

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)**  
**O.A. No. 817 of 2023 with M.A. No. 970 of 2023**

**Es. Sub. Jay Ram Yadava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Maj. Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant after condoning delay of 01 year, 01 month and 26 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for eh applicant that applicant was enrolled in the Indian Army on 30.06.1994 and was discharged from service in low medical category with disabilities (i) Primary Hypertension and (ii) Dyslipidemia on 31.08.2019 after rendering 25 years, 02 months and 01 day of service. The Release Medical Board has assessed the disabilities @30% for life and @1-5% for life respectively. The claim for the grant of disability element was denied on the ground of disabilities being neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service.</li><li>5. As regards delay, it is submitted that is not deliberate. He further submits that disability claim cannot be denied on the ground of delay.</li><li>6. Cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 817 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>7. Case needs adjudication</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)**

**O.A. No. 818 of 2023 with M.A. No. 971 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub. Rajendra Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
Ms. Alka Saxsena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vishvesh Kumar, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability element of pension to the applicant. The Original Application begins with page 7. Page Nos. 1 to 6 are missing.</p> <p>3. Applicant is directed to file Page Nos. 1 to 6 within two weeks.</p> <p>4. List on <b>10.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 820 of 2023 with M.A. No. 973 of 2023**

**Ex. Dfr. Dinesh Kumar Shrivastava** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Amit Asthana, Advocate  
Ms. Alka Saxsena, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Amit Asthana, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</p> <p>4. There is a delay of 10 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application.</p> <p>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</p> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 820 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</p> <p>7. Case needs adjudication.</p> <p>8. Admit.</p> <p>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>10. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 821 of 2023 with M.A. No. 974 of 2023**

**Ex. HFO Satish Chandra Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is a delay of 10 years, 02 months and 24 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 821 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 822 of 2023 with M.A. No. 975 of 2023**

**Ex. HFL Kamal Krishna Roy** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal dated 06.02.2023.</li><li>4. Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 823 of 2023 with M.A. No. 976 of 2023**

**Ex.Sep. Pancha Nand Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal dated 14.02.2023.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 824 of 2023 with M.A. No. 977 of 2023**

**Ex. HFO Devendra Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>3. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that Original Application may be dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal dated 20.02.2023.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, Original Application is <b>dismissed</b> as withdrawn with liberty to file afresh after expiry of six months from the date of First Appeal.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 825 of 2023 with M.A. No. 978 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Ganesh Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate appears on behalf of the respondents and files Memo of Appearance which is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj.</p> <p>3. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (P), Prayagraj after checking pension slips of the applicant states that disability element of pension for the months April, May and June, 2023 has been credited in applicant's account.</p> <p>4. In the circumstances, applicant may check his statement of account. In the event of any arrears being due he may file application supported with copy of pass book/statement of account.</p> <p>5. List on <b>18.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 826 of 2023 with M.A. No. 979 of 2023**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Sarjeet Singh Jat** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. There is no delay in filing of Original Application, however, an application for condonation of delay has been filed which being not required is <b>dismissed</b>.</li><li>5. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly denied by the respondents.</li><li>6. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>7. Admit.</li><li>8. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>9. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)**

**Ex. A. No. 192 of 2023 Inre : O.A. NO. 938 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav. Ravindra Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This application has been filed for implementation of order dated 02.02.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 938 of 2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to seek instructions regarding compliance.</li><li>5. List on <b>31.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 980 of 2023 with M.A. No. 981 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 27 of 2023**

**Union of India** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. Amod Kumar Rai** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 08.05.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 27 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 02 days in filing of application be <b>condoned</b>.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)**

**M.A. No. 982 of 2023 with M.A. No. 983 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 29 of 2023**

**Union of India** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. L/Nk. Naresh Pal (DSC)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 13.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 29 of 2023.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 27 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 984 of 2023 with M.A. No. 985 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 756 of 2022**

**Union of India** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Col. Arun Shukla (Retd.)** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : None

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.S. Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 26.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 756 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month and 14 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)**

**Ex. A. No. 106 of 2021 Inre : O.A. No. 691 of 2020**

**Ex. Gnr. Ramesh Chander** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant.</li><li>2. Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present and he submits that after the receipt of Government Sanction for holding RSMB applicant has been issued three letters to present for his medical examination, but he is not reported.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant is directed to instruct applicant to appear before the Base Hospital, Lucknow within a period of two weeks to get him medically examined.</li><li>4. After applicant being medically examined, the respondents will submit result of medical examination within four weeks on affidavit.</li><li>5. List on <b>31.08.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 15)**

**Ex. A. No. 247 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 264 of 2021**

**Ex. Sgt. Ashuthosh Misra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, Principal Controller of Defence Accounts (Pension), Prayagraj are present.</p> <p>2. Shri Rajeev Tiwari, Accounts Officer, PCDA (Pension), Prayagraj submits that in regard to compliance of the order arrear of Rs.3,33,410/- has already been paid to the applicant and process regarding interest has also been initiated and will be paid soon.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 16)**  
**Ex. A. No. 297 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 879 of 2021**

