

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 1)

O.A. No. 957 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1271 of 2023

Ex Nk Ram Dayal Kushwaha Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj S M Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S M Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Sharan Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 22 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 957 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 2)

O.A. No. 958 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1272 of 2023

Ex Sgt Mahendra Singh Rawat Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri RK Singh, Advocate
Shri Deepak Bhatta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D.K. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri DK Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri VP Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 07 months and 06 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 958 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 3)

O.A. No. 959 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1276 of 2023

Ex Sep / MT Bhim Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 959 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 4)

O.A. No. 960 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1277 of 2023

Ex Hav Ramavtar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Kumar Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 months and 25 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 960 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 5)

O.A. No. 961 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1279 of 2023

Ex WO Shive Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Alok Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Alok Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 03 months and 12 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 961 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 6)

O.A. No. 962 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1280 of 2023

Ex Sgt Vishweshwar Kumar Gautam Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Gopal Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J.N Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 11 months and 08 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection against the maintainability of the O.A.6. List on 27.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 963 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1281 of 2023

Ex Sub (Pharm) Inamdar Salim Innus Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed against the discharge order to the applicant. There is a delay of 23 days in filing of Original Application.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents raised objection with regard to maintainability of the O.A on the ground of territorial jurisdiction.5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file objection against the maintainability of the O.A.6. List on 13.09.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 966 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1283 of 2023

Col Raman Kumar Arora (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Maj S M Mustafa (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S.M Mustafa (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 months and 04 days in filing of Original Application.4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 966 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List on 25.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 256 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 143 of 2022

Ex Rect Uttam Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 23.05.2022 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 143/2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report5. List on 10.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 257 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 450 of 2022

Ex Sep / MT Dushyant Pratap Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 11.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 450/2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report5. List on 10.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 258 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 615 of 2022

Ex Nk Jiwan Chandra Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 18.01.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 615/2022.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report5. List on 12.10.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 259 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 386 of 2019

Ex Sigmn Raghu Bir Singh Negi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 07.07.2021 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 386/2019.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</p> <p>5. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 13)

EX-A. No. 260 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 862 of 2022

Ex Sgt Ajeet Kumar Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 11.04.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 862/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</p> <p>5. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 261 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 936 of 2022

Col Randhir Singh Sachan (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</p> <p>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Col Ravindra Nath Tiwari (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for noncompliance of the order dated 15.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 936/2022.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to seek instructions and to file compliance report</p> <p>5. List on 17.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 15)

M.A. No. 1165 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1166 of 2023
Inre: T.A. No. 4 of 2019

Virendra Singh S/o Shri Chhidu Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Deepak Vuttsya, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 15.03.2023 of this Tribunal in Transfer Application No. 04 of 2019.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months and 15 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 16)

M.A. No. 1266 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1267 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 824 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex Hav Kheem Singh Mehra Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 31.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 824 of 2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants - Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 05 months and 05 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Suppl. No. 17)

M.A. No. 1268 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1269 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 80 of 2022

Union of India & Others Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Ex Nk Santosh Kumar Yadav Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant - Union of India & Others.</p> <p>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 25.04.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 80/2022.</p> <p>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India & others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 02 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.</p> <p>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 01 year 02 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</p> <p><u>M.A No. 1269/2023</u></p> <p>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is dismissed on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 1)

Ex. A. No. 306 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 612 of 2021

Ex. MWO Suresh Chandra Dwivedi Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Deepti P. Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Applicant was directed to file impleadment application vide order dated 11.07.2023. The same has not yet been filed. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file impleadment application on or before the next date.</p> <p>3. List on 04.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 2)
Ex. A. No. 364 of 2022 with M.A. No. 1208 of 2022
Inre O.A. No. 343 of 2021

Ex. JWO Vinod Kumar Chowbey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that PPO has been issued on 10.07.2023 and seeks time to file compliance affidavit. Two weeks time is granted for the same.</p> <p>3. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 3)

Ex. A. No. 365 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 60 of 2021

Ex. JWO Shashi Bhushan Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajesh Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajesh Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. At the outset, Id. Counsel for the applicant fairly admits that the order passed by this Tribunal has been fully complied with.3. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that the instant execution application be disposed of accordingly.4. On the basis of the statement made by the Id. Counsel for the applicant, the instant execution application stands disposed of in full satisfaction. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 4)

Ex. A. No. 396 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 186 of 2022

Hav/Clk Deepak Sahu Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file reply to the objections filed on behalf of the respondents.</p> <p>3. List on 13.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 5)

Ex. A. No. 77 of 2023 with M.A. No. 611 of 2023
Inre O.A. No. 355 of 2021

Ex. Sgt. Birendra Kumar Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shiv Kant Pandey, Advocate
Shri Amit Pandey, Advocate
Ms. Nisha Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Ms. Nisha Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance affidavit is ready and the same shall be filed in the Registry during course of the day after serving a copy of the same to Ld. Counsel for the applicant. Objection, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 11.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 6)

Ex. A. No. 101 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 114 of 2022

Ex. Sub. Arun Kumar Yadava Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh , Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that compliance of order under execution is under process and affidavit to this effect shall be filed shortly. Two weeks time is granted to file the same.</p> <p>3. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 7)

O.A. No. 167 of 2023

Col. Rajiv Bhardwaj (Retd.) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate
Shri Shashi Kant Chaturvedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Anurag Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Anurag Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted last opportunity of four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 03.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 8)

O.A. No. 202 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Chander Prakash Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Counter Affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Original Application is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 9)

O.A. No. 237 of 2023

Sub. Ram Chandra Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K. K. Misra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Amit Jaiswal holding brief for Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. CA filed by the respondents is taken on record. RA, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on 12.10.2023.</p> <p>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents shall produce original documents pertaining to the case for perusal of the Bench on the next date.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 10)

O.A. No. 252 of 2023 with M.A. No. 265 of 2023

Ex. Swr. Dharmendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P. Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
Maj. S.M. Mustafa (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that impugned dismissal order has not been challenged by the applicant. He filed his objections towards the delay in filing the O.A.</p> <p>3. Reply to the objections raised by the respondents has not been filed. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant to file his reply to the objections raised by the respondents.</p> <p>4. List on 27.09.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 11)

O.A. No. 292 of 2023

Ex. Sep. (ACP Hav) Shembade Satish Dnyandeo Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. S.N. Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 05.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No.12)

O.A. No. 296 of 2023

Hony. Nb. Sub. Anil Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K.P Datta, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on 09.10.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 13)

O.A. No. 398 of 2023

Ex. Sgt. Lakshman Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the applicant sought adjournment to take steps as per order dated 17.07.2023 for impleading Bengal Engineer Group (BEG) Records as respondent no. 3.</p> <p>3. Adjourned.</p> <p>4. List on 17.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1 (Sl. No. 14)

O.A. No. 608 of 2023

NK Eldhose Abraham Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>14.08.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Objections on maintainability filed by the respondents is taken on record. Reply to the objections, if any, may be filed by the application within a week.</p> <p>3. List on 31.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK</p>