

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)

O.A. No. 546 of 2023 with M.A. No. 647 of 2023

Ex WO Murari Kumar Nayak Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.4. There is a delay of 01 Year, 01 month and 15 days in filing of Original Application.5. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned. Delay condonation application stands disposed off. <p><u>O.A. No. 546 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.7. Case needs adjudication.8. Admit.9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.10. List this case on 16.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)

O.A. No. 550 of 2023 with M.A. No. 679 of 2023

Ex Sgt Pavan Kumar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Col AK Srivastava (Retd), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 01 Year 10 month and 06 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.7. Delay condoned. <p><u>O.A. No. 550 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.9. Admit.

10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11.List this case on **16.08.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)

O.A. No. 552 of 2023 with M.A. No. 682 of 2023

Ex L/NK Vipin Chandra Sharma Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objection against delay condonation application.4. List this case on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)

O.A. No. 553 of 2023 with M.A. 683 of 2023

Ex WO Rajeev Prasad Gupta Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. The Original Application has been filed with delay of 05 months and 19 days. Regarding delay the submission of the Ld. Counsel for the applicant is that it is a pensionary matter in which bar of limitation is not applicable. His further submission is that applicant has explained the delay in affidavit filed in support of application and, in view of this, delay is liable to be condoned.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has vehemently opposed the prayer saying there being inordinate delay in filing the Original Application and explanation for day to day delay offered by the applicant being not sufficient, application should be dismissed.5. Undisputedly, it is a pensionary matter in which cause of action does not accrue on a fixed date. The matter being a case of continuing wrong, cause of action accrues from month to month. Considering this aspect and explanation of delay offered by the applicant, being sufficient, delay deserves to be condoned.6. In the result, delay condonation application is allowed.7. Delay condoned. <p><u>O.A. No. 553 of 2023</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">8. Heard. It is a fit case for adjudication.9. Admit.

10.Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.

11.List this case on **16.08.2023**.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)

O.A. (A) No. 549 of 2023 with M.A. No. 678 of 2023

Ex Gnr Narayan Dixit Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : ShriKamlesh Kumar Shukla , Advocate
Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. There is delay of more than 08 months in filing the O.A.4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks time to file objections against the delay condonation application.5. List on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)

M.A. No. 684 of 2023 & M.A. No. 685 of 2023
Inre: O.A. No. 524 of 2022

Union of India & Others

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Versus

Col Jai Prakash Singh (Retd)

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate holding brief of Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant / respondents.2. At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant/respondents, matter is adjourned.3. List on 18.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)

EX-A. No. 122 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 241 of 2022

Ex Rfn Kapil Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Preeti Mala, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Her name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Preeti Mala, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.4. List this case on 07.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)

EX-A. No. 123 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 204 of 2022

Ex Nk Johar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Devesh Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.2. Heard Shri Mahendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file compliance report.4. List this case on 08.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)

EX-A. No. 16 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 174 of 2015

Ex Nk Jageshwar Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta , Advocate

Versus

Officer In Charge The Records Respondents
Signals & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Virendra Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Objections to compliance report filed by the applicant is taken on record, to which the respondents may file reply within two weeks.3. List this case on 17.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)

EX-A. No. 114 of 2022 Inre: O.A. NO. 244 of 2021

Ex Hav Narendra Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to file RSMB report.3. List this case on 10.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 12)

EX-A. No. 247 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 264 of 2021

Ex Sgt Ashutosh Misra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col Ashok Kumar (Retd), Advocate
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Objection to compliance report filed by the applicant is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that PPO has been issued and seeks time to file reply to objection raised by the applicant. Same may be filed by the next date.4. List this case on 14.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.13)

EX-A. No. 368 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 30 of 2021

Ex Sep Abhinash Chandra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Wg Cdr SN Dwivedi (Retd), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Bharat Kesav, Departmental Representative, for the respondents.2. Maj Bharat Kesav, Departmental Representative prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file compliance report. It is made clear that if order is not complied with by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 20,000/- in the Registry which shall be remitted to applicant.3. List this case on 25.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 14)

EX-A. No. 395 of 2022 Inre: O.A. No. 359 of 2020

Ex Rect Munesh Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Second Appellate Committee Respondents
On Pension (SACP), New Delhi & Others
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time as a last opportunity to file compliance report. It is made clear that if the order is not complied with by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 10,000/- in the registry which shall be remitted to applicant.3. List this case on 07.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 15)

