

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 1)**

**O.A. No. 1060 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1428 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Girish Chandra Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Dr. Abhay Kant Upadhyay, Advocate  
Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Madan Pal Vats, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ramesh Chandra Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 06 years 09 months and 01 day in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1060 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 2)**  
**O.A. No. 1061 of 2023**

**Ex sub Mohan Singh Khati** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate  
Shri Kapil Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Amrita Chakraborty, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 3)**

**O.A. No. 1062 of 2023**

**Ex. Hav. Rajesh Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 4)**

**O.A. No. 1063 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Jashwant Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K P Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K.P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 5)**

**O.A. No. 1064 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1435 of 2023**

**Ex Sigm Chunn Lal Gupta** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R K Singh, Advocate  
Shri V K Chahar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) holding brief for Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 04 months and 27 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1064 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>30.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 6)**

**O.A. No. 1098 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1495 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Kishore Thalappillil Gopalan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Pushpendra Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 09 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1098 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>01.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 7)**

**O.A. No. 1099 of 2023**

**Ex. Sub Chekka Chandra Rao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K. P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K P Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant.</li><li>4. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>5. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>6. Admit.</li><li>7. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>8. List on <b>01.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 8)**

**O.A. No. 1104 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1498 of 2023**

**Ex Hav. Vimal Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate  
Shri Girish Tiwari, Advocate  
Shri Dheerendra Kumar Agnihotri, Advocate  
Shri Sandeep Tripathi, Advocate  
Shri Vishnu Kant Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Ms. Kavita Mishra Belaura, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) holding brief for Shri Vijay Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. This Original Application has been filed for the grant of disability pension to the applicant. There is a delay of 01 year and 09 days in filing of Original Application.</li><li>4. Being a pensionary matter, delay is condoned.</li><li>5. Delay condonation application stands disposed off.</li></ol> <p><b><u>O.A. No. 1104 of 2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>6. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicant that applicant's claim for the grant of disability pension has been wrongly rejected by the respondents.</li><li>7. Case needs adjudication.</li><li>8. Admit.</li><li>9. Ld. Counsel for the respondents seeks and is allowed four weeks time to file Counter Affidavit. Rejoinder Affidavit, if any, may be filed within two weeks thereafter.</li><li>10. List on <b>01.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 9)**

**O.A. No. 1105 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1504 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Girish Chandra Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant O.A with liberty to file afresh to which Ld. counsel for the respondents has no objection.</li><li>3. Permitted accordingly.</li><li>4. In view of above, O.A stands dismissed having been withdrawn.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 10)**

**Ex.A. No. 311 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 943 of 2022**

**Col. Pramod Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.) , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Memo of Appearance filed by Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. His name be shown as Counsel for the respondents when the case is listed next.</li><li>2. On the case being taken up for hearing Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>3. The instant execution application has been filed by the applicant for non compliance of the order dated 08.02.2023 passed by this Tribunal in OA No. 943/2022.</li><li>4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks time to seek instructions and to file compliance report.</li><li>5. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 11)**

**M.A. No. 1426 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1427 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 562 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Lt. Col. Arun Kant Singh ( Retd.)**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1426/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 09.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 562/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 19 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1427/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 12)**

**M.A. No. 1429 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1430 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 178 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri D K Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Rfn Deepak Kumar**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri D K Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 26.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 178/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 02 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 13)**

**M.A. No. 1431 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1432 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 675 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex NK Rambeer Singh** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1431/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 16.12.2022 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 675/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 12 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1432/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 14)**

**M.A. No. 1464 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1465 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 546 of 2022**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex.Hav. Prem Singh**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1464/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 06.01.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 546/2022.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 06 months and 28 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 06 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1465/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 15)**

**M.A. No. 1466 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1467 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 70 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex NK Satya Deo Mishra**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 10.04.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 70/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 03 months 24 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Suppl. No. 16)**

**M.A. No. 1500 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1501 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 308 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex NK Sita Ram Dubey** Respondent  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 14.07.2023 of this Tribunal in Original Application No. 308/2023.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 month 27 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. In view of the facts stated in affidavit filed in support of delay condonation application, we find that cause shown is sufficient. Accordingly, delay is <b>condoned</b>. Delay condonation application stands disposed off accordingly.</li><li>5. Upon hearing submission of Ld. Counsel for the applicants, we find that no point of law of general public importance is involved so that leave may be granted.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 1)**