**Ex. L/Nk. Govind Pethe** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate holding brief of Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Vide order dated 20.04.2023 this Tribunal had while granting four weeks time to Principal Integrated Financial Advisor (PIFA), Army Headquarters, New Delhi to grant financial concurrence for implementation of the order directed that if financial concurrence is not accorded in the given time the officer will file her personal affidavit stating the reasons of non-compliance and why action be not taken against her for non-compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. The officer has not filed affidavit as required.</p> <p>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the respondents that matter for implementation of order is pending with Ministry of Defence, New Delhi, hence four weeks further time be granted.</p> <p>5. In the circumstances, four weeks further time is granted for compliance failing which Secretary, Ministry of Defence, Government of India, New Delhi will file personal affidavit stating reasons of not compliance by the next date fixed.</p> <p>6. List on <b>28.08.2023</b>.</p> <p>7. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/AFT Legal Cell for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 17)**

**Ex. A. No. 315 of 2022 Inre : O.A. No. 634 of 2021**

**Ex. WO Shwet Ban Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shir R. Chandra, Advocate holding brief of Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. It is submitted by Sqn. Ldr. Ashutosh Pandey, Departmental Representative for the respondents that after seeking opinion of learned Attorney General the case file of the applicant has been processed for seeking Government Sanction for implementation of the order. Thus, four weeks further time has been prayed for compliance of the order which is allowed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>30.08.2023</b>.</p> <p>4. Let copy of this order be provided to the Ld. Counsel for the respondents/Departmental Representative of the Air Force for compliance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 18)**

**Ex. A. No. 87 of 2023 Inre : O.A. No. 859 of 2021**

**Ex. Nb. Sub. Jai Kumar Panicker** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents four weeks further time is granted for compliance of the order.</p> <p>3. List on <b>25.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 19)**

**O.A. No. 50 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1340 of 2022 & M.A. No. 1341 of 2022**

**Ex. WO vijai Pratap narain Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. List on <b>21.09.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 20)**

**O.A. No. 508 of 2022**

**Ex. L/Nk. Ram Prabesh yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate  
Shri Arind Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant, Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Arvind Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 and 5 four weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>31.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 21)**

**O.A. No. 1129 of 2022**

**Col. Lokendra Singh Chauhan (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Priyanka Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C. Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Priyanka Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C. Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Counter Affidavit could not be filed due to non receipt of para-wise comments.</li><li>3. Opportunity for filing Counter Affidavit is closed.</li><li>4. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>5. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>6. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 22)**

**O.A. No. 270 of 2023 with M.A. No. 753 of 2023**

**Maj. Preeti Mishra (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p><b><u>M.A. No. 753 of 2023</u></b></p> <p>2. Applicant has filed this application seeking amendments in the relief clause of the Original Application to the effect that respondents be directed to constitute R.S.M.B. to assess the effect of injury sustained by the applicant while in service.</p> <p>3. No objection has been filed.</p> <p>4. Amendment being formal in nature and for effective disposal of Original Application, application is allowed.</p> <p>5. Let amendments be incorporated within a week.</p> <p>6. Thereafter, respondents will have three weeks time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>7. List on <b>29.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 24)**

**O.A. No. 365 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Pawar Sandeep Shivaji** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri K.K. Singh Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Major Naman Gupta, Departmental Representative for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 25)**

**O.A. No. 367 of 2023**

**Ex. MWO A.K. Prasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate  
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Shiv Kant Pandey and Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 26)**

**O.A. No. 368 of 2023**

**Ex. Gnr. Sahadev Das** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate holding brief of Shri Virat Anand Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 27)**

**O.A. No. 369 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Pradeep Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate  
Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rahul Dev Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents three weeks further time to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 28)**

**O.A. No. 370 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Rajesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 29)**

**O.A. No. 371 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Rajendra Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 30)**

**O.A. No. 387 of 2023**

**Ex. Nk. Amar Nath Yadav** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. On the request of Ld. Counsel for the respondents two weeks further time is granted to file Counter Affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>17.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 31)**

**O.A. No. 388 of 2023**

**Ex. WO Surendra Nath Chaturvedi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate  
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri V.P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Kaushik Chatterjee, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 32)**

**O.A. No. 493 of 2023 with M.A. No. 585 of 2023**

**Ex. Rect. Mukesh Kumar Tewari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing no one is present on behalf of the applicant nor is there any mention to pass over the case.</p> <p>2. Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file status report of the applicant.</p> <p>4. List on <b>24.08.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>AKD/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 33)**

**T.A. No. 9 of 2023**

**Ex. Sgt. Himanshu Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>3. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>4. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="margin-left: 100px;"><b>Member (J)</b></span></p> <p>AKD/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1 (Suppl. Sl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 41 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Sandip Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>14.07.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>2. Heard Shri Ashok Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. Original Application is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For orders, see our order passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application(s), pending if any, shall be treated to have been disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Justice Umesh Chandra Srivastava)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>AKD/-</p>