M.A. No. 450 of 2023 Inre: O.A. No. 557 of 2022

Ex Sub Mundhe Vishnu Ananda Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Applicant has filed modification applicant vide M.A No. 450/2023 for modifying/ correction in the order dated 15.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in O.A No. 557/2022.3. Perusal of the record reveals that the relief prayed for, amounts to review of the order and not modification or correction.4. While deciding O.A No. 557/2022 vide this Tribunal Order dated 15.02.2023 following order was passed: <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“10. The applicant will not be entitled for CAA as his disability is assessed below 100% (80%) by the Release Medical Board and its entitlement is only when it is assessed @ 100% by the RMB/IMB and not by giving the benefit of rounding off to 100%.</i></p><p>11. In view of the above, the Original Application No. 557 of 2022 deserves to be allowed, hence allowed. The impugned orders, rejecting the applicant's claim for grant of disability element of disability pension, are set aside. The disability of the applicant is held as aggravated by Army Service. The applicant is entitled to get disability element @80% for life which would be rounded off to 100% for life from the next date of his discharge from service. The respondents are directed to grant disability element to the applicant @80% for life duly rounded off to 100% for life from the next date of his discharge. The respondents are further directed to give effect to this order within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Default will invite interest @ 8% per annum till the actual payment.”</p>

5. From perusal of above Paras of the judgement, it is clear that CAA prayed for vide the instant petition has already been discussed and refused vide Para 10 (supra).

6. In view of above, the instant M.A has no merit and is accordingly dismissed.

(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)
Member (A)

(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)
Member (J)

RK/-

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 16)

O.A. No. 463 of 2022 with M.A. No. 525 of 2022

Ex Rect Kollati Kanaka Rao

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate
Shri Arvind K Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Satyendra K Tiwari, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present for the applicant. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the instant case Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case. Permanent address of the applicant is Vishakhapatnam whereas in pleading address of the applicant is shown as Mirzapur (Uttar Pradesh)</p> <p>3. In spite of several opportunities availed by the applicant to file rent agreement showing his residence as Mirzapur, applicant has not complied with the order of this Tribunal. Today on call of the case neither applicant is present nor there is any request to adjourn the case. It appears that applicant is not interested to pursue the case.</p> <p>4. Accordingly, O.A. along with M.A. is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 17)

O.A. No. 971 of 2022

Ex JWO Pravin Kumar Chauhan Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 18)

O.A. No. 1051 of 2022

Col (TS) Alok Kumar Jain (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Maj Vinay Sharma, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit is not filed by the respondents yet. Opportunity to file the same is closed.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 19)

O.A. No. 60 of 2023

Col (TS) Vivek Sarpal (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Deepti P Bajpai, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Maj Bharat Kesav, Departmental Representative for the respondents.2. Maj Bharat Kesav, Departmental Representative prays for further four weeks time to file Counter affidavit. On perusal of order sheet, it transpires that inspite of availing several opportunities respondents have not filed Counter affidavit. As a last opportunity four weeks time is granted to the respondents to file the Counter affidavit on the condition that if Counter affidavit is not filed by the next date fixed, respondents shall have to pay cost of Rs. 25,000/- which shall be remitted to the applicant.3. List on 21.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 20)

O.A. No. 62 of 2023

HFO Chandra Bhan (Retd)

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri GS Sikarwar, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri GS Sikarwar, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>Rk/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 21)

O.A. No. 101 of 2023

Ex Nk Rattan Mani Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Lt Col Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd), Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.3. List on 21.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 22)

O.A. No. 102 of 2023

Col Anil Kumar Mishra (Retd) Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VP Pandey, Advocate
Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Chet Narayan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing, Shri Rakesh Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted four weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>List on 21.07.2023.</p> <p>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.23)

O.A. No. 337 of 2023 with M.A. No. 381 of 2023

Smt. Hem Lata W/o Applicant
Ex Rfn Puran Bahadur
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prakhar Kankan, Advocate
Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Prakhar Kankan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Objection to Delay Condonation application filed by the respondents is taken on record to which reply, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks.3. List on 20.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 24)

O.A. No. 381 of 2023

Hav / NA Abhay Kumar Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri JN Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri JN Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Objection filed against the maintainability of the O.A by the respondents is taken on record.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case stating that the applicant is posted at 160, Military Hospital, C/o 99 APO which does not fall within the jurisdiction of this Tribunal.4. Perusal of the record reveals that the applicant is permanent resident of District. Pratapgarh, U.P. which is within the territorial jurisdiction of this Tribunal. Applicant has filed this application with the prayer for setting aside the supersession/ deferment for promotion to the rank of Naib Subedar. Objections raised by the Id. Counsel for the respondents are rejected.5. The matter needs adjudication.6. Admit.7. Respondents are directed to file counter reply within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 22.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 25)