**M.A. No. 1489 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1490 of 2023**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Ex. Hav. (ACP Nb Sub) Sanjeev Kumar**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent :

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1489/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; Others.</li><li>2. This application has been filed under Section 31 of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 against the judgment and order dated 24.03.2022 of this Tribunal.</li><li>3. It is submitted by the Ld. Counsel for the applicants – Union of India &amp; others that applicants want to file Appeal against the decision of this Tribunal, leave be granted for the same and delay of 01 year 04 months and 13 days in filing of application be condoned.</li><li>4. Admittedly, the application is time barred and has been moved after lapse of more than 01 year and 04 months which is beyond statutory period. Accordingly, application for condonation of delay in moving application for grant of leave to appeal is not maintainable and is rejected.</li></ol> <p><b><u>M.A No. 1490/2023</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>5. This is an application for grant of leave to appeal which has been filed beyond the statutory period of limitation. Application for condonation of delay has been rejected. Only argument of learned counsel for the applicant is that it is barred by time. No question of law of general public importance could be brought to our notice.</li><li>6. Accordingly, Leave to Appeal is <b>dismissed</b> on the ground of delay as well as on merits.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 3)**

**C.A. No. 2 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 412 of 2021**

**Ex. NK Dadan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava , Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Pawan Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Objections filed on behalf of the respondents against the contempt petition, are taken on record.</li><li>3. Perusal of the file reveals that a review application has also been filed on behalf of the respondents which has been decided while execution application in the matter is pending.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 4)**

**Ex.A. No. 5 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1119 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 412 of 2021**

**Ex. NK Madan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Pawan Bisht, Advocate  
Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Pawan Bisht and Ms. Priyanka Chaudhary, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that neither cost of Rs. 10000/- has not been deposited nor the Director PS-4 is present in person as per previous order, to explain the position.</p> <p>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order of this Tribunal.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 5)**

**Ex. A. No. 23 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 5 of 2022**

**Ex. Hav (ACP Nb Sub) Sanjeev Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been accorded in the matter and an affidavit to this effect has been filed which is taken on record.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit on or before the next date.</li><li>4. It is also noticed that PIFA was directed to appear through video conferencing.</li><li>5. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that matter is under process and prays for exemption of personal appearance of PIFA. Personal appearance is exempted accordingly.</li><li>6. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 6)**

**Ex.A. No. 212 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 639 of 2021**

**Ex. Hav. Ram Autar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Ravi Kumar Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Devesh Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Application for exemption of personal appearance of Director, PS-4 has been filed by the respondents' counsel. He stated that SLP filed by the respondents has been dismissed by the Apex Court on 25.08.2023. He further submitted that matter is under process and requested for exemption of personal presence of Director PS-4. Exemption granted for today only.</li><li>3. Respondents are directed to comply with the previous order and deposit the cost, if any, by the next date.</li><li>4. List on <b>12.12.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 7)**

**Ex.A. No. 230 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 93 of 2021**

**Ex. NK Birja Bhar Ram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Prashant Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Ms. Deepti Prasad Bajpai, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that government sanction has been accorded and cost has also been deposited on 01.08.2023. She requested for further time to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to the respondents to file compliance affidavit by the next date failing which coercive order shall be passed.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 8)**

**Ex.A. No. 247 of 2022 Inre O.A. No.264 of 2021**

**Ex.Sgt. Ashutosh Misra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col.Ashok Kumar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Rohit Kumar, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rohit Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Supplementary compliance affidavit filed on behalf of the respondents is taken on record. Copy of the same has been furnished to the applicant's counsel who may file his objection, if any, within two weeks.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 9)**

**Ex.A. No. 322 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 827 of 2021**

**Ex Nb Sub Sheo Khelawan** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Dharam Raj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Bipin Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that PIFA was directed to appear before the court through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that due to abstaining of lawyers from judicial work during past week, video conference link could not be communicated to PIFA and requested for adjournment.</p> <p>3. Adjourned accordingly.</p> <p>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 10)**

**Ex.A. No. 324 of 2022 Inre 793 of 2021**

**Ex. Sub Pyar Chand** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K. P. Datta, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K. P. Datta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that PIFA was directed to appear before the court through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that due to abstaining of lawyers from judicial work during past week, video conference link could not be communicated to PIFA and requested for adjournment.</li><li>3. Adjourned accordingly.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 11)**

