amounting to Rs.4,69,191/- has been credited in applicant's account in June, 2023. Process has been initiated for payment of interest also and same will be paid soon.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, Execution Application is dismissed being infructuous.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)

Ex. A. No. 109 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 545 of 2022

Ex. PO ELR Pankaj Kumar Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted to make compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. List on 03.08.2023.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1101 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Deep Narain ShuklaApplicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Nilish Anand, Advocate
Shri Pahul Srivastava, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Sharad Kumar Shukla, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>13.07.2023</div> <div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div> <div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</div> <div>2. Shri D.K. pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 are present.</div> <div>3. In reply filed by the respondent Nos. 5 and 6 it has been clearly stated that in regard to arrears applicant has already been paid Rs.2,19,093/- on 02.04.2014 and Rs.5,75,546/- on 05.04.2023. It is also stated that nothing is now due to be paid to the applicant.</div> <div>4. No Rejoinder Affidavit has been filed in rebuttal of the above statement.</div> <div>5. From the above, now nothing remains to be adjudicated in the case. In the result, the Original Application is dismissed being infructuous.</div> <div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)Member (A)</div> <div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)Member (J)</div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET

Ex. Hav. Bhaiya Lal Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Advocate

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Dwijendra Nath Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>1. Original Application is dismissed.</p> <p>2. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)

O.A. No. 41 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Sandip Kumar
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and he states that Counter Affidavit will be filed in registry during the course of the day. .</div><div>2. List tomorrow i.e. 14.07.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

O.A. No. 83 of 2023

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. As prayed by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on 04.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

O.A. No. 86 of 2023

Versus

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Bhanu Pratap Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents a week further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on 10.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 23)

O.A. No. 166 of 2023

Col. Ashutosh Tripathi (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</p> <p>3. List on 11.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)

O.A. No. 167 of 2023

Col. Rajiv Bhardwaj (Retd.)
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit as a last chance.</div><div>3. List on 14.08.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)

O.A. No. 176 of 2023

Lt. Col. Sushil Kumar (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 16.08.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)

O.A. No. 227 of 2023

Ex. Hav. Prabhaker SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri D.K. Dixit, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div>13.07.2023 Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J) Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div> <div>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. 2. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. Original Application is allowed. 4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. 5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)

O.A. No. 230 of 2023

Ex. JWO Neelkanth PandeyApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. As prayed, list on 18.07.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)

O.A. No. 244 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Rakesh Kumar SinghApplicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & OthersRespondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div> <div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</div><div>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</div><div>3. List on 02.08.2023.</div></div> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</div><div>Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</div><div>Member (J)</div></div> <div>AKD/-</div>

O.A. No. 253 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record. 2. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents. 3. Original Application is allowed. 4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets. 5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> </div> </div> <p>AKD/-</p>

O.A. No. 258 of 2023

Applicant

Versus

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj. Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)

O.A. No. 259 of 2023

Ex. Sep. Jagat Pal
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belarua, Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 18.08.2013.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)

O.A. No. 297 of 2023

Hony. Lt. Brahm Deomani Tripathi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Original Application is allowed.</p> <p>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)

T.A. No. 10 of 2023

Ex. Cpl. Krishna Kumar Singh
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri , Advocate

Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Respondents

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<div><div><div>13.07.2023</div><div>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</div><div>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</div></div><div><div>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</div><div>2. Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate states that case may be listed on Monday i.e. on 17.07.2023 as he has to file Vakalatnama on behalf of the applicant.</div><div>3. Accordingly, list on 17.07.2023.</div></div><div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div><div>AKD/-</div></div>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 34)

O.A. No. 294 of 2023 with M.A. No. 862 of 2023

Lt. Col. Parsum Kanti Goswami Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Kunwar Sushant Prakash, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel of both parties that with the consent of both parties trial against the applicant has been concluded by the General Court Martial and against the findings and sentence awarded to the applicant confirmation is pending with the appropriate authority.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that in view of the above applicant does not want to press the Original Application, therefore, Original Application may be dismissed as not pressed.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is dismissed as not pressed.</p> <p>5. The order dated 25.05.2023 directing the respondents not to pass final order in the General Court Martial stand vacated.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

M.A. No. 862 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 294 of 2023

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p><u>M.A. No. 862 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel appearing for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel appearing for the respondents. 2. This application has been filed by the respondents for urgent hearing and modification of order dated 25.05.2023. 3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that application may be dismissed being not pressed. 4. Accordingly, application is dismissed being not pressed. <p style="text-align: center;"> (Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J) </p> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 36)

O.A. No. 345 of 2019

Air Vice Marshal Rajesh Isser, AVSM, VM (Gallentary) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on 24.08.2023.</p> <div><div>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</div><div>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</div></div> <p>AKD/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 37)

T.A. No. 92 of 2016

Alopi Shanker Bajpae Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus
The Commandant Rajput Regimental Centre Fatehgarh & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>13.07.2023</u> <u>Hon’ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon’ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. There is a dispute regarding period applicant has worked under Initiating Officer (IO) who initiated ACR for the year 2001. As per applicant, he has worked less than 90 days, therefore, IO could not have initiated the ACR. In the Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents they have stated that applicant has worked 141 days under the IO.</p> <p>3. To decide this dispute perusal of original documents especially ACR of the year 2001 is required at the time of hearing.</p> <p>4. Respondents are, therefore, directed to produce ACR of the applicant for the year 2001 on the next date of hearing.</p> <p>5. List on 14.08.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava) Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>