O.A. No. 416 of 2023 with M.A. No. 512 of 2023

Ex Hav Vijay Kumar Singh Rathore Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri VK Pandey, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RKS Chauhan, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri VK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RKS Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. There is delay of more than 12 years in filing the O.A.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents has not filed objections against the delay condonation application. Opportunity to file the same is closed.4. Matter pertains to disability pension.5. Being pensionary matter, delay is condoned.6. Admit.7. Respondents are directed to file counter reply within four weeks. Rejoinder, if any, may be filed by the applicant within two weeks thereafter.8. List on 22.08.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 26)

O.A. No. 418 of 2023 with M.A. No. 514 of 2023

Ex JWO Rajendra Prasad Singh Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R Chandra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Kavita Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. There is delay of more than 12 years in filing the O.A.3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further one week's time to file objections against the delay condonation application.4. List on 26.05.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 27)

T.A. No. 40 of 2022

Rohit Mishra

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : -

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. Last opportunity is granted to the applicant's counsel to file his Vakalatnama.3. Applicant is directed to file a Bench copy of the petition by the next date.4. List on 21.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 28)

T.A. No. 4 of 2023

Ex MCPO-I (Hony Sub LT) Rama Shankar Rai Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Shri AK Chaudhary, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that this is a transfer case and the copy of the petition is not available with him and seeks adjournment on this ground.3. Adjourned.4. List on 24.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No.29)

T.A. No. 6 of 2023

Ex MWO (HFO) Rambali

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.3. O.A is allowed.4. Detailed order on separate sheets.5. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 30)

T.A. No. 8 of 2023

Satish Kumar S/o Late
Hari Ram

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : -

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On the case being taken up for hearing none is present on behalf of the applicant. Shri Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.2. This is a transfer case. Notice had been issued to the applicant on 07.03.2023 which has been received back unserved.3. List this case for hearing on 17.07.2023. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RK/-</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 31)

T.A. No. 9 of 2023

Ex Sgt Himanshu Mishra Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Mrs. Anju Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Sri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Mrs. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that CA has already been filed in the matter and seeks further one week's time to file some judgement of Hon'ble Supreme Court in support of his case. Time as prayed is allowed.</p> <p>List on 14.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(Sl. No. 32)

T.A. No. 13 of 2023

Ex Sgt Amrendra Kumar Prasad Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Gyan Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Raj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.2. Vakalatnama filed by Sri Raj Kumar, Advocate on behalf of the applicant is taken on record. Registry is directed to print his name in the future cause list/order as counsel for the applicant.3. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is also taken on record.4. O.A is allowed.5. Detailed order on separate sheets.6. Misc. Application(s), if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated to have been disposed of. <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)

O.A. No. 107 of 2023

Ex Nk Kanhalya Yadav

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate
Shri Anshuman Srivastava, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted a week's time to file reply to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.</p> <p>3. List on 29.05.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 34)

O.A.(A) No. 594 of 2018

Nk Gyaneshwar Prasad Pandey Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vaibhav Tripathi, Advocate
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file Rejoinder Affidavit.</p> <p>Ld. Counsel for the applicant further submits that name of Sri Vaibhav Tripathi, Kapil Sharma and Ms. Upasana Mishra be deleted as applicant's counsels. Registry is directed to delete the name of Sri Vaibhav Tripathi, Kapil Sharma and Ms. Upasana Mishra as counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>List on 04.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 35)

O.A. (A). No. 175 of 2019

Shankar Dutt Bhatt Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri KKS Bisht, Advocate
Shri SK Anand, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri DK. Dixit holding brief for Shri KKS Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">At the request of Id. Counsel for the applicant, matter is adjourned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 11.07.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 36)

O.A. (A). No. 205 of 2021

Sep Pawan Chandra Bhatt through
Mother Smt. Kamla Devi

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">This is a Part Heard case of the Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.N. Kakkar, M(J) and Hon'ble Maj. Gen Sanjay Singh, M(A). Accordingly, list this case before the Bench comprising of Hon'ble Mr. Justice R.N. Kakkar, M(J) and Hon'ble Maj. Gen Sanjay Singh, M(A).</p> <p style="text-align: center;">List on 01.06.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 37)

O.A. (A). No. 399 of 2021 with M.A. No. 449 of 2022

Ex Nk Radhey Shyam Yadav Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri RC Shukla, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri Sudhir Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri RC Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Arguments concluded.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Order reserved.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>

Form No. 4
{See rule 11(1)}
ORDER SHEET
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW
Court No. 1(SI. No. 38)

T.A. NO. 80 of 2016

Anoop Kumar Applicant
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Yashpal Singh, Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others Respondents
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri DK Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><u>15.05.2023</u> <u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u> <u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></p> <p>On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yashpal Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri DK Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>Original Documents are not available with the respondents.</p> <p>Respondents are directed to produce the original documents for perusal of the Bench on the next date.</p> <p>List on 07.08.2023.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar) Member (A) Member (J)</p> <p>RKM</p>