**Ex.A. No. 355 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 864 of 2021**

**Ex.Hav. Tekawade Santosh Bapurao** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K S Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that PIFA was directed to appear before the court through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that due to abstaining of lawyers from judicial work during past week, video conference link could not be communicated to PIFA and requested for adjournment.</li><li>3. Adjourned accordingly.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 12)**

**Ex.A. No. 356 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 379 of 2020**

**Ex. Sigmnr Rohitash Gurjar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri K K S. Bisht, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri K K S Bisht, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that it was earlier informed that matter is pending with PIFA. It is also observed that neither the cost has been paid nor the compliance has been made.</li><li>3. In view of above, respondents are directed to show cause as to why attachment proceedings should not be initiated against them for not complying the order of this Tribunal.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 13)**

**Ex.A. No. 377 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 763 of 2021**

**Ex Nb Sub Randhir Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Anju Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Anju Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that PIFA was directed to appear before the court through video conferencing. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that due to abstaining of lawyers from judicial work during past week, video conference link could not be communicated to PIFA and requested for adjournment.</li><li>3. Adjourned accordingly.</li><li>4. List on <b>21.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.14 )**

**Ex.A. No. 380 of 2022 Inre O.A. No. 650 of 2021**

**Ex L/NK Lalan Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that government sanction has been accorded and PPO will be issued shortly. He prays for further time to file compliance affidavit.</li><li>3. In the interest of justice and on assurance of respondents' counsel, two weeks time is granted to file compliance affidavit failing which this Tribunal shall have no option except to start attachment proceedings.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.15 )**

**Ex.A. No. 388 of 2022 Inre O.A. No.97of 2022**

**Ex JWO Ramakant Upadhyay** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R. Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R. Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Manu Kumar Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that it is the 6<sup>th</sup> adjournment, respondents have not yet filed compliance affidavit. Cost has also not been deposited.</li><li>3. It has been informed that matter is under process and cost shall be deposited shortly.</li><li>6. In the interest of justice, last opportunity of two weeks is granted to file compliance affidavit failing which this Tribunal shall have no option except to start attachment proceedings.</li><li>4. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</li><li>5. Copy of the order be provided to the respondents as per rules.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.16 )**

**Ex.A. No. 8 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 202 of 2022**

**Col.Surya Prakash Singh (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V.P.Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R. K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr. Gyan Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) holding brief for Shri V. P. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Gyan Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that compliance affidavit has not been filed.</li><li>3. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that matter is under process and case has been submitted to MoD for conditional sanction. He has filed an affidavit to this effect which is taken on record.</li><li>4. It has also been requested that personal appearance of the responsible officer be exempted and case for contempt be not initiated. Personal appearance is exempted.</li><li>5. List on <b>10.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No. 17)**

**Ex.A. No. 180 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 693 of 2021**

**Ex L/NK Pramod Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virendra Prasad, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Somesh Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Virendra Prasad, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Somesh Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.18 )**

**Ex.A. No. 181 of 2023Inre O.A. No. 217of 2022**

**Ex.Sub Maj Ajit Kumar Rai** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri V P Pandey, Advocate  
Shri R.K. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R.C Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Maj S.M. Mustafa (Retd.) holding brief for Shri V.P.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>22.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.19 )**

**Ex.A. No. 184 of 2023 Inre O.A. No. 436 of 2022**

**Ex.Sub Umakant Mishra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri D. K. Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri D.K.Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted further four weeks to file compliance affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>23.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.20 )**

**O.A. No. 456 of 2020**

**Ex. Sep Anil Kumar Sharma & Another** Applicants  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri S. G. Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Amit Jaiswal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri S.G.Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicants and Shri Amit Jaiswal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Perusal of the file reveals that several opportunities have been accorded to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit but the same has not yet been filed. It appears that either the applicant is not interested in pressing this petition or delaying the disposal of the petition. Tribunal has taken serious note of it that applicant is only seeking adjournment and delaying disposal.</li><li>3. Keeping in view that sufficient time has been given to the applicant, in the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to file RA. It is made clear that if same is not filed by the next date, opportunity to file RA shall stand closed.</li><li>4. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.21 )**

**O.A. No. 832 of 2022**

**Ex Swr Prayag Datt** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing none present for the applicant. Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the file reveals that despite several opportunities applicant has not filed rejoinder affidavit. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is granted to file the same. It is made clear that if rejoinder is not filed by the next date, opportunity to file RA shall stand closed.</p> <p>3. List on <b>01.11.2023</b> for hearing.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.22 )**

**O.A. No. 847 of 2022**

**Ris Sheo Pratap Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Parijaat Belaura, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Sunil Sharma, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Parijaat Belaura, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Sunil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Ld. Counsel for the respondents stated that comments have been awaited and he is not in a position to file counter affidavit as desired by the previous order but at the same time he also requested that original documents be summoned.</li><li>3. Taking the facts into consideration, let original documents be summoned and hearing, if possible, be concluded.</li><li>4. List on <b>02.11.2023</b> for hearing.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.24 )**

**O.A. No. 270 of 2023**

**Maj Preeti Mishra, (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri B.N. Choubey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.N. Choubey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant was commissioned in Army Medical Corps as Captain on 16.09.2008. She sustained injury while attending an organized event on official duty at Officers Training College, AMC Centre &amp; College Lucknow. She was admitted in Mil Hospital and diagnosed to be suffering from 'B/L MCL STRAIN &amp; MEDIAL HAMSTRING TENDINITIS'. She was placed in Low Medical Category. Commandant opined her disability as attributable to military service. On 27.09.2021, the applicant submitted application for grant of disability pension. Her prayer for grant of disability pension was rejected by the respondents vide letter dated 11.11.2022. Applicant has filed this O.A. with the prayer to constitute a fresh Re-survey Medical Board to assess the effect of the injury sustained by the applicant.</p> <p>3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondents submitted that applicant was commission in the Army on 16.09.2008. She suffered injury at Officers Training College, AMC Centre &amp; College Lucknow and she was placed in low medical category. She was upgraded to Medical Category SHAPE-1 on 04.01.2011 and released from service on 16.09.2013. The applicant submitted</p>

personal application dated 12.09.2022 for holding of Special Medical Board for post discharge claim stating that she was suffering from 'B/L MCL SRRAIN & MEDIAL HAMSTRING TENDINITIS'. Her claim was processed with competent authority and the same was rejected and out come was intimated to the applicant vide letter dated 11.11.2022.

4. We have heard learned counsel of both the parties and perused to documents available on record. The applicant was discharged from service in Medical category SHAPE-1. On perusal of letter dated 11.11.2022, it reveals that applicant sustained injury 'B/L MCL SRRAIN & MEDIAL HAMSTRING TENDINITIS' while undergoing MOBC on 28.12.2010 and the injury was considered as attributable to Military service. She was upgraded to Medical Category SHAPE-1 on 04.01.2011 and released from service on 16.09.2013. Post Discharge Claim as per Rule 08 of Entitlement Rule 2008 is granted for cases in which the disease was not present at the time of service and arose within 07 years of release. She sustained injury while she was in service and was placed in Low Medical Category and later on upgraded to SHAPE-1 for the same. She applied for Post Discharge Claim after 09 yeas of release from service, hence her claim was not tenable as per Entitlement Rule 2008.

5. In view of the above, instant O.A. has no substance and is liable to be dismissed. Applicant was discharged from service in Medical Category SHAPE-1 hence she is not entitled for disability pension also.

6. Accordingly, O.A. is **dismissed**.

**(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)**  
Member (A) Member (J)

RK/-

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.25 )**

**O.A. No. 362 of 2023**

**Ex NK Anirudh Pandey** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.) , Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri J. N. Mishra, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri J.N.Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>10.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.26 )**

**O.A. No. 368 of 2023**

**Ex.Gnr Sahadev Das** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Virat Anand Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Shyam Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shyam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</li><li>2. On call of the case, neither learned counsel for the applicant is present nor rejoinder affidavit has been filed.</li><li>3. As a last chance, two weeks and no more time is granted to applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.27 )**

**O.A. No. 397 of 2023**

**Ex JWO Md Islam Ansari** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents assisted by WtOffr SK Mishra, Departmental Representative.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. O.A. No. 397 of 2023, Ex JWO Md Islam Ansari, vs. Union of India and others is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated as disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.28 )**

**O.A. No. 438 of 2023**

**Ex Gnr Praveen Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Ms. Priyanka Pal, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shamshad Alam holding brief of Ms. Priyanka Pal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Shri Shamshad Alam, holding brief of Ms. Priyanka Pal prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>19.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.29 )**

**O.A. No. 496 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Mahendra Kumar Kesharwani** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Prerna Singh, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Prerna Singh, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>31.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.30 )**

**O.A. No. 503 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Rama Shankar**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Keshav Sharma, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, holding brief of Shri Keshav Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Asheesh Agnihotri, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Raj Kumar Mishra prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file rejoinder affidavit.</p> <p>4. List on <b>12.10.2023</b>.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)      (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)                                      Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.31 )**

**O.A. No. 587 of 2023**

**CdrPreeti Tomar (Retd.)**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ved Prakash, Advocate  
Shri Devendra Kumar, Advocate  
Shri Vinay Pandey, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajiv Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Vinay Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajiv Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. O.A. No. 587 of 2023, Cdr Preeti Tomar (Retd), vs. Union of India and others is <b>allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated as disposed of.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.32 )**

**O.A. No. 593 of 2023**

**Lt. Col. Nidhikant Dhyani (Retd.)** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Advocate  
Ms. Upasna Mishra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Raj Kumar Mishra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Rajeev Narayan Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder affidavit.</li><li>4. List on <b>18.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No. 33)**

**O.A. No. 608 of 2023**

**NK Eldhose Abraham** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate  
Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**  
**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Ms. Appoli Srivastava, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply to objection on maintainability of the case in terms of order dated 14.08.2023.</p> <p>3. List on <b>27.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.34 )**

**O.A. No. 759 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Anand Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Ram Saran Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Counter affidavit filed by the respondents is taken on record.</li><li>3. O.A. No. 759 of 2023, Ex Hav Anand Singh, vs. Union of India and others is <b>partly allowed</b>.</li><li>4. For order, see our judgment passed on separate sheets.</li><li>5. Misc. Application, if any, pending for disposal, shall be treated as disposed of.</li></ol> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.35 )**

**O.A. No. 760 of 2023**

**Ex Sgt Deepak Lazrus** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Adesh kumar Gupta, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Adesh Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.36 )**

**O.A. No. 761 of 2023**

**Ex MWO Shukla Ramkishor Kashiprasad** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. K .S. Chauhan, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.K.S. Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain)</b>      <b>(Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b>                                      <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.37 )**

**O.A. No. 763 of 2023**

**Ex Hav Kailash Chandra** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Shailendra Kumar Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri Arun Kumar Sahu, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file counter affidavit.</p> <p>3. List on <b>26.10.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.38 )**

**O.A. No. 924 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1209 of 2023**

**Ex Nb Sub Shinde Ramchandra Sitaram** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri R.Chandra, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri R.Chandra, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</li><li>2. Learned counsel for the respondents has raised preliminary objection on maintainability of the case.</li><li>3. Learned counsel for the applicant is directed to substantiate the proof of address of the applicant given in Original Application within two weeks.</li><li>4. List on <b>17.10.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.40 )**

**R.A. No. 47 of 2023 with M.A. No. 1233 of 2023 & 1234 of 2023**  
**Inre O.A. No. 334 of 2021**

**Union of India & Others**

Applicants

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicants : Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Advocate

**Versus**

**GpCapt Mohammed Anwaruzzaman Khan (Retd.)**

Respondent

By Legal Practitioner for Respondent : Shri ..... , Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Yogesh Kesarwani, Ld. Counsel for the applicant is present.</li><li>2. Notice issued to opposite parties on 17.08.2023 has not been received back served/unserved.</li><li>3. Registry is directed to issue fresh notice by Registered Post returnable by the next date fixed.</li><li>4. List on <b>01.11.2023</b>.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.41 )**

**DY. No. 1907 of 2023 Inre M.A. No. 1513 of 2018**

**Ex Hav/Clk Ram Kuwar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri D.S Kauntae, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents is present.</p> <p>2. On 09.08.2023, last opportunity was granted to learned counsel for the applicant to remove defects. Today, on call of the case neither he is present nor he has removed the defects raised by the Registry.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, Dy No 1907 of 2023 Inre M.A. No 1513 of 2018 is <b>dismissed.</b></p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.42 )**

**O.A. No. 328 of2017**

**Smt Babita Verma W/o Late Ex Hav. Pradeep Kumar** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant prays for adjournment of the case. Accordingly, case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>02.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>



**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.43 )**

**O.A. No. 158 of 2020**

**Ex Sep Lakhveer Singh**

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others**

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.S N Pandey, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Singh Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. S.N. Pandey, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. On call of the case, learned counsel for the applicant submits that all the amount due to the applicant has been credited in his account and nothing is due. He prays that instant O.A. may be dismissed being infructuous.</p> <p>3. Since amount due to the applicant has been paid and nothing is outstanding, O.A. is <b>disposed off</b> being infructuous.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.44 )**

**O.A. No. 245 of 2022**

**Nb Sub Ram Kumar Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Wg.Cdr. S.N. Dwivedi (Retd.), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Arguments concluded.</p> <p>3. Order reserved.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**  
**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(SI. No.45 )**

**O.A. No. 188 of 2023**

**Ex Sub (Hony Lt ) Gajendra Singh** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Col. R. C. Jain (Vetran) , Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Col. R.C. Jain (Vetran), Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that applicant has been granted Hony rank of Lt. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file counter affidavit clarifying position of the case as on date.</p> <p>3. List on <b>03.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>

**Form No. 4**  
**{See rule 11(1)}**  
**ORDER SHEET**

**ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW**  
**Court No. 1(Sl. No.46 )**

**O.A. No. 353 of 2023**

**Ex. Gnr Harijan Kirti Bhai Ajeshi** Applicant  
By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Shri Ashok Singh, Advocate  
Shri Vikas Chauhan, Advocate

**Versus**

**Union of India & Others** Respondents  
By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Shri R. C Shukla, Advocate

<b>Notes of the Registry</b>	<b>Orders of the Tribunal</b>
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On the case being taken up for hearing Shri Ashok Singh and Shri Vikas Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the applicant and Shri R.C.Shukla, Ld. Counsel for the respondents are present.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant was enrolled in Indian Army on 19.06.2017. While posted to 109 Lt AD Regiment, applicant was admitted to Military Hospital Kota for the disability 'ACUTE AND TRANSIENT PSYCHOTIC DISORDER'. Form F-10 was filled on the advice of Medical Specialist Mil Hospital Kota and Commanding Officer of the unit. His Invaliding Medical Board was held and applicant was invalided out from service with effect from 05.09.2019. His disability was assessed @ 40% for life and considered as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service. Applicant submitted representation for grant of disability pension which was rejected stating that 'you are not entitled to disability pension in terms of Regulations for the Army 2008, Part I, since the disability ACUTE AND TRANSIENT PSYCHOTIC DISORDER' is declared as neither attributable to nor aggravated by military service'.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted copy of Invaliding Medical Board along with counter affidavit wherein disability of the applicant has been amended in hand writing as 20% for life.</p> <p>In view of the facts and circumstances stated above, respondents are directed to conduct a fresh Resurvey Medical Board of the applicant within three months from the date of production of certified copy of this order to decide what percentage of disability applicant is entitled. Respondents are also directed to file copy of Resurvey medical Board in this Court.</p> <p>List on <b>23.01.2024</b>.</p> <p>Copy of this Order be provided to learned counsel for the respondents for further action.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b> RK/-</p>

Form No. 4

{See rule 11(1)}  
ORDER SHEET

ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL, REGIONAL BENCH, LUCKNOW  
Court No. 1(SI. No.47 )

O.A.(A) No. 441 of 2022

Rect Vivek Kumar

Applicant

By Legal Practitioner for the Applicant : Wg. Cdr. Ajit Kakkar (Retd.), Advocate

Versus

Union of India & Others

Respondents

By Legal Practitioner for Respondents : Dr.Shailendra Sharma Atal, Advocate

Notes of the Registry	Orders of the Tribunal
	<p><b><u>15.09.2023</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar, Member (J)</u></b> <b><u>Hon'ble Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain, Member (A)</u></b></p> <p>1. On call of the case, Dr. Shailendra Sharma Atal, Ld. Counsel for the respondents submits that Wg Cdr Ajit Kakkar (Retd), learned counsel for the applicant never comes in the Court.</p> <p>2. Shri Manoj Kumar Awasthi, submits that case may be adjourned today. He submits that on the next date fixed, learned counsel for the applicant shall attend the court. Accordingly, the case is adjourned.</p> <p>3. List on <b>08.11.2023</b>.</p> <p><b>(Vice Admiral Atul Kumar Jain) (Justice Ravindra Nath Kakkar)</b> <b>Member (A) Member (J)</b></p> <p>RK/-